

Opening Notice: 2013-11-16

Dear Downloader.

Please be aware that over the last 18 months I have asked those who read my books to please make a donation or a small payment for all the work I have done to produce these books.

I asked for this donation or small payment because as a result of making these sorts of books and evidence of criminality of our governments available they are attempting to persecute me by refusing to give me a work permit I am entitled to. In doing so the various governments are making the clear threat to my clients that they will attack them should they be willing to give me work to support myself.

I had thought that with the many thousands of downloads of my books that would occur that enough men would see their way clear to paying EUR10 or 20 for copies of my two books. I had thought that I would be able to live on such donations/ small payments until such time as my court cases were processed and I was no longer being persecuted by the various governments. Please consider that we have had 18,000 downloads of my books combined so far. That is a lot of downloads.

Sadly, men today can not see their way clear to paying EUR10-20 for copies of such valuable books based on merely the honour system where a man gains value and based on his honour makes a small payment for that value. Men today have not yet reached the level of thinking that is required for such an honour system to be workable even for one man like myself to support himself and pay for his rent and food.

Publishing my books and leaving it up to men to pay for them based on the honour system was a test to see if men were yet at the level of thinking that it is going to take to introduce the "abundance paradigm economy". Sadly, the evidence seems to indicate that despite all the protests of men as to how "evolved in their thinking" they are, they are still choosing to live in the "artificial scarcity paradigm economy".

In recent months I have heard all sorts of "excuses" by men as to why they can not afford EUR10-20 to make a small donation or payment. You would really not credit that tens of thousands of men can not afford EUR10-20 and they are not willing to ask those who can afford such to donate or pay a small amount for all the work I have done. These excuses range from the pathetic to the absurd.

Really? Is there ANY man with an internet connection and a computer who can NOT really afford EUR10? That is not even believable, right? If you have a place to live and you have a computer and you have an internet connection you can afford EUR10. It really is that simple. The Truth Be Told is that men simply refuse to move from the "artificial scarcity paradigm economy" to the "abundance paradigm economy". The book "The Truth Be Told" was the test of that.

The Truth Be Told has now had more than 8,000 downloads. If each man who downloaded it paid EUR10, a pittance, then we would have plenty of money to fund the activities of the Mens Business Association. But the evidence is in. Men are not yet willing to make the change in thinking to the "abundance paradigm economy". This is a very simple change in men's thinking that would transform the quality of their lives if they did it in any numbers.

It is not like anyone is holding a gun to their heads and saying "if you raise your level of thinking I will kill you." They remain in the mindset of "the artificial scarcity paradigm economy" by conscious choice. They are not "victims". They are not being forced into this mode of thinking. No "education" will bring them out of it.

It is quite simply that most men are so self interested and self focused that they demand that they receive "payment" in some sort of "money" before they will extend themselves in labour. And this very idea of refusing to labour until payment is secured is exactly what enslaves virtually all men in the western world. They worship the God of "money". It is very sad for me to see.

As a result of this experiment and the clear evidence that men must be told they have to pay before they get a valuable book like The Truth Be Told the new version has been released this week and it is only available by payment. The fee is AUD12 or CHF10. A pittance for all the work that has gone into it.

The Truth Be Told is available now in store: <http://www.a-man-zon.com/Books/B0000PeterNolan.aspx>

Thank You and Best Regards

Peter-Andrew: Nolan©

The TRUTH Be Told

The Book – Part 2

Version 0.02
12th April 2012
Author: Peter-Andrew: Nolan(c)

Table of Contents

1.	CHANGE CONTROL LOG	5
2.	LAWFUL NOTICE TO THOSE WHO WOULD SLANDER ME	6
2.1.	Dealing with the LIE “Peter Hates Women”	7
3.	INTENDED AUDIENCE.....	8
4.	PURPOSE	9
5.	WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION	10
6.	QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.....	11
6.1.	RQ0001 - Why Are You Writing This Book?	11
6.2.	RQ0002 – What is My Current Legal Position?	13
6.3.	RQ0003 – Have You Made any Videos About All This?	17
6.4.	RQ0004 – What Else Should I Read or Watch to Educate Myself?.....	18
6.5.	RQ0005 – What About the Mens Rights Area?	19
6.6.	RQ0006 – What About the FreeMan/Sovereign Area?.....	22
6.7.	RQ0007 – What About Our Fathers and Grand Fathers?.....	24
6.8.	RQ0008 – What About Our Sisters, Mothers and Grand Mothers?	30
6.9.	RQ0009 – Can You Comment on Fishes and Bicycles?	37
6.10.	RQ0010 – Can You Comment on Christian Ministers?.....	39
6.11.	RQ0011 – What Are Your Comments on MGTOW?.....	41
6.12.	RQ0012 – The Non Response of Women to Crimes Against Fathers	42
6.13.	RQ0013 – Women’s Demand for Protection.....	43
6.14.	RQ0014 – Can You Comment on The Safe Working Environment?	45
6.14.1.	The Safe Working Environment.....	46
6.15.	RQ0015 – What The Hell are the Cops And Politicians Up To?	49
6.16.	RQ0016 – Women as Predators.....	51
6.17.	RQ0017 – Keeping Men Off Balance, Distracting Men.....	54
6.18.	RQ0018 – What are MBA Law Services Fees?.....	57
6.19.	RQ0019 – What are MBA Peace Officer Fees?.....	58
6.20.	RQ0020 – What Is the State of Play in Your Case?	60
6.21.	RQ0021 – What Is the State of Play with CAF and MBA?.....	64
6.22.	RQ99999 – What are Your Recommendations To Young Men?	66
7.	GUEST CHAPTER BY JOHN RAMBO.....	73
8.	THE US DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE.....	75
9.	APPENDIX 1 - A WORD ON THE RULE OF LAW	80
10.	APPENDIX 2 – ESSENTIAL BACKGROUND MATERIALS	82
10.1.	The lie of your NAME.....	83

10.2.	The Lie of Person	84
10.3.	The Lie of You Have to be Governed	85
10.4.	The Lie of “Legal” is the same as “Lawful”	86
10.5.	More Essential Things You Must Know	88
10.5.1.	What is a Sovereign (also called Freeman by many)?	89
10.5.2.	How Do I Become a Sovereign if I want to be?	90
10.5.3.	How Do I Become a Freeman If I Want To Be?	91
10.5.4.	Where Do My Rights Come From?.....	92
10.5.5.	How Do I Claim My Rights?	94
10.5.6.	How Do I Exercise My Rights?	94
10.5.7.	Learn How to Defend Your Rights	94
10.6.	Who can Perform Law and How?	95
11.	APPENDIX 3 – THE MAGNA CARTA.....	96
11.1.	The Text of Magna Carta	97
11.1.1.	Introductory Note	97
11.1.2.	The Magna Carta 1215	98
12.	APPENDIX 4 - ON WOMEN.BY ARTHUR SCHOPENHAUER.....	105
13.	APPENDIX 5 – OH WHAT A BASTARD I AM	111
14.	APPENDIX 6 - DOCUMENTS ON WEBSITE	114
15.	APPENDIX 7 – A WORD CALLED “PAYMENT”	116
16.	APPENDIX 8 – A FINAL WORD	117

1. CHANGE CONTROL LOG

#	Date	Name	Description
0.01	10/04/12	Peter-Andrew: Nolan(c)	Initial draft version for pre-publication review by selected people.
0.02	14/04/12	Peter-Andrew: Nolan(c)	Initial draft version for publication.

2. **LAWFUL NOTICE TO THOSE WHO WOULD SLANDER ME**

This is a Lawful Notice to women and their mangina lackeys who would make up lies and slander me.

My calling, Peter-Andrew: Nolan(c) and the strawman name PETER ANDREW NOLAN(c) is copyrighted world wide. So is my image and all aspects of my human self. Here is the contract for that copyright.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/190/threadid/1103/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

Any woman or mangina lackey who uses my name, my calling or my image without my written consent signed in red ink by my hand only is violating my copyright.

The cost of copyright violation **PER VIOLATION** is 1,000 troy ounces of 99.99% pure gold. So all of you women and mangina lackeys might want to consider that before you write your lies about me. I will pursue copyright violations ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD so as to collect gold to be able to re-distribute to those I perceive as in need. Nothing would give me greater pleasure than to take gold off women and their mangina lackeys and give it to men who are struggling to raise their boys because of the oppressive nature of the western 'legal' system today.

Any slander of me will be pursued **ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD**. So if you women tell lies about me and try and slander me to damage my business you will be pursued. I will take your property off you as a point of honour.

If any woman wishes to make a rebuttal for anything I have said they are welcome to do so directly to me at peter@peterolan.com on an Affidavit with a Notice of Intent and Proposed Remedy signed under penalty of perjury and full commercial liability.

Apart from that? I'm not interested in hearing what any women and their mangina lackeys have to say about this book.

This book is to inform young men of the TRUTH. No more. No less.

Those who slander me are working against my efforts to re-introduce the rule of law into Ireland and Australia.

Those who slander me are working against my efforts to expose the criminals in the guvment and 'legal fraternity'.

I will not take kindly to that kind of slander.

Those who complain I am 'slandering' other people.

Grow up.

I am telling the TRUTH.

The TRUTH can never be slander.

2.1. Dealing with the LIE “Peter Hates Women”

Over the last **FOUR YEARS** women **AND MEN** have consistently lied about me in public. This is actually the crime of slander. The most consistent lie that has been told about me over and over again is that “Peter hates women”. This is, in fact, “hate speech”. By telling the lie that “Peter hates women” the women **AND MEN** are actually inciting hatred of me in particular and men in general.

So I will deal with this lie right at the top of this book. Here is what “Sue” has to say about me. She thinks it is hysterical people call me a woman hater given that we have known each other for four years.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/39/threadid/718/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

Here is what a grandmother who has the online handle of “Liz” had to say about me.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/108/threadid/319/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

Women **AND MEN** have falsely called me a paedophile, claimed I molested my own children, called me a wife beater, a cheat, a dead beat dad and accused me of abandoning my family. It is fine to listen to these lies for a while but after a few years it is boring and wears a bit.

Meanwhile, these same women **AND MEN** who are so fast to slander me also claim that the TRUTH being told about women needs to be suppressed because it “*upsets the women*”. So what? Women claimed equality. That means I can talk to them however I please. After being called a paedophile for a few years by women who do not even know me? I please to speak to women in the strongest of possible terms and as abruptly as I like.

Any man who jumps into to “defend” women is going into the IgnorMANus hall of fame for his country if I can find out which country he lives in. Here is the one we are using now. If you jump in to “defend women” whatever you write and any details we can find out about you will be published into a forum like this. We will attempt to find your employers and we will ask them why they are paying you and bringing their firm into disrepute. If you talk in public? You will be held responsible for what it is you say.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/193/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

“Defending” women from the TRUTH expressed in the written word or videos is sexism, discrimination and bigotry **AGAINST WOMEN** because it makes the implicit claim they are not able to defend themselves. I will not tolerate men being sexist, discriminatory bigots against women any more than I will tolerate women being sexist, discriminatory bigots against men. Nor will I accept men being sexist, discriminatory bigots against men. We are going to do something novel. We are going to give the **TRUTH** a chance to stand between men and women. If people get “angry” at the truth it is because they are living a lie.

I put this notice at the front of this book for **ANY MAN** to be fairly warned. If we catch you saying I “hate women” that is going to be defined as slander. I will want one troy ounce of 99.9% pure gold for each time you say that in public. You have no basis on which to say that. It is a knowing lie. A knowing lie in order to injure the earning capacity or reputation of someone is the crime of slander. So do not be committing that crime.

If we catch men defending women you will go directly into the IgnorMANus forum to be as widely published as possible. The women can defend themselves.

Just in case any women are reading this, and you should not be as it is not for you, should you write hatred and more slander about me you will be going into the man-hating womens forum for your country. Your name will be found by google and it will show up in searches for ever more. Here is an example of what we are doing.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/232/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

Women in the west were incited **BY A SMALL GROUP OF MEN** to start a war against us ordinary men. We told them for the longest time we did not want a war with our women, we wanted peace and harmony. Our women have attacked us for more than 30 years in some countries. They attacked us despite years of warnings that their attacks were not going un-noticed and we expected them to stop, make remedy, and move on lawfully.

Men have stirred. We decided to engage you in this most unwanted of wars. In just **FOUR SHORT YEARS**, standing on the shoulders of giants, I have delivered the remedy for any man who wants it:
The Mens Business Association + CAF.

Ladies? You were very well advised **by me** to not allow Jennifer to prosecute a war against me that I did not want. Now **MILLIONS** of you will pay the price for allowing me to be criminally victimised.

3. **INTENDED AUDIENCE**

The intended audience for “The Truth Be Told – The Book Part 2” is simple:

- Young men between the ages of 16 and 30.
- Young men who live in the English speaking world.
- Young men who know “something is wrong” but maybe not what.
- Young men who want to make the most of their lives.
- Young men who are honest young men of honour and integrity.
- Young men who have an open mind, who are willing to listen to the **HARSH TRUTH** rather than swallow the “comfortable lies” that they have been fed for so long.
- Young men who are hard working, honest men of honour and integrity and you are wanting to make something of yourself.
(If you are a liar, if you do not know what honour is, if you have no integrity, put this book down now.)
- Young men who want to be the best they can be.
One time I had a sign on my desk. “To be average scares the hell out of me.” This book is not for guys who just want to ‘be one of the crowd’. You want to be a sheeple? Be a sheeple. There are plenty of them. If you want to be ‘average’? Put this book down now.
- Young men who are considering being in relationship with a woman.
- Young men who are considering getting married.
- Young men who made the mistake of getting married and feel they want out at the lowest possible cost.

Who this book is NOT for:

- Any man over the age of 30. I am not interested in talking to men over 30 in this book. If you are over 30 please put this book down. It will likely only offend you. I am going to denounce the large majority of men over 30 and most men are not smart enough to not apply such denouncements if they do not apply to them. Smart men like Bernard Chapin know he has my full support and respect and knows that denouncements of men over 30 do not apply to him.

- This book is not for man-hating, white knighting, mangina apologists.

These men disgust me. I have no respect for them. I sure as hell would not write a book for them. So if you are a man who automatically leaps to the defence of any woman who is ‘upset’? Go away. I don’t want you reading my best efforts. Go do something useful like throw yourself under a bus. Really. Just go away. I am so sick of the likes of you. I’ve heard all your rubbish. I said a lot of it myself up until four years ago. I am as disgusted at myself as I was as I am of you.

- This book is not intended for western ‘modern women’. If you are a ‘modern western woman’ and reading this book you might as well put it down now. You will only be ‘offended’ if it does not burn your brain to a cinder from the information presented.

Note: Given this book is written in English the audience is limited to the English speaking world. Some of my German friends have been concerned at my comments which they take to include Germany. This book does not include Germany or none English speaking lands. I do not speak your language so I have no comment on you land and people. So if you happen to be German and reading this? This book is not intended to include Germany. Most Germans are very shocked to hear what is happening in the English speaking world. They assume if it was that bad it would have been in the news here.

4. **PURPOSE**

The intended purposes of “The Truth Be Told – The Book Part 2” are:

- To educate young men.

This is the most important aspect of this book. We are giving young men all the knowledge they NEED to ensure they do not meet the same sticky end as me in my marriage.

- To give them the tools to live a great life if that is what they want.
- To put in front of them the opportunity to step out of the current “system” that is intended to enslave them.
- To give them a place to discuss the process of freeing themselves, the Mens Business Association.
- To provide them the infrastructure to start businesses and be successful in business.
- To give them access to men who are known to have been successful in business and give them the ability to be mentored by these men on whatever basis is agreeable to both parties. Many older men like me are quite happy to mentor young men for no fee at all. We are glad to be asked.
- To give them a place to network their businesses with men who are under oath to perform all business transactions honestly and who can be severely punished for breaking that oath.

5. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

Young man. Welcome to “The Truth Be Told – The Book – Part 2”.

You now have in your hands the second most important book you could ever read.

The most important book you could ever read is here. I strongly recommend you read it. This is “Living Free in the Femnazi World – The Book – Part 1”. It is 100 pages and comes with a nearly 2 hour video to explain everything in the book. It is yours free. If you do not use it then that is your decision.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/216/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

I want to remind you that knowledge is power. Knowledge will set you free. It did me.

I am told that many young men no longer read and that they do not like to read books. That is their choice and it is up to them. But the book is the single best method of transferring knowledge that has ever been created. It far outdoes the “video” because the words are written and persistent and can be easily located and quoted.

If you are a young man who does not like to read books? I recommend you review that position.

If you know young men who do not like to read books? I recommend you encourage them to review that position.

I will try and keep this book as simple as possible. But that will be a rather hard thing to do. The topic we are dealing with is not that easy. You will likely disbelieve what you read here and I do not blame you for that. However, I ask that you keep an open mind and then go and check everything I say for yourself.

Do NOT take my word alone for what I say. Go seek other mens opinions. Seek other mens advice. And then make your mind up for yourself as to what you will do.

The layout of this book will be a bit different to what you are used to. The book is meant as a reference book. This means you are not expected to read it front to back like The Book – Part 1. In this book it is anticipated that you will simply keep the PDF around as a reference and dip into it when you have a question about something. Hopefully the question will be answered or the book will point you to the online resource where the question will be answered.

We are trying as hard as we can to make links permanent so that early versions of the book do not suffer from broken links.

I have also replicated appendices from other places. Some from The Book – Part 1, some from the MBA Law Services Procedure Manual, some from other places. These are included and, in some cases, slightly changed for the different audience, so that you will find it easier to find this very important information.

What we are trying to do is to give you all the pointers you need so that you can go and check what is said in this book by other people and other sources. Further, our knowledge is improving over time and so we are adding this new knowledge to forums and documents which are released onto our various web sites.

The two main web sites you will see are:

www.CrimesAgainstFathers.com

www.MensBusinessAssociation.com

CAF is a global resource that fathers who have been criminally victimised can come to for remedy. The condition of being assisted is a VOW that they will assist others in front of them in the queue.

MBA is a global **Lawful Association** where men operate outside the jurisdiction of any government entity and trade with other men as well as to the general public. There is an association fee and the new associates are required to complete an Affidavit of Association that is bonded with an amount of money.

Please note carefully. **THE MBA IS NOT A LEGAL ENTITY**. There is **NO LEGAL ENTITY** called the Mens Business Association. I shall issue documents with the MBA stamp re-presenting the interests of members. But this does not mean that it is a **LEGAL ENTITY**.

6. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

In this section I am going to present questions and answers. They are indexed by the table of contents so they are easy to find. If young men have MORE questions they would like answered then they are welcome to put those question to us on www.mensbusinessassociation.com.

We will do our best to answer questions of all young men who choose to join the Mens Business Association.

I feel that direct questions from your point of view, being a young man, and direct answers, is the best way to have this portion of the book.

6.1. RQ0001 - Why Are You Writing This Book?

“From what I can see of this book it has taken years for you to build up the contents that are linked in it. You say this has been four years. That is a long time. What has motivated you to spend so much time and effort on your cases and then to present them in a book like this?”

All across the English speaking world older men are having their lives destroyed by the criminals in the governments and courts. This is particularly so for the Family Courts. Men have sustained this criminal abuse for many years and politely and professionally asked for our women to stop criminally abusing us.

These men range in age but it is the men in the 40 – 55 age range that are hit the hardest. This is because in this age range many men have already spent 20-25 years working and have already bought their house and have it mostly paid off. When their teen children are kidnapped, house stolen, company destroyed, and they are hated on by those they had believed had loved them? They are hit hard indeed. They know they do not have enough years to “start again”. They know that there is no chance of another marriage or substantial relationship because women mostly marry up socially and economically. So it is these men who commit suicide the most when they are criminally attacked in the courts.

Men who are younger, in the 25-40 range, have a bit more of a chance to “start again”. They have more energy to do so. They have more chance of gaining useful employment after the usual period out of work due to stress and illness that is caused by the shock of a mans family turning on him.

Despite being asked politely and professionally our women refused to stop criminally abusing us via the criminal Family Courts. Indeed when they are asked professionally and politely to stop criminally abusing us using the criminals in the family courts the vast majority of women will actually laugh at the man and revel in the idea that he was criminally abused with complete impunity. I am NOT kidding you.

Western women hate men so much they will LAUGH at them for having their children kidnapped, house stolen, company destroyed, assets stolen and they will even demand a good slice of future income called child support or alimony. I am here to tell you that it does not matter how good a husband you have been. You will very likely be hated on as the victim of so called “no fault divorce”. If you do not tolerate these criminal acts against you it is very likely that even your own father, brothers, and sons will turn against you. That is the TRUTH. It has happened to MILLIONS of men. It can happen to you too.

As a young man you are likely to have been lied to about all these things by MEN in the older generation. You owe little thanks and little respect to the vast majority of older men who have so gladly sold out your futures. I will explain more on that later.

The result of all this is that many men, when criminally victimised in the family courts, kill themselves. Many small children are left fatherless. Even worse? Because the SAFEST place for a child is in the guardianship of the natural father the removal of the natural father results in the abuse and death of more children.

It is no exaggeration to state that those who support the removal of the natural father from a childs life are promoting child abuse and child murder. That is the truth. It is time more people said so. This is what happens when the natural father is removed from guarding the child.

<http://www.lukesarmy.com/content/you-will-never-be-forgotten-tanilla>

I have taken it on myself to make it one of my missions in life to ensure that young men are told the truth and given the tools by which they can defend themselves and live great lives. I am writing this book to possibly save your life. I am writing this book to possibly save your children from being fatherless. Especially your sons. One more thing you should know young man. I am **HATED ON** by **MANY OLDER MEN** for telling you the truth. So you can see that when a man sets out to save the lives of men and boys many **OLDER MEN HATE ON HIM**. That is how pervasive the man hatred is in your society. Even the older **MEN** hate you and expect you to tolerate criminal acts against you.

One of the reasons I know now is the time to write this book is this. A few weeks ago I met a lovely young woman by "accident". I say "accident" because nothing in my life has been an "accident" to date. My life has been very closely organised and laid out, it turns out.

As we did the usual social conversation I asked her about her family background. A cloud came over her face and she told me that her father had committed suicide when she was 17. She said it was not too bad for her but her little sister was only 7 and she was devastated by her fathers death. She said this was the worst thing that had ever happened to her and her little sister. The look of sadness that came over her face was really something to behold.

Western women tell the lie that removing the father from the house has little to no effect on the child. They tell the lie "children are resilient". They tell the lie "children get over these things quickly". I denounce these for the lies they are known to be. Looking into this womans eyes told me plain and simple that the death by suicide of her father was as painful to think about that day as it was at the time it happened. This woman will NEVER "get over" the fact her father killed himself.

So I told her. *"Let me tell you something about myself. I nearly killed myself when my children were kidnapped from me. My son was 14, and my daughter was 16, one year younger than you when your father killed himself. I spent a month suicidal. After I found out that one estimate places the number of men who kill themselves at about 4,000 per year in Australia I made up my mind to stop as many deaths of as many fathers as possible.*

I have been working on this for four years now. I am very, very sorry for what happened to your father and to your family. There is nothing I can do to change that. But there is something I can do to stop the next father from killing himself. There is something I can do to stop the next little girl like your sister losing her father. And that is what I am doing. "

Almost instantly her face lit up and she asked me more about what I was doing. We discussed this topic for a while and she was very happy to hear that there are some men trying to stop this from happening in the future.

I soon realised it was time for this book to come to print. It has been in my mind for about 5 or 6 weeks now. It is time to "type it up" as it were.

Young man. Forewarned is forearmed.

In the English speaking world not only are young men not forewarned. They are lied to blatantly.

- You are lied to by your government.
- You are lied to by your parents.
- You are lied to by your teachers.
- You are lied to by your religious leaders.

Indeed, as a young man, there is almost no source of information that you can go to in order to know the truth of the situation. This is why I have called this book. "The Truth Be Told". I have created **TBT@** as a symbol for this.

So that is why I am writing this book. I am writing it to possibly save your life. I am writing it to educate you. I am writing it to warn you. I am writing it to give you every chance to avoid the sort of mess my marriage ended in. I am writing it so you do not finish up in the same place as me, suicidal. I am writing it so you do not wind up like this woman's father. Dead by suicide. I am writing it so you do not wind up having any of your children kidnapped, brutalised and murdered while you are forced to stand back at gun point and threat of incarceration.

I have already saved the lives of a number of young and not so young men. I presume that this book will save the lives of many more young men and keep them being the guardian of their children, especially their sons.

I wrote this book from the point of view of love and compassion for my fellow men and their children. My WW II soldier step grandfather, Frank Trainor, would be very proud of his grandson honouring his fallen comrades in the way that I have. I owe much to Frank Trainor. My grandmother chose well. Now you owe him too.

6.2. RQ0002 – What is My Current Legal Position?

“I have heard on web sites that men are poorly treated in courts. I have heard about false allegations. Please tell me the truth about what is really going on. What is my legal position?”

If you live in the English speaking world as a young man? Your legal position is that you have been accorded fewer privileges than a dog. It is no exaggeration to say that the western English speaking world affords more legal protection to dogs than men. That is the truth of your situation. If cops treated dogs like cops treated men then the tree-huggers and women would be out in force to stop the cruelty to the dogs. But because it is only men who are being criminally abused? The tree-huggers and women spew hatred at criminally victimised men who ask for a community supported path to justice.

If you do not believe me? Read this. I am keeping a forum of emails that are sent to me spewing hatred at me. These also include facebook conversations. This is the sort of hatred that is spewed out at the BEST of fathers and husbands if they protest their criminal victimisation and demand a path to justice. So make sure you read this. Do not skip this as it is important to understand that some women will publicly say **“I do not give a rats arse about men who kill themselves”** and **OTHER WOMEN** will **SUPPORT** such comments.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/109/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

The sort of things described below is what you can expect to happen to you or your friends. Naturally this does not happen to ALL young men. The point is that it should not happen to ANY young men. When it does they should be able to gain a lawful remedy.

Your “legal position” is that you are a third class citizen behind women and animals.

That might surprise you but **TBT@**.

1. False Allegations.

A female can make pretty much any false allegation she likes about you and she will be believed and you will be presumed guilty until you prove you are innocent.

a. False Rape Allegations.

These are FAR more common than you think. You will very likely be arrested and incarcerated for an extended period for such a false allegation. You will very likely be held in jail until your trial comes up. Recently in Australia a 17 year old boy held in custody for a FULL YEAR before it was proven the allegation was false. See these web sites for more details.

<http://falserapesociety.blogspot.com>

<http://www.cotwa.info/>

The number of false rape allegations is to huge and so widespread that you can find thousands and thousands of such examples all over the man-o-sphere.

b. False Child Molestation Allegations.

These are very common in divorce. The wife makes a false allegation the man has molested his daughter. He will immediately be barred from seeing his child.

Once case in Australia a wife and her friend concocted a false child molestation allegation against the husband in divorce. The man was flying an aeroplane with passengers and log books as his evidence at the alleged time of the incident so you would think he would be ok, right? No. The evidence that **PROVED** his innocence was not allowed to be presented to the jury. The husband spent 9 months in jail awaiting his appeal.

When the appeal was had the evidence that he could NOT have committed the crime as described was finally presented to a jury that found him innocent. The women suffered no punishment. And by the way? What did the Sydney Morning Herald have to say in big headlines?

“Paedophile Escapes Justice”

This is despite the fact the man was innocent and had been criminally victimised. When your girlfriend tells you she wants to get married? Point out to her that she has never stood up against false child molestation allegations and demanded women who made them be punished.

And then tell her there is no way in hell you are going to have children while false child molestation allegations are supported by **MOST** western women.

c. False Sexual Harassment Allegations.

In universities and the workplace today false sexual harassment allegations are widespread. In the UK many men will refuse to get in a lift/elevator when there is just one single woman in the elevator. They will wait until the next elevator comes. Many men refuse to be in the presence of a single woman in a conference room or work area if there are no video cameras to protect us.

In most US companies when a woman makes a false sexual harassment allegation the man will usually be summarily dismissed. There will usually be no questions asked.

d. False Domestic Violence Allegations.

False DV allegations are widespread. Worse. What passes for Domestic Violence is ludicrous. In Australia it is considered "domestic violence" if you cut up your wife's credit card because she is spending money you do not have.

In one survey reported in Australia by feminists it was considered "domestic violence" if the father had bought his son a toy gun because he MIGHT threaten the wife with it and she MIGHT not remember it was a toy and be frightened.

Yes. You read that correctly. Something that ***NEVER HAPPENED*** was counted as ***ACTUAL*** domestic violence. This is the world you are growing up in. This is the situation into which women will ask you to participate if you move in with them.

2. Robbery in the Family Courts.

Most men simply can not believe the blatant robbery that goes on in the family courts. It is hard to believe if you have not been in there yourself. Other men have made their stories public but no man decided to do what I did which was release all the Family Court documents to the public.

Here is the entry point for my wife's court documents. I highly recommend you read these. You will see what you are in for in the courts. Remember that ***ALL*** the women in the Irish and Australian Parliaments ***AGREE*** with the fact my ex got ***95% of assets***. ALL the women in the Irish and Australian Parliaments ***AGREE*** with and condone the crimes of perjury, kidnapping, extortion, theft and child abuse.

It is no exaggeration to say ***"5% is the new 50% if you are a man"***. That happened in my case because I was exposing the criminals in the Family Courts as the criminals they are.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/3/threadid/54/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

And here are all the details documents that go with my cases in Australia and Ireland. You are very well advised to read these.

As far as I know I was the first man in the world willing to risk my life and long term incarceration to bring you this information directly out of the courts. Do not allow my preparedness to risk my life for you go to waste. It is ***YOUR LIFE*** I am concerned about in presenting this information to ***YOU***. This information was very hard won. Ignore it at your peril.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/200/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/201/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/202/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

There was also the issue that my children were kidnapped and abused. As a young man you should be under no illusions that men often have their children kidnapped and abused in divorce. Sometimes the children are abused so badly they are killed.

3. Pervasive hatred by women towards men across western society.

So as a young man you might think that these things are “unusual” and women will tell you “*not all women are like that*”. You will be told that “*there are good women out there*”. So let us test that premise. My ex was a member of the International Womens Club of Dublin.

This was limited to just 250 women and you had to be nominated by two current members. It was a very exclusive club. These women were the most pampered of the most pampered women. They would describe themselves as “good women”.

Here is my entry in the forums where these women were asked to denounce my ex as the criminal kidnapper and child abuser she was. Guess what? 100% of these 250 women condone the crimes of perjury, kidnapping, extortion, theft and child abuse. 100%. Go ahead. Read these Open letters. You will be shocked to find that 100% of the 250 condone and support a woman who has committed the crimes of perjury, kidnapping, extortion, theft and child abuse.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/80/threadid/337/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

If that is not enough evidence yet? Here are my series of Lawful Notices and letters to the Australian Parliament Members, both houses and the Irish Members of Parliament, both houses. I recommend you read these. At the end of reading these documents you will know that 100% of the women in **BOTH these Parliaments**, at the time of writing, condone and support the crimes of perjury, kidnapping, extortion, theft and child abuse.

100%

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/97/threadid/196/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/80/threadid/464/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/80/threadid/1210/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/96/threadid/1543/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/96/threadid/1712/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/79/threadid/1938/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

Young man. This is the world you are coming into. It is a world where 100% of women in Parliaments for two supposed “free nations” openly condone and support criminal acts by women against men **JUST LIKE YOU**.

Far from letting older men off the hook. In excess of 99% of **OLDER MEN** condone and support women committing crimes against men too. 99%+ of **OLDER MEN** condone criminal acts against men **JUST LIKE YOU**. So do NOT be thinking that any significant percentage of **OLDER MEN** are your friends either. It should **NOT** be lost on you that 100% of the **MEN** in Parliaments for two supposed “free nations” openly condone and support criminal acts by women against men **JUST LIKE YOU TOO**. Do NOT miss that.

These letters to these parliaments also addressed the MEN and the MEN also stayed silent. Do NOT miss that very important point.

4. Pervasive Government Interference in Business

Based on the mantra of “Equality” governments have used their coercive and **CRIMINAL** power to enforce the **REAL POLICY** of equality of **OUTCOMES** and NOT equality of opportunity. To do this governments have forced companies to hire more women despite the FACT that these women are far less productive than men on average.

Given that companies are profit oriented organisations if women were the better workers, or even equal, companies would have no resistance to hiring them. The very fact that managers resist hiring women tells you that women are less desirable workers from a purely economic point of view. Having been in business for 30 years I have extensive personal experience and evidence of this being the case. People call me “sexist” for saying so. What they do NOT do is present evidence contradicting my 30 years of experience because they do not have any such evidence.

Women do not work as hard as men. Pure and simple. The main reason is western women are **NEVER** expected to be the sole income earner for the family whereas this expectation is **COMMON** among men. Indeed I was the SOLE income earner for a family of 6 and then 4 from 1994 to 2007.

Just look around. As a percentage of all single income families where there is a husband and wife, what is that percentage of families where the woman is the sole income earner? Almost none.

I mean, do you even KNOW any women who are single income earners for a FAMILY? And no. It is not a FAMILY when the woman has removed the husband no matter what the government likes to say. And no she is not the single income earner when she is getting alimony and child support and government welfare.

So the government is interfering in companies to force them to hire more women because women not being 51% is **“sexism”** and **“discrimination”** and **“oppression of the patriarchy”**.

Well young man? Consider these numbers.

War dead	- 98% men
Workplace dead	- 93% men
Incarcerated	- 90% men
Homeless	- 90% men
Alimony payers	- 99% men
Child support payers	- 99% men

Number of women's groups protesting the **“oppression of the patriarchy”** to get women to be 51% of these six categories?

ZERO!

Number of government departments or sets of legislation designed to force women into being 51% of these six categories?

ZERO!

So any woman you talk to who talks about wanting “equality” who is not publicly campaigning to “end the oppression of the patriarchy” in these six categories is, by definition, a hypocrite.

I recommend you tell her so to her face and do not back down.

This is why we are not going to allow the government a role in our new businesses in the Mens Business Association.

Governments were given a role and they abused it.

We do not seek reform. We seek divorce from the government.

We shall govern ourselves thank you very much.

6.3. RQ0003 – Have You Made any Videos About All This?

I am glad you asked me that. I was told by many young men that they tend not to read any more and tend to want to watch videos rather than read. So I have prepared quite a collection of Videos. I keep the permanent links here.

You are very well advised to spent time watching these videos. The videos are numbered so that you know what order to view them in. The forum is on this link.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/104/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

This is an introduction to who I am and my background. This is a video to establish credibility in the eyes of the reader. To show you I am a regular guy who raised 4 kids. Also, young men find that by watching such videos they get to realise that I am not “angry” and “bitter” as so many women like to lie about me being. I am really sick and tired of FALSELY being called “angry” and “bitter”. I can have no respect for men who tell lies like that about me.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/104/threadid/291/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

This is the video about my “Awakening” if you can call it that. It goes into quite some detail as to how I woke up to the fact I was living in a control grid.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/104/threadid/292/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

This is an interview I did with Vince Byrne over at TNS with respect to the issues of family law and the criminal victimisation of men. It is 2 hours long and it is well worth listening to. It will give you a good idea of what you have in store for you if you get married.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/104/threadid/2016/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

And young man? This is how hate full MEN are now. There was a problem over at TNS radio that was critical according to Vince. He called me one day and told me that TNS needed EUR2,000 as a minimum immediately or it might have to shut down. Vince, being a proud man, was a little sheepish about asking but he knew he had to ask someone. He did not have the money himself and the other **2,000** members were not putting the money in.

I told him that it was no problem and immediately performed the bank transfer. I did this on the sole condition that my name was not to be associated with the donation. Vince agreed to never name me. He kept his word. Some time later an issue arose and I released the fact the donation came from me. How was this greeted by **MANY** members? It was greeted with *“Peter was buying the right to slander women on this site”*.

THAT is how hate filled men are. There are two points to this.

1. There was a time that when a man donated EUR2,000 to keep a public service going he would be **THANKED**. That time was not so long ago. Not any more.
2. The idea that me donating money could persuade Vince Byrne to accord me special privileges is very insulting towards Vince. As far as I am aware Vince Byrne is a man of honour and integrity that has risked everything he has to break this news to people. He has helped out **MANY** men in courts. The idea he could be in any way influenced by a donation given sincerely is really an attempt to insult him.

You young lads want to know that there are **MANY MEN** who hate on me too. I call them “manginas”.

This is the video for the book. It is nearly two hours long and presents the details of the book as a video so that it is more accessible to young men. I really recommend you listen to this video as well as reading the book. I was relistening to this while writing this book and found that it is has become more valuable with the passing year.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/104/threadid/302/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

The other videos are where I read the lawful notices and make comments on them so that those who do not wish to read them may do so. I will be adding videos from time to time and so you are well advised to check back in to see if more videos have been created.

6.4. RQ0004 – What Else Should I Read or Watch to Educate Myself?

Well. There is a never ending stream of information flowing out there on the internet. You really can not get through it all. Two of the best sites for young men to go to are:

www.the-spearhead.com

www.avoicemen.com

I recommend these sites despite the fact I have been banned off Spearhead and despite the fact Paul Elam has called me a parasite publicly and has never been apologetic about that.

I have also created forum page to disseminate information. You can find this here. There are lots of pieces of good information on these pages.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2.aspx>

Some pieces are more active than other pieces. John Rambo is doing a great job collecting evidence of man hating women. Please do not miss clicking on this link and seeing the great work that John Rambo is doing.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/232/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

But most importantly? You are well advised to read “Living Free in the Fem-nazi World” and this book.

These are the only two things you **NEED** to read. The rest is background material and material to confirm what it is you have been reading in these two books.

A part of the problem is that there is so much information out there as well as a lot of MIS-information as well. It is hard to wade through it all and it takes a lot of time. A part of the reason for summarising this information into these two books is to save you the time of doing so for yourself.

A big reason for starting MBA Law Services is that there is time and effort of learning all this for yourself. Just like a car mechanic or a plumber you might choose to learn these skills for yourself or you might choose to pay someone who has learned these skills for his time. It is up to you.

6.5. RQ0005 – What About the Mens Rights Area?

“You have recommended I read A Voice for Men and The Spearhead even though Paul Elam has called you a parasite and Welmer has banned you. Why? Also, please tell me your opinion about the MRM/MRA area. Why are you not collaborating with them more? They would seem to be the men who would most benefit from what you have done. I would have thought they are the men working hardest for my rights. Please explain.”

The “Mens Rights” area does have some well meaning people in it and they are raising awareness among men that there is something very wrong in the western world today. They are a valuable resource to talk to. If it were not for Mens Rights activists like Angry Harry I would not have had such a rich set of information to go reading myself. So I do acknowledge the MRA/MRM area and the many well meaning men in that area. Certainly the comments I am about to make do not apply to ALL the men in that area. Bernard Chapin is one who stands out as doing his best and he is putting massive amounts of effort into his video channel.

I strongly recommend you join as a subscriber. Uncle Bern has your best interests at heart and I am pleased to call him my friend. Bern just passed 5,000 subscribers and has just published his 1,000th video. Both of which are amazing feats. I really hope he manages to actually make money out of his channel.

<http://www.youtube.com/user/pinegrove33>

However, **TBT** the Mens Rights Area is mostly a joke. The joke is that 99%+ of them do not even know where rights come from. They seek “Family Law Reform” and do not seek remedy or freedom. You can go onto any MRA/MRM site and ask questions like this and they will not be answered. Indeed, even for asking them you will very likely be hated on. Hatred or name calling is the standard reply from men AND WOMEN when they are challenged by a very simple question that they can not answer. Here are some questions with the answers that you will not get answered in the MRA/MRM area.

Q01. Where do rights come from?

A01. You are endowed with your unalienable rights by your creator. That is why it is written in the US Declaration of Independence. It was so commonly known in 1776 that you are endowed with your rights by your creator that it is part of one of the most famous sentences in the English language.

“We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.”

Despite the fact that this sentence is THAT well known you will rarely find an MRM/MRA who will answer that question accurately. This is despite the fact that I have published this sentence and pointed to it MANY times on the spearhead.

Q02. How do you claim your rights?

A02. Since you already HAVE your rights by way of being endowed with them at birth and those rights being unalienable/inalienable (meaning no lien can be placed against them without your consent) in order to claim your rights all you need to do is proclaim them as your rights. You are well advised to inform other people of your rights and to make sure they have no objection. This is done formally via a claim of right. The people you most need to issue your claim of right to is the governments of the world who like to think they can violate your rights at will. Almost NO MRM/MRAs have claimed their rights and therefore when those rights are violated no crime is being committed. They have waived their rights by consent by not rebutting the claim of the government that they are slaves.

Q03. How do you exercise your rights?

A03. You just go about your business and when you do things that invoke your rights you are exercising them. For example freedom of speech. Go out and talk to people and you are exercising your freedom of speech. When someone tries to tell you that you can not speak in some way or say something tell them you claim the right to freedom of speech and they have no right to violate your right. Simple.

Q04. When your rights are violated how to you defend them?

A04. When someone attempts to violate your rights you have every right to inform them of your rights verbally and then to defend your rights with force up to and including deadly force. For example. It is accepted that if a thief comes into your house in the middle of the night and he has a knife and he attacks you then should you have a gun you can shoot him dead. The thief waived his right to life when he took up a deadly weapon and attacked you. No crime is committed in this case and everyone agrees with that position except those too stupid to understand there is a time and place for deadly force.

Should you not wish to use deadly force in a certain situation you might allow the rights violation to occur under protest. You can then claim the right to form a court and empanel a jury and ask the jury for a remedy instruction based on you proving your case that a crime was committed against you.

In the absence of fair and just courts with empanelled juries you have **EVERY RIGHT** to dispense justice as **YOU SEE FIT**. This includes using **DEADLY FORCE**.

Most men in the MRA area are such pussies that such as statement is met with **“you are promoting violence”**. Apparently, as far as most MRAs and MRMs are concerned they are willing to tell their wives, the cops, the guvments and any petty thief that wants to come along that they are quite open and willing to being robbed and will never use force to defend themselves or their property because **“all violence is bad and to be denounced”**. Poor ignorant souls.

I was the subject of an attempt to steal my laptop by three men in Bucharest. When I realised what they were up to I told them that if they tried to steal my laptop I would run one of them down and beat him to death. Since I am perfectly capable of doing this and a 33% chance of being dead is not worth a laptop the three men simply walked away.

I was the subject of an attack by 6 wild dogs in Bucharest. They have quite a problem with wild dogs and they regularly attack people and sometimes even kill them. There was not even a stone or a stick at hand. So I made the dogs understand that if they attacked me then one or two of them would die. The dogs barked and circled me for longer than a minute before they made the decision between themselves to leave me alone. None of them even tried to get closer than a few feet as they realised that I was well able to kill one or two of them.

Violence is my friend. Violence is good. The promise of violence is what keeps the peace. You are well advised to learn how to be very, very violence under very, very good control. This will keep you safe when you are attacked. If you think you will live your whole life without ever being attacked you are dreaming. Hope for the best and prepare for the worst.

One more example. In my Family Law case in Ireland “Judge Griffin” threatened to incarcerate me if I did not pay his extortion money called “Interim Support”. Here is the order and my bill for the order. I refused to pay even one cent. I will not be extorted.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/111/threadid/392/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

I told my family law lawyer, the criminal calling himself Piarais Neary of Tracy Solicitors Dublin, (<http://www.traceysolicitors.ie/>) to tell “Judge Griffin” that if he jailed me unlawfully then he had better put in there longer than Mandella because when I came out my first point of business would be to kill him. “Judge Griffin” took the warning as it was intended and never issued an order to unlawfully incarcerate me.

THAT is how you deal with criminals who extort you. You tell them you will kill them if they unlawfully incarcerate you for not paying their extortion demands. And you **MEAN IT**.

I have long said that if the smart men ever finally realise that the way to defend themselves is to band together in small groups of six and to make oath to defend each other up to and including deadly force then all their problems would be solved. Some people might end up dead. But the “band of brothers” will have their rights defended by using force up to and including deadly force.

Anyone who denounces such a plan who is NOT registered to CAF to provide a community supported path to justice is a blatant hypocrite.

Q05 . Show me the PROOF that Family Legislation applies to men.

A05. You will never get PROOF of this because it doesn't exist. You will be called names for asking the question. Here is the TRUTH. Government requires **YOUR CONSENT** and **EVERY** piece of individual legislation that is drawn up by the government requires your **INDIVIDUAL CONSENT**. You might want to read this.

<http://www.thebcgroup.org.uk/>

<http://www.thebcgroup.org.uk/article/consent-most-important-word-english-language>

You will RARELY find an MRM/MRA who can explain to you the meaning of the word consent.

Again. Please note the Declaration of Independence for the US. Read these words again.

"That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed"

Notice the word **CONSENT** is right there in the declaration. Notice also the word "Men" is capitalised making it a proper noun and referring only to the signatories of the declaration. The Declaration of Independence only applied to those who signed it. If YOU did not sign it? It does NOT apply to you. I rather think YOU did not sign it.

MRAs/MRMs refuse to educate themselves on the idea that consent is not only individual and based on the consent of the individual person it is also individual in that you also have the right to consent or not consent to any specific rule of any specific group. No new rules can be brought in without your specific consent. Therefore you can, at any time, rescind your consent to be subject to government or any rule of government unless you have formally agreed to consent which means a signed contract or an oath taken with full disclosure.

The whole "you must be governed" is a scam and it is covered in the appendices.

Q06. Show me the PROOF that men must pay alimony or child support.

A06. There is no proof. You will be shown legislation. You will also be told if you do not pay then you might be thrown in jail. That is simply the crime of extortion.

Now. I spent a LOT of time educating MRAs and putting this information in front of them. They have chosen to ignore it. They have chosen to refuse to pass it along to young men like you. Paul Elam, Welmer, Angry Harry and others have flatly refused to learn about what I have PROVEN to be the case and write articles about it.

Given the MRA/MRM area chose to REFUSE to pass valuable information along to you? They are NOT your friends. They are, at best, a useful resource for information. Maybe one day they will see the error of their ways.

The "leaders" of the MRM/MRA area like Glen Sacks, Paul Elam, Welmer, Angry Harry and **MANY** others **REFUSED** to review this information, refused to validate it, and refused to propagate it to you, young men who need to know this materials. **ALL OF THEM!**

So you want to take that betrayal of you good selves into account when you **MISTAKENLY** think that these men have your best interests at heart. If they had your best interests at heart they would have done what I did and **PROPAGATE** information that has been **PROVEN** to be correct.

MRAs and MRMs have ALSO refused to join CAF in the 99%+ majority.

The men who claim they want "someone" to defend THEIR rights are not willing to defend anyone else's rights.

Keep that in mind when they tell you they have your best interests at heart.

6.6. RQ0006 – What About the FreeMan/Sovereign Area?

“You have often mentioned in your writings such people as Vince Byrne at TNS, Robert Menard, Roger Hayes and others. But you are also not closely collaborating with the FreeMan/Sovereign area. Can you please explain why?”

The Freeman/Sovereign Area is as big a disappointment and as big a joke as the MRA/MRM area. Perhaps even worse because the men in the Free Man area know the governments are a criminal cartel and **STILL** to not believe the stories of what happens in the family courts and **STILL** hate on men who bring these stories to light.

What could be more of a joke than an entire movement that understands the government is a criminal cartel and understands that women are being incited to commit crimes by lack of deterrent of any punishment and **STILL** claim that a man who points that out is a “woman hater”? I mean? Get real! Even the likes of Robert Menard, who knows better than ANYONE what a bunch of criminals are in the Canadian government, will be sexist, discriminatory and bigoted against men when this point is raised. It is unworthy of Robert to be so. He is my friend. I have a lot of time for Robert. But calling me “angry” and “bitter” and saying I have a “problem with women” is a joke.

Even the likes of Roger Hayes and David Icke and Alex Jones will NEVER discuss the fact that millions of women are committing crimes under their own steam and that tens of millions of other women know this to be the case and they stay silent therefore condoning those crimes.

TENS OF MILLIONS OF WOMEN.

THEY ARE NEVER MENTIONED BY THRUTHERS.

On the Irish Free Man forums I put more than 2,000 posts arguing this point and only ONE man out of the 1,700 other men present would speak out strongly in support of men **JUST LIKE YOU**. The **REST** of them took the position that it is **PERFECTLY OK** for women to commit crimes against men and that men who are the victims of women’s crimes should just **TOLERATE** those criminal acts.

Do NOT take my word for this. Go over to these links and ASK if men in these places are willing to sit on juries to give a man a path to justice when he has been the victim of a woman’s crime so he does not have to take the law into his own hands.

GO AND ASK RIGHT NOW.

You need to see this for yourself as part of your education as to just how much men in the Free Man/Sovereign/Truth area **HATE YOU** because they think you should tolerate criminal acts against you.

Ask them THIS question. You can even cut and paste it as it. I have no problem with that.

“Peter-Andrew: Nolan@ makes the claim that many men here condone and support criminal acts by women against men, especially in divorce.

He claims that many men in this place know that women commit crimes against men in the family courts. Crimes like perjury, kidnapping, extortion, theft and child abuse.

He claims that he has asked THOUSANDS of men in places just like this to step forward and join Crimes Against Fathers to fairly and justly put women on trial who are properly accused of crimes against fathers.

He claims that thousands upon thousands of men have refused to heed his call to give men a path to justice if they are criminally victimised by women.

That would be men just like me. That would be fathers just like I hope to be one day.

I would like to verify if his claims are true. Has he really called on thousands and thousands of men in places like this to give men just like me a path to justice?

Have you really refused to heed his call to give men a path to justice by creating lawful courts?

If his claims are false please point me to the place where men like you are giving men like me a path to justice.

As a young man it is important for me to know if older men in my society really do condone crimes against men just like me.”

Here is a sample of sites where you can go and ask these questions.

TNS Radio Forums. <http://tnsradio.ning.com/>

The Peoples United Community <http://www.tpuc.org/forum/>

Robert Menards Facebook. <http://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100000152705701>

Australian Sovereignty Party. http://www.facebook.com/home.php?sk=group_202699683093973

Australian Patriots Association. <https://www.facebook.com/groups/australianpatriotsparty/>

Just Grounds Community. <http://justgroundsonline.com>

David Ickes official forums. <http://forum.davidicke.com>

The Spearhead. <http://www.the-spearhead.com/>

Yes. Even on the Spearhead men refuse to join CAF. The reason is usually the same "it will never work". Well failure does not stem from trying and failing for the most part. Failure comes from failing to try in the first place.

Now. To be fair to Robert Menard, a guy I have a lot of time for, is trying to set up courts in Canada. I owe Robert a great debt as it was his clear articulation that was the light bulb for me. But even in a place like the World Free Man Society it is accepted that women hold no accountability for criminal acts and women are not stepping forward to create new courts under Roberts guidance to put criminal woman on trial. Go and ask. Don't take my word for it. When I suggest that women put criminal women on trial I am a "woman hater". Even there.

I have been banned from TPUC, ASP, APA, Just Grounds, David Ickes forums and The Spearhead for working to bring about lawful courts and denouncing those who are failing to **DEFEND YOUR RIGHTS!**

Apparently there is some special way in which you are supposed to ask men if they are willing to hold women accountable for crimes so that you do not upset the MEN let alone the women!! Schoolgirls!

The thing is that no one will actually articulate this "special way" in which you are supposed to ask and no one will actually ask in this "special way" and therefore the men do not form juries and courts and do not extend the protection of the law to other men. All because they have not been asked in this "special way" that no one is willing to divulge. Yes. It is THAT bad.

Again. You want to think about these facts when someone from the freeman area tells you they have **YOUR** best interests at heart. They do not for the most part. Not if you are the victim of crime by a woman.

Men in the Free Man/Sovereign/Truth area ALSO refused to reach out to you and educate you and also refuse to create juries to defend your rights. Men in the Free Man/Sovereign/Truth area are also quite happy to see YOU criminally victimised and refuse to assist you. How about that?

Of course. As with all large groups is it not all of them. But it IS 99%+ of them quite easily. I am here to tell you the truth about such things. I can back it up with evidence or you can go ask for yourselves and see how you get on. I would like the men in Free Man area to change their mind and actually hold women accountable before the law. You are free to ask them if they are willing to do so.

John Rambo went about some of these sites where I was banned and asked some simple questions.

1. Was Peter banned?
2. If so was anything he said proven to be untrue?
3. If not why was his banning not protested by the other men?

For asking these very simple and honest questions he was hated on by the other **MEN**. He was lied about and slandered by the **OTHER MEN**. He was very surprised by this.

Young man? **THAT** is how much **MEN** hate on **OTHER MEN** who even **ASK QUESTIONS** about a man who is willing to point out the criminal actions of women and defend **YOUR RIGHTS FOR YOU!**

Are you starting to get the picture? Your enemy is as much other **MEN** as it is women or the govment.

Note. I was not banned from TNS or Robert Menards facebook. I was banned from all others on the list above. I just do not want any misunderstandings about that.

6.7. RQ0007 – What About Our Fathers and Grand Fathers?

“Wow. Most in the MRAs/MRMs and Freeman/Sovereigns actually CONDONE criminal acts by women against men just like me? That comes as a BIG surprise. I would have thought they would be the first people to join up to CAF and want women held as equal before the law. Now that you have said that it prompts another question.

What about our fathers and grand fathers? Where do they stand on all this? What is your experience?”

In my opinion, and I have said this publicly many times, the people who are **MOST RESPONSIBLE** for the mess the world is in and the failure to secure the rights of young men like you are **FATHERS**.

You might ask why?

Firstly, Shopenhauer tells us that women have no inbuilt sense of justice. His essay “On Women” is in the appendices. I recommend you read it. Women simply can not tell that something is inherently unjust. Asking a woman to judge a person accused of a crime is the same as asking to pig to sing. It sounds terrible and annoys the pig. Bad result.

This is why women commit perjury so often. They do not even understand it is a crime. This is why women, who want for nothing, will steal and fully expect to face no significant punishment if caught. Indeed, a woman who is caught committing a crime is most usually rewarded with attention and the opportunity to claim to be a victim and actually NEEDING to commit the crime. The crime is recast as “a plea for help”. Just go and read the newspapers or watch TV. When a woman murders her child it is because she is “sick and needs help”. When a man murders his child he is a “monster and gets life”.

Again. Do not take my word for this. By all means go and check out the media stories and see how women are cast as “sick” and “victims” when they commit the most heinous crime of all, murder their own children. There is no end to the extent to which women will claim victimhood to get out of being responsible for their actions. This is why you hear **“take your punishment like a man”** and do **NOT** hear **“take your punishment like a woman”**. Because women are very rarely, if ever, punished for anything. They are not even punished for murdering their children in the main. In the main it goes down as SIDS or “unexplained”. You might not know that the woman at the centre of the first case study for SIDS later confessed **SHE KILLED HER FIVE CHILDREN**

Notice how she turned from victim to killer to victim again.

As soon as she “lawyered up” she was a victim of a harrowing interrogation in which she would have confessed to anything to end it. Again. Do not take my word for this. If YOU were under suspicion for killing your five children do YOU think you would get a chance to use “harrowing interrogation” to recant your confession? Well, do you?

http://articles.latimes.com/1994-05-22/news/mn-60929_1_sudden-infant-death-syndrome

Between 1965 and 1971, five healthy babies were born here to a poor woman who seemed to want them desperately and who mourned each of their deaths with a convulsive grief that quavered the soul.

At one funeral, Waneta Hoyt fainted after the lowering of the tiny, pitiful coffin and at another, her body collapsed with the great force of her sobbing. She had to be helped away from the freshly turned soil at the graveside.

These family tragedies, one after another, puzzled friends and relatives as well as the doctors. The deaths were always sudden, the causes inexplicable. The final two babies spent most of their short lives in a Syracuse hospital, their every breath monitored by machines. On occasion, they suffered slightly abnormal pauses in respiration. Then, like matchsticks lit against an unforgiving wind, they each died within a day after being sent home.

As a medical case history, this haunting clockwork of mortality seemed a significant tale to share. One of the hospital's attending physicians, Dr. Alfred Steinschneider, wrote it up for the noted journal Pediatrics. He went on to become a national expert on Sudden Infant Death Syndrome.

Two months ago, 23 years after the death of her fifth baby, Waneta Hoyt was interrogated by police for the first time. Questioning went on for almost two hours before something gave way. The mother then began to confess the details of five suffocations, by pillows, with a towel, against the soft flesh of her shoulder: “I could not stand the crying,” she told police. “It was the thing that caused me to kill them all, because I didn't know what to do for them.”

And, for a while, that appeared to be that. Waneta Hoyt--47, housewife, churchgoer, the mother of an adopted boy now in high school--was arrested. It added yet another to a peculiar string of cases, women accused of murdering their babies, the deaths often first thought to be SIDS.

But now, through her two court-appointed attorneys, Hoyt has recanted. They say their frail, emotionally scarred client would have admitted to anything that day merely to end the long cross-fire of painful questions.

Certainly, that is what her many friends here in Upstate New York choose to believe. Memories are vivid of Waneta making her visits to the graves, laying crocuses near the headstones, pining to give birth to yet another child.

Five dead children. A confession years later followed by a fast recanting of the confession via the lawyers. Personally I am apt to believe the confession. Healthy babies do not just die. Babies all over the world have survived very serious injuries or depravations as the result of such as earthquakes or other natural causes only to be found days later a little lighter but still alive. Babies are much hardier than we usually believe.

We are all too ready to believe the lies and tears of a woman. If you disbelieve what a woman tells you 100% of the time you will be right far more often than if you believe her 100% of the time. So from the perspective of protecting yourself? You are best advised to dis-believe women. If they wanted to be believed they would have punished women who committed perjury.

Now. When I say to women they have no inbuilt sense of justice those women hate on me and call me a "woman hater"? Right? Got that? THEN, when I follow that up with:

"Well, if you DO have an inbuilt sense of justice will you create all women courts and all women juries and put women on trial who have been properly accused of a crime?"

And if found guilty will you issue a remedy instruction that is the same remedy instruction you would want if you were the victim of the same crime?"

This is **NEVER** met with a "yes". Even when the hypocrisy is **THAT** blatant women can not admit it. Such a question is inevitably followed by more verbal abuse and more accusations of hating women because, as everyone knows, to expect women to hold women accountable for criminal acts is ample evidence that you hate women. Right?

Again. Do NOT take my word for this. Go into face book pages or internet groups and point the women to the CAF site and ask the women there if they are willing to create all women courts and all women juries to give men a path to justice.

Ask them that question above in red.

Then just sit back and watch the **HATRED** spewed at you for having the temerity to ask such a question.

What you will NOT see is women signing up to CAF women's sites. The reason I created the CAF women's sites was to PROVE, once and for all, beyond **ALL DOUBT**, that no significant number of women would actually form courts or juries. The lack of women on CAF **PROVES** they have NO innate sense of justice, just like Shoepenhauer said.

If women would like to **PROVE ME WRONG** by forming such courts I would be delighted.

So if WOMEN have no innate sense of justice? Who does? Well? **FATHERS** of course. MEN in general have a very strong sense of justice. That is why the fastest way to anger a man is to commit a crime against him and deny him a path to justice. If you are a man and you commit a crime against another man and he is denied a path to justice you might find yourself on the end of some summary justice dispensed by that man.

But when a WOMAN commits a crime against a man the brainwashing that us older man have been subject to all our lives, such as *"never hit a girl/woman no matter what she does to you"* which is intended to protect women, screws us men up.

We do NOT dispense summary justice to women no matter how badly they criminally abuse us in the main. When we are little? If another boy hits us for no reason we are told to *"stand up for yourselves and fight back"*. But if a **GIRL** hits us for no reason we are told *"never hit a girl under any circumstances"* and you can be sure that if you DO hit a girl back who hit you first your father will give you a hiding.

How many of you lads know about that little double standard about "equality" as defined by women and girls, eh? I would guess ALL of you, right?

This blatant hypocrisy and double standard can be seen in the case of Sharon Osborne and her **WHOLE FEMALE AUDIENCE** laughing about the case of a man whose wife criminally drugged him and then when he was asleep from the criminal drugging she cut off his penis and put it in the garbage disposal. Yes. An entire audience of women **LAUGHED** about this. Do women laugh about women who are drugged and raped? No. They do not.

If you do not believe this happened on **NATIONAL TV** then please click this link. It is important that you know about these double standards you are facing in your society. Do you think these women have an innate sense of justice?

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/80/postid/627/scope/posts/Default.aspx#627>

Now that you have seen the video. Yes. This is Sharon Osborne, a woman who is married and has a son, **LAUGHING** about a man being criminally drugged and criminally physically mutilated and attacked in the most vicious and criminal of ways. As one woman says at the end of the piece *"if this was a woman we would not be laughing"* and even THEN Sharon Osborne continues to make fun of this criminally victimised man.

THAT IS HOW MUCH WOMEN HATE MEN.

DO NOT FORGET THIS FOR THE REST OF YOUR LIFE.

100% of the women in that audience **LAUGHED** about a criminally victimised man. It is **FUNNY** when a woman criminally victimises a man as far as women are concerned. **FUNNY**. Ok?

Have you got that very clear in your heads that:

IF A WOMAN CUTS OFF YOUR PENIS THE OTHER WOMEN WILL LAUGH AT YOU.

And if you object? They will call **YOU** a "woman-hater".

Please let that sink in.

Can you see the level of hypocrisy?

Can you see the double standard?

And remember in Eastern Europe **NO WOMAN** would laugh at such a thing.

Now. What have **FATHERS** got to do with all this? Well?

EVERY WOMAN CRIMINAL HAS A FATHER.

All those women in Sharon Osbornes audience have a **FATHER**.

Jennifer Toal has a FATHER.

I have a FATHER.

Guess what? **FATHERS CONDONE** their daughters committing crimes. In my case? Even my **OWN FATHER** condoned child abuse, the abuse of his elderly and ill wife, and the criminal acts against me by his **DAUGHTER IN LAW** over his **OWN SON**.

Let **THAT** sink in for a bit.

MY OWN FATHER CONDONED CRIMINAL ACTS AGAINST ME, CHILD ABUSE AGAINST HIS GRAND CHILDREN AND ABUSE OF HIS OWN ELDERLY AND ILL WIFE.

Indeed. My own father acted so disgracefully as to invite the people who **ABUSED HIS WIFE** to her funeral. This was one step **TOO FAR** for me. I wrote this open letter. I recommend you take the time now to read this open letter.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/80/threadid/708/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

I took the position that I will, **IN NO WAY**, condone and support the abuse of my mother. If that meant that I would refuse to stand among **CRIMINALS** that were invited to her funeral by my own father then so be it. My father, as the husband, has the prerogative as to who he will invite to his wife's funeral. I have the prerogative of deciding if I will dis-honour my mothers memory by burying her among criminals.

So. Young man. Here are some questions you might want to ask your father and your grandfathers. I strongly recommend you go and ask them these questions. Do not be left in doubt that, for the vast majority of you, your fathers are so uncaring about you that they too would expect you to accept criminal acts committed against you to stand.

Why? Because if they are not willing to give the next mans son the protection of the law they are sure as hell not going to give **YOU** the protection of the law.

Early on in the divorce process my father said to me:

"if you do not simply accept what Jennifer is doing, if you speak out about it, you will lose all your friends. I have seen it happen before."

My reply to him was simple.

"Any "friend" of mine who is not willing to denounce Jennifer as the criminal she is, is no friend of mine. I wish nothing to do with any such person."

My father was a bit taken aback by such a comment. It is sad that it has come to pass that he is one such person who held himself up as my "friend" but could not bring himself to denounce the people who abused his own wife.

THAT is how far the manginanness of **OLDER MEN** goes for the most part. They will demand their own sons accept criminal acts by women, or even when the victim is the wife and mother, and they will condone such acts just because the perpetrator of the crime or the abuse is a woman. This is the "equality" women demanded and actually get enforced by **FATHERS**.

On the other hand? A MAN who says **ONE BAD WORD** about a mans wife can be sure that he will be severely rebuked. We ALL know that for a MAN to criticise another mans wife is to be looking for an instant fight.

Let me repeat that.

A WOMAN can commit a criminal act against a mans wife or abuse her severely in old age and illness and THAT IS PERFECTLY OK by the husband.

But if a MAN speaks one criticism about a mans wife, no matter HOW TRUE, those are fighting words.

This is what women and mangina men call "equality".

So here are some questions and conversations for you to have with your father and grand father. I strongly recommend you do this so you learn the truth about how much they **REALLY** care about you. As in **NOT AT ALL**. Better you find this out when you are young. It won't hurt so much when you are younger.

Dad. The family courts and the criminal courts are as corrupt as can be. This is well known. Routinely women commit perjury making false allegations and men are routinely falsely imprisoned or punished. Similarly in the divorce courts they have their children kidnapped and their houses stolen based on this perjury that is very common by women.

There are many web sites talking about this. Peter-Andrew: Nolan© has proven this to be the case by publishing his court documents. Further, he has spent four years working on gaining a path to justice as the prototype for such a path to justice for other men like me. I have read and reviewed his court documents and his correspondence with the various governments involved. It is clear they are a criminal cartel if you stop to read the evidence.

He has proven beyond any doubt in my mind that the governments of Ireland and Australia are a criminal cartel and that the courts are there to protect the criminals in the government and not the people of the land as they claim.

He has made public his lawful notices. He has even called the former Prime Minister of Australia, Kevin Rudd, a criminal on his facebook and other men have asked Kevin Rudd if he is going to deny the accusation. He has denounced all members of the Australian and Irish Parliaments as criminals and provided the proof for this accusation publicly. (if you do not live in Ireland or Australia you might say this) Our country can be no different.

That this is not on the news shows us that the media is controlled by the same criminals in the government.

He has started a world wide site called "Crimes Against Fathers". This is a registration site where men who are prepared to form new courts with new juries can make oath to do so and indicate they have made that oath by registering to his CAF site.

Clearly we need new courts and new people in the courts because he has proven beyond any doubt that the magistrates and judges in the existing courts are criminals. If they were not criminals they would have made sure that the magistrates and judge that committed crimes against Peter were put on trial to save their own credibility. That they did not do that means that no judge and no magistrate in the English speaking world can have any credibility and no court they convene can have any lawful jurisdiction.

So my questions to you are these. (And you might have more)

- 1. Why have you not done anything about these men who are criminally victimised? (Assuming he has not) That might be me one day.*
- 2. Why are you not willing to extend the protection of the law to your neighbour?*
- 3. And if you do not extend the protection of the law to your neighbour do you expect your neighbour to extend the protection of the law to you if you need it? And what about me if I need help?*
- 4. What would be your expectation of me if I got married, had kids, and then my wife steals the kids and the house from me? Would you expect me to just tolerate this injustice?*
- 5. What would be YOUR actions if this happened to me?*
- 6. I have a sister. What would be YOUR actions if she was to victimise another man like this?*

Would you insist that she stop committing her crimes? Or would you be afraid she would use the SAME criminals in the SAME courts to deny you access to your grand children?

7. *Having answered these questions.*

Are you willing to join the Crimes Against Fathers site as an indication you have made OATH to serve on new juries in new courts to secure the rights of fathers?

Are you willing to treat women as they have demanded to be treated, as equals to men, which surely means "equal before the law" if it is to have any meaning at all?

8. *If no to question 7? Why not?*

Dad. These are really important questions to me.

I want to know if you are willing to secure the rights of other men just like me because if you are not willing to secure their rights I can have no faith in you that you will secure my rights. Further, I can have no faith that your peers would be willing to secure my rights if they were violated.

I would have to believe what Peter-Andrew: Nolan@ pointed out with respect to HIS father who expected him to simply accept these criminal acts.

In going on the web and reading men's stories? It is very consistent. The mans father will NOT lift a finger to secure the rights of his son.

More importantly the fathers of the WOMEN, like Bill Toal in Peters well documented case, will even provide money and support for daughters that they KNOW are committing crimes. These FATHERS become criminals themselves by aiding and abetting criminal women and the peers of these fathers do NOTHING!

I want to know what your position is BEFORE this happens to me. I do not want to find out what you would do in the same way Peter did. When everyone around him condoned the criminal acts of perjury, kidnapping, extortion, theft and child abuse against him and his children and then HATED on him when he demanded a path to justice.

I want you to really think about your position on what I think is a very important topic.

Your willingness or not to join CAF will be a defining point of our future relationship. If you simply allow men just like me to be criminally victimised, if you say nothing to fathers like Bill Toal who openly support criminal daughters, then our relationship is going to reflect your attitude. So think over what I am asking you.

Now. Having asked your father or grand father questions like that? Here is another question you might like to look him in the eye and ask him. Go ahead. You might find out something very worth while about your father.

Dad, Peter-Andrew: Nolan@ has spent more than 3,500 hours of his own personal time over four years at a personal cost of more than \$A500,000....including having his HOUSE stolen!!!.....to work to secure MY RIGHTS. Please correct me if I am wrong but isn't it YOUR JOB to make sure MY RIGHTS ARE SECURED? Or did you, at some point, decide that it should be the criminals in the guvment that secured MY RIGHTS?

I am YOUR SON. YOU ARE OBLIGED TO SECURE MY RIGHTS just as I would be obliged to secure the rights of my son should I one day be so blessed. If it can be shown that the people you have delegated the protection of the law to are CRIMINALS it is YOUR JOB to protect ME. It is YOUR JOB to take back the DELEGATED responsibility of Due Process of Law and secure MY RIGHTS and the rights of other men just like you and me.

That is why Peter has done this. He knows it was an OBLIGATION as a man and a father to secure the rights of ALL people in Australia. Millions of men died in WW II securing our rights. Peter called for other fathers to join him and very few did so HE has had to shoulder a LARGER part of the load because men just like you REFUSED TO DO YOUR JOB. Peter-Andrew: Nolan@ spent EVERY CENT HE HAD as well as a vast amount of time DOING YOUR JOB FOR YOU because men like you REFUSED HIS CALL FOR HELP!!

So I have a question. How much are you going to PAY HIM for ALREADY doing YOUR JOB? How much is MY FREEDOM and securing MY RIGHTS worth to you? His paypal is peter.nolan.paypal@peterolan.com

Let me know how much you PAY HIM for ALREADY doing YOUR JOB. I would be interested to know what price you put on MY FREEDOM and securing MY RIGHTS. If you pay him nothing? So be it.

6.8. RQ0008 – What About Our Sisters, Mothers and Grand Mothers?

“Wow. It had never struck me that our fathers and grand fathers staying silent was such a betrayal of my future. You make a good point. But it prompts the next question of course. What about our mothers and grand mothers? What are your comments about our mothers and grand mothers?”

Yes. This is the next question that you should be asking.

The FACT is that the vast majority of your sisters, Mothers and Grandmothers, know exactly what is going on. They know women are stealing men’s children and houses. They know women are committing perjury in the family courts. They know women are making false allegations left right and centre. And they won’t do anything about it. Not even when it is their own son.

You can pretty much rely on any woman you marry now to undermine you in front of your children. This is seen as perfectly acceptable by women now. If you do not believe me? Let me share this with you. Jennifer told lies to our children such as:

“I love you more than your father does because I spend all my time with you while he is off having fun working all over the world.”

No. I did not make that up. She actually said that to the children in front of me when they were teenagers.

Was there any mention that she refused to work against my non-consent? Was there any mention that in refusing to work she deprived her children of time with their father by forcing me out of the house to work in order to provide a roof over their head, food on the table, clothes on their backs, schools, cars and 8 overseas holidays every year?

Was there any mention that after we had the massive expense and stress of my eldest former step son, Jarrod, having cancer and six months of chemo that I worked 47 days straight, many of them 36 hour “days” on two clients and spread my time between Ireland, London, Germany and Moscow?

Was there any mention of the fact that while I was doing that I took pity and concern on Jennifer and the younger two children and told them to go on a summer holiday in Sweden to rest and relax and get over the tremendous strain they had been under? Was there any mention of the fact that I sacrificed my health and well being in this period and put off my own time to recover from the strain I had been under until a later point in time?

No. There was no mention of ANY of those facts. It was just *“I love you more than your father because I am here with you while he is off having fun working all over the world.”*

Well? Interestingly enough. At one point during Jarrod’s chemotherapy my youngest former son had no one else we could have him stay with over the easter break. I asked my client if I could bring him to the office and simply let him read books or play computer games quietly in the corner during work hours. The client, who was aware of the situation, agreed with this.

Joshua was about 12 at the time. He would sit quietly for 9 or 10 hours a day and then we would go to dinner together and then return to my small apartment. We made up an air bed on the floor and that is where he slept. I didn’t realise or notice at the time how tolerant he was of such sedentary activities. I could not have done that myself at that age.

At dinner one night we had a conversation that went about like this. It is summarised but you get the idea.

Joshua: Why don’t you talk to the other people in the office during the day. It is silent almost all the time. No one talks to each other.

Peter: Well, we do talk to each other. We send each other emails. I sent more than 20 emails today to the man sitting next to me. We talk over email, not in words.

Joshua: Well why do you do that? He is sitting right next to you. Why not just tell him what you need to tell him?

Peter: Because we also have members of our team in Toronto, London, San Francisco and India. I need to talk to many people across the world and the only way to do that is on email. You can also see that we do have conference calls on our phones. When we are all on the phone at one point and no one is talking what we are doing is having conference calls.

Joshua: But when I look over at what you are doing I see you typing into a spreadsheet most of the time. You seem to be spending most of your days doing that. I see you write emails. I see you on phone calls. I see you talk maybe 10 or 15 minutes per day. The rest of the day you are at your computing typing or reading or looking at stuff on the screen. I mean. You don't seem to be doing anything else.

Peter: This is my job. This is what I do. On a project like this, where we have people spread around the world, we have to communicate a lot to each other and we do that via email and phones mostly. And the other people in other places are doing the same. This is our job. This is what it looks like.

Joshua: It looks like a really boring job. I thought you had an interesting job.

Peter: This is one of the best jobs a man can have and it is boring 95% of the time. But at least I am bored in a nice office with nice people and doing a safe job that pays well. Very few men have it as good as me.

Joshua: Wow. I really didn't know this is what your job looked like.

As a 12 year old? Maybe it was too early for him to see what the working life of a *"glamorous international BI Consultant"* looked like. His mother had told him how *"Dad is always out working having fun."* When Joshua saw what I was actually doing for a solid 10 hours a day it did not look much like fun to him because it is not fun. How many men get to have a job that is "fun" any more than a very small percentage of the time? Not many.

This is what Jennifer meant when she said she has *"enrolled the children in my stories about you"*. She had told the children I did not love them as much as she did because I was *"having all the fun out working while she stayed at home"*. There is nothing that women can not turn into victimhood. Not even when they resign from a \$A40,000 a year job at IBM against their husbands wishes and become a stay at home mum impoverishing the family in doing so. That is still *"being a victim"* as far as woman are concerned. The lies just never end. They really don't.

Let me tell you a story. I have a cousin my age (48) called Shane. As luck would have it his mother and father separated when he was little and he never really had his father in his life. Because we were kids growing up together I could see how much he missed having a father. I could see how some of his more erratic behaviour was the result of not having a father in his life. Even as a child it was obvious to me that children without fathers in their lives had it very much harder than children with fathers in their lives. Even if that father was a tough disciplinarian.

The thing about fathers is that they are not there to be "liked" by their children. They are there to get the children to adulthood as functioning adults. It is the mothers who have this never ending need to be "liked" by their children. And often times the way the mother does this is to alienate the children from the father and openly criticise the father to the children. The piece above is how my wife attempted to win the "friendship" and be "liked" by her children.

As a younger man Shane often talked of wanting to have his own family one day. He did not do so well at school and moved from job to job for a while trying to settle into something that he could stick at and have a reliable income. This is very normal in country Australia. Indeed it is very normal all over the world. Shane did eventually meet "the girl of his dreams" and he did eventually have a child. I actually never met the child, I think he is a boy. I had left the country when he was born.

So when I was back in Australia in November 2009 for my court meeting his mother, my aunt, my fathers sister, came to dinner with us. I will remind you this women is in her late 60s so she is old enough to know **EXACTLY** what is going on.

I asked after my cousin, Shane, to see how he was getting on. I had not seen my aunt in 8 years and they do not use email. My aunt related how, not that long before, the girl had simply up and left and shacked up with some other guy. Shane was summarily discarded but he was made to pay child support from very much a working mans wage. She related how he was heart broken. She told me how she was sure he could not have done anything wrong to the woman as he is such a kind hearted man and he had been waiting all his life to have a chance at having a child. She told me how he often calls her and is in tears on the phone heart broken to be apart from his child and nothing he can do about it.

She openly wondered how it came to be like this.

I BLEW MY TOP.

I really did. If I remember closely I said something like this.

*“You want to know how it came to be like this? I will tell you how it came to be like this. You women are nothing but a bunch of bloody liars and hypocrites. **THAT** is how it came to be like this. You bloody women claimed you wanted a fair go. You claimed you wanted equality. Just give us a chance and we will show you what we can do you said. **ALL MY LIFE.** And then what, exactly, did you do? You commit perjury. You break your marriage vows. You steal our children. You steal our houses. You ruin our hard won businesses. You tell lies about us to our children.*

*Then, when we “complain” about what is happening you **SPEW HATRED AT US** and support the criminal women.*

*And you have done this **FOR DECADES.***

*Since the older women. Women **JUST LIKE YOU**, sat back and **SAID NOTHING** all these years the **YOUNG WOMEN** think they can do whatever they please and make the man pay despite the fact the man has done nothing wrong and does not deserve to be so badly treated.*

*You want to know why **YOUR SON** is getting screwed over? It is because **YOU** and **WOMEN LIKE YOU** said **NOTHING** these last **THIRTY YEARS.***

*And **ME?** I am trying to stop this and I am **HATED ON** by women **JUST LIKE YOU** and **JUST LIKE YOUR DAUGHTERS** for standing up for **MY RIGHTS AND FOR YOUR SONS RIGHTS.***

*I just stood in a court and video recorded a man commit the crime of pretending to be a magistrate. I just stood in a court and heard **PERJURY AND LIES SPEWED OUT ABOUT ME.***

WHERE THE F* WAS IRENE TOAL, EH?** (Note my aunt and Irene Toal are the same age and know each other)

WHY WAS IRENE TOAL ALLOWING HER DAUGHTER TO COMMIT THESE CRIMES, EH?

AND WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO SAY TO IRENE TOAL, EH?

*You want to know why **YOUR SON** is crying to you on the phone over his lost child?*

LOOK IN THE MIRROR.

LOOK AT IRENE TOAL.

*And if you are unlucky? You face the prospect of **YOUR SON** killing himself. After all? What does he have to live for now his society has told him, **YOU HAVE TOLD HIM**, that he has no right to be the father he has wanted to be **ALL HIS LIFE.***

That will come as a big shock to him. He’s a more sensitive man than I am and I damn near killed myself two years ago!!”

My father was at the table and after I finished said

“Don’t you dare speak to your aunt like that again”

So I turned around and said in a very hostile tone.

“She claimed equality. She said she wanted to be treated as an equal. She said she wanted her daughters to be treated like equals and have the same chances as a man. She is an adult. So I will speak to her however the hell I like and you will say nothing about that ever again to me.

Did I just make myself clear to you?”

My dad was shocked to the core that I will not tolerate his chivalry in my life any more. Women claimed equality. That makes chivalry sexism, discrimination and bigotry against men. I will not tolerate such. Especially from my father.

My aunt then stepped in to say *"It's ok John. Peter is right."*

I drove my aunt home that evening and we spent another hour talking. I told her how it is estimated that 4,000 men a year kill themselves from the abuse of the criminal family courts and Shane could well be one of them real soon now. I told her how **HER GENERATION** of women had stayed silent these last 30 years when they knew **FULL WELL** men were getting screwed over in the divorce courts. I told her that if a man like me, one who is so well respected and who has been such a role model of "good father and husband" is so criminally treated in the courts then **NO MAN IS SAFE** from the criminal treatment of men by women in the criminal family courts.

I reminded her that **HER MOTHER** would have spoken out. **HER MOTHER** was not sexist when it came to disciplining the grand children and **SHE KNOWS THIS TO BE TRUE**. I told her that the mess the country is in is in big part because women of **HER GENERATION** stayed silent when they **KNEW WHAT WAS GOING ON**.

I told her: Women like **IRENE TOAL**, who is **YOUR DIRECT PEER**, openly condone and support their daughters committing crimes against the **BEST** of husbands and **YOU STAYED SILENT**. That means **YOU SUPPORT THESE CRIMES**.

I asked her: **WHY DIDN'T YOU CALL IRENE TOAL AND TELL HER THAT JENNIFERS TREATMENT OF YOUR NEPHEW WAS NOT ACCEPTABLE?**

I asked her: **WHY DON'T YOU GO AROUND TOWN TO ALL THE PEOPLE YOU KNOW AND DENOUNCE IRENE TOAL FOR THE CRIMINAL SHE IS?**

WHY DON'T YOU CALL HER BOSS AT THE HOSPITAL AND DEMAND THAT IRENE TOAL BE DISMISSED FOR COMMITTING CRIMES AGAINST YOUR NEPHEW?

I told her. *"You want to know **WHY** you are not doing any of that? Because you are a coward. Because you are gutless. Because you want your daughters to have the same chances to screw over some man as Shanes girlfriend is having with him."*

I told her. *"Measured by the standards of men, the standards you said you wanted to be held to, you are a gutless coward. And the person paying the price for your cowardice is **YOUR SON** and **YOUR GRANDCHILD**. Because you know in your heart how much harder it was for Shane to grow up without his father in his life. And **YOU** have condemned your grandchild to the same fate by being a gutless coward and not speaking out in cases like **IRENE TOALS** case."*

I told her. *"Now. I have told you **EXACTLY** what is going on. **YOU** are nearing 70. **YOU** have the moral authority to speak out as an elder woman who now knows **EXACTLY** what is going on."*

*What are **YOU** going to do about it?"*

And young man?

You know what SHE did about any of that?

NOTHING.

ABSOLUTELY NOTHING.

And you young man? You want to hear one of the most disgusting and dirty little secrets that your mothers and your grand mothers hope you **NEVER FIND OUT?**

Here it is.

Even when their own SONS kill themselves from the criminal abuse of their wives in stealing their children, their houses, their future incomes, their hopes, their dreams, their very reason for living?

Even when their SON is dead and in a box in the ground??

Even when they have MORE MARRIED SONS?!?!?

THEY WILL STILL NOT SPEAK UP.

You might be well advised to read that again. Go ahead. Read it again. It is the most disgusting secret your mothers and your grand mothers have that they do NOT want you do know.

THEY DO NOT CARE IF YOU KILL YOURSELF.

THAT IS NOT ENOUGH REASON TO SPEAK OUT.

Now? Young man. Do you **STILL** think women are your “equal”?

Are you **STILL** going to allow them to spew that **LIE** into your face?

Are you **STILL** going to let them get away with the crap of pretending to be upset when you call them on it?

Women’s tears are just their lies in liquid form.

Don’t you forget it.

I have put a post on CAF from “Sue”. The link is blow. She has one son who is 18 now. One of my conversations with her went like this.

“Consider this possibility. Your son meets the girl of his dreams. He falls in love. They get married. They have a little baby girl, the girl you wanted for yourself but was not blessed with. They seem very happy to you. Your son is doing the best he can to provide for his wife and baby. Then she meets some man who has more money and she dumps your son and refuses to let him see his little girl. You son is heart broken. He turns to alcohol and drugs and eventually kills himself. You lose your only son and your only grand child because of one greedy woman.”

I would swear on a stack of bibles that “Sue” visibly trembled at the thought of that happening.

She simply said ***“Do not say anything like that every again. That was too horrible to even hear.”***

To which I replied. ***“That happens every day in Australia. Every day some woman like you buries her son who has been abused by a criminal woman. None of those women speak out. None of those women are helping me. I am one of the men leading the effort to stop men just like your son from killing themselves by giving them a path to justice when a woman commits a crime against them. And in all of Australia only one woman is helping me.”***

This is what Sue agreed for me to post onto the site. As a young man? I really recommend you read this. Do not skip this post.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/39/threadid/718/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

This woman has spoken out in support of me because she knows that what I am trying to do is to stop the deaths of young men just like her son. Young men **JUST LIKE YOU.**

She knows that women have to speak out to stop such things happening. She knows that in doing so she is putting herself at risk because there are evil men who want to harm her to get at me.

Young men, look around the web. Take a look at just how few women are speaking out. There is a new woman commentator called "Girl Writes What". Here is her video channel. It is worth a look.

<http://www.youtube.com/user/girlwriteswhat>

She is making a few videos. Hooray. About time. What is the response in the man-o-sphere? Some morons in the man-o-sphere are giving her "rock star" status. Paul Elam has given her a spot on A Voice for Men. That would be the SAME Paul Elam who has publicly called me a parasite and never apologised for doing so by the way.

- Has GWW spent 3,500+ hours researching and then running cases to PROVE the criminal element of the government and courts?
- Has GWW been prepared to risk her life?
- Has GWW been willing to have her children kidnapped, house stolen, business destroyed **FOR YOU?**
- Has GWW produced the book to free any of 400 MILLION men who might use it?
- Has GWW created a global site to create a path to justice for fathers all over the world?
- Has GWW campaigned for three years to get enough men to put criminal women on trial in fair and just courts?
- Has GWW built the framework for doing business outside government jurisdiction?
- Has GWW written a book to lay out the facts for young men like this?
- Has GWW invested USD500,000 of her own money creating a new future for men **JUST LIKE YOU?**
- Has GWW called for women to sit on all women's courts in all women juries?
- Indeed. What ,exactly, has GWW done apart from make a few videos from the comfort of her own kitchen?

The answer to ALL those questions is a resounding NO. Yet GWW is a "rock star" of the MRA/MRM area and I am a "parasite" who is banned off the Spearhead even though my intro post had the MOST hits and I brought THOUSANDS of readers to the Spearhead. I even recommend it after being banned!

You young men would be well advised to look at the **TRACK RECORD** of men who claim to be working to assist you and working to give you a path to freedom and justice. You are well advised to judge people based on their **TRACK RECORD**.

And by the way? These women who say "you should not judge people"? What sort of crap is that, eh? The reason women say "you should not judge people" is because if you judged them they would come up short.

Women claimed equality. Men judge men all the time. Therefore women are demanding to be judged on the same basis as MEN. Rather than GWW being called a rock star the men could be saying:

"That is a nice start. Now, go and get 10,000 of your closest women friends from all over the world and register to CAF, create courts, put women on trial, give us our remedies for crimes committed against us. You are equal. Surely you do not need a mans help to dispense justice to your sisters, right?."

When you are done with remedying the CRIMES of your sisters THEN make sure you are 51% of the war dead, workplace dead, incarcerated, homeless, alimony payers and child support payers.

AFTER you have done all **THAT?** **THEN** get back to me.

Until then? What the hell do you think you have to say to me that could possibly interest me as a man?

Do you think I do not KNOW what you are talking about?

Do you think I HAVE NOT LIVED THESE CRIMES!

*Go talk to the **WOMEN!***

SORT OUT THE LIES AND HYPOCRISY OF YOUR FELLOW WOMEN."

You men are well advised to tell women to get lost and refuse to listen to them until **AFTER** they have sorted out the lies and hypocrisy of their sister themselves. Indeed I keep a post about that here. I recommend you read it.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/189/threadid/882/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

GWW is presenting information at the intellectual level of an imbecile. Maybe that is why she has so many viewers. She is so far behind on what is really happening it's not funny. She is just finding out feminists are liars and hypocrites for goodness sake. She is "surprised"! She is asking "what planet are these people on"! LOL! She has YET to find out feminism was funded by the Rockefellers and that the whole thing is nothing more than a pack of lies to use women as "useful idiots" in order for the likes of the Rockefellers to more easily control men by manipulating the women into a "war of the sexes" such that men will reject having a family in the future as well as reject protecting women and children in the future. I know because it is called **THE UKRAINE.**

I have sent GWW the chapter from the first book "How are men enslaved" and pointed out that the Illuminati ALWAYS use women to enslave men and the women ALWAYS go along with this idea because they are the major beneficiaries. GWW has been given ALL the information she needs to know, on a platter, in her in tray. And what is she doing with it? She is talking at a level that is "to the masses" rather than talking about the remedy. She is one more woman who thinks "if we wake enough people up **SOMEONE ELSE WILL FIX THINGS**"

Let me share a secret with you young man.

NO ONE ELSE IS GOING TO FIX THINGS FOR YOU.

YOU NEED TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR YOURSELF.

If you are not willing to be responsible for yourself then whoever you choose to take responsibility for you will be your master. If you make the government responsible for you? The government is your master. And yes, most men will choose to be serfs of the government and that's fine. Let them be. But is that what **YOU** want to be?

Now. GWW could ask one simple question of **ALL** her female viewers.

"Will you make oath to put women properly accused of crimes on trial? If so join CAF."

But she won't ask that question because that would *"alienate the women and the manginas in the audience"* and that would be 99% of the audience.

Well? Young man? Do you think you should support someone who refuses to call on women to sit on juries to fairly and justly put women properly accused on trial? Or do you think that YOU should also be willing to treat woman as they claimed they wanted to be treated, and put a woman accused of crimes on trial just like YOU would expect to be put on trial if you were accused of a crime that you actually committed but are denying to try and get away with the crime, eh?

The question for you is simple.

Do **YOU** think women should be held as "equal before the law" to **YOU**?

Because I am pretty sure that if you have gotten this far in this book that YOU are an honest young man of some honour and integrity and you understand that injustice anywhere damages justice everywhere.

I am pretty sure **YOU** think that women should be held as "equal before the law" and accountable for their actions.

I am suggesting **YOU** judge women based on the same criteria **YOU** judge men. If a **MAN** claims that he can commit crimes with impunity you would remind him that is not the case. But **WOMEN** claim this every day of the week and **MANY MEN** agree. My father and **BILL TOAL** among them.

Well young man?

Now you have finished this chapter?

What do you think of your "sainted mothers and grand mothers" that you must "never criticise" now you know the truth, eh?

All I am offering you is the **TRUTH BE TOLD.**

I didn't say you would like it.

6.9. RQ0009 – Can You Comment on Fishes and Bicycles?

“All us men have heard the saying “a woman needs a man like a fish needs a bicycle”. What is your comment in this area? What do you think us young men should know about this?”

As presented above, in my divorce, 95% of the proceeds of my 25 years of labour and schooling before that was given to Jennifer in a clear criminal act. Does that sound like *“a woman needs a man like a fish needs a bicycle”* to you? Or does that sound like the woman very much wanted the proceeds of the mans labour to be appropriated for her in a clear criminal action? You have a brain. What do **YOU** think?

Women are all “strong” and “empowered” and “independent” until something goes wrong or they want something done. Then they run to the nearest man and try and emotionally manipulate him into helping them.

Answer this question. *“Of all the flat tires on cars that happen in the western world? How many tires are changed by women vs changed by men?”*

That’s right. Almost NO flat tires are changed by women. Changing a flat tire is hardly a difficult job. Every one of us men who have ever owned a car practiced changing a tire on the car just so we knew how to do it and knew all the parts were there to do it. I am not sure I actually know a man who has owned a car who has NEVER changed a flat tire if only as practice. Do you?

On the flip side? Barely ANY of the women I know have EVER changed a car tire. I can assure you my mother never did.

I can remember a woman doing her masters in computing in 1983. She came late into the class at uni. I asked her why she was late out of interest. She told me she had a flat tire. I said that was bad luck because it was raining outside and she must have gotten wet. She laughed and told me she got a man to change it. Some passing motorist. I asked her how she did that since she was perfectly able to change the tire herself. She said she “played dumb blonde” and the man duly showed “what a man” he is by changing her tire for her.

I pointed out to her that this was dishonest because, as a woman doing her masters in computing science, she could in no way honestly portray herself as “dumb blonde”. She laughed and said that this was just one of the benefits of being a woman. If you play dumb blonde the nearest man will help you out.

Remember, this was 1983. That is nearly 30 years ago now. You were likely not even born then. Women have been claiming *“equality”* and *“a woman needs a man like a fish needs a bicycle”* but they have been taking the *“benefits of being a woman”* at the same time they have been stealing our children and our houses and destroying our businesses.

Of course, changing car tires is just an example and a very good one at that. But also consider the number of women who have run to men for protection in the street. The number of women who have got the nearest man to fix a lightbulb, unplug the toilet or other plumbing, fix something to do with the electricals, mow the lawn, tend to the garden, clean the leaves out of the gutter of the roof.

Indeed. Just look at all the utilitarian jobs that men do that women benefit from while loudly proclaiming how women do not need us.

Well? I say we call their bluff and put them to the test. Ok?

Here are some things to not do for western women that they are accustomed to. Let us see how they like it when they are no longer offered these free services by the nearest man. Please tell all your mates.

1. Never change a tire for a woman.
2. Never lift a heavy load for a woman.
3. Never offer her your seat on a train or bus no matter how pregnant or old she is.
4. Never protect a woman in the street.

If she gets into a fight with a man or is attacked by a man let her defend herself. She said she was equal and so can do that.

5. Don't open doors for a woman.
6. Do not let a woman go in front of you just because she is a woman.
7. If you are on a sinking ship, like that one that recently sank in Italy, do not be letter the women and children go first. Your life is just as valuable as theirs.
8. Do not do ANY chore for a woman around her house or car unless you are appropriately paid for that chore. For example fixing light bulbs, electricals, toilets, guttering, lawns. You name it. If it is a household chore let her do it herself.

Now. Here are some more controversial things. In English speaking lands Income Tax, Alimony and Child support are all **VOLUNTARY** payments. You do not **HAVE** to pay these things.

MBA Law Services will provide the service of re-presenting men such that they can stop paying these things. It is simple. You will take our advice, for a fee, and you will be protected by MBA Peace Officers, for a fee, if you engage our services to stop paying income tax, alimony and child support.

Lets see how much *"a woman needs a man like a fish needs a bicycle"* when we stop paying income tax, alimony and child support and we defend mens rights to do this at the point of a gun towards any cops who might try to unlawfully kidnap men who are exercising their right to not pay voluntary payments.

If you want to do the paperwork for yourself then there will be no guarantee that you got it right and there will be no guarantee that an MBA Peace Officer will be wanting to take on your job of defending you for you just because you got yourself into hot water.

Now. Here is another privilege women have *"never hit a girl/woman even if she hits you first"*. I am sure you young men have heard about **THAT** privilege, right.

So here is my position. Women claimed equality and that means I can hit a woman under the **SAME** conditions I would hit a man. Those conditions are:

1. She hits me first.
2. She attempts to steal my property or attempts to physically injure me.
3. She is annoying as hell and does not leave me alone when I walk away from her.

All men know that under those three circumstances a man is very likely to hit you. This is why, in my lifetime, no man has EVER stepped over any those three conditions with the exceptions of two cops called into the house by my ex and David Dunkley, the magistrate who stole my house from me.

That David thinks he will get away with that is quite amazing. That the only men to ever assault me were cops and the only man to ever rob me a magistrate means that these people did a lousy job of protecting me as they claimed they were doing. I want no more protection from such criminals as them.

So I take the position I **WILL** hit a woman who crosses those boundaries. What you do is up to you.

6.10. RQ0010 – Can You Comment on Christian Ministers?

“So I have been thinking about Christian Ministers. They are the ones who marry people. But the STATE is the one who processes the divorce in the courts. How come we get married in a church and divorced in a court? What is that all about? What do you have to say about this?”

If there is one group of men I despise above all others in the west it is Christian Ministers.

Why would I say that? Because they know **FULL WELL** what is going on in the divorce courts and they are party to it. Every single Christian minister knows that the marriage vow is.

*“Whom God has joined together may no man split asunder.”
“Until death do us part.”*

There is not a Christian Minister on this planet who does NOT know these two phrases. Ok?

Yet we are married in churches and divorced in STATE courts. To date I have NEVER heard a Christian minister say in public.

“The Christian religion takes the position the marriage vow is for life and it is made before God. Whom God has joined together no man may split asunder. Therefore I denounce the government and the divorce courts as violating the religious rights of people who wish to be married in the Christian religion. I denounce the government as anti-Christian and violating the fundamental right to freedom of religion. I denounce the church leadership for its silence on this matter. The state may NEVER claim the right to end a marriage and the leadership of the Christian church has abjectly failed to make that point.”

If people are to get divorced ANYWHERE then it should be where they got married. In the church and under the conditions of the religion they married under. But no. Christian ministers overlook this point.

Now. Young man. If you are REALLY smart you would ask *“Why is that so? Why are Christian ministers, to a level of 100%, refusing to point out the obvious. That divorce can not be handled by the state in the Christian religion.”*

And your answer is: *Because the church is a FUNCTION OF THE STATE and the much talked about “separation of church and state” is a LIE that ALL Christian Ministers KNOW IS A LIE.*

If you want to know the EVIDENCE that they KNOW it is a lie. Consider this.

The govment claims that the marriage license is a binding contract under the terms and conditions of the legislation regarding marriage. For a contract to have validity it must be signed by **ALL PARTIES TO THE CONTRACT.** Now. When the marriage license is signed. **WHO SIGNS IT?**

The Bride, the Groom, the bridegroom, the bridesmaid.....**AND THE CHRISTIAN MINISTER.**

And in **WHAT ROLE** is the **CHRISTIAN MINISTER** signing the Marriage License.

AS THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE STATE.

This is why the state later claims that it has jurisdiction over the divorce. Because one of the **STATES** representatives signed the alleged contract under alleged full disclosure.

ALL CHRISTIAN MINISTERS KNOW THIS. They will deny that they do until hell freezes over but I can **ASSURE YOU** that they know this. It is then the ministers who make sure that the marriage is “registered” and the “registrar” will also sign the registration papers.

So *“the separation of church and state”* is a complete lie and **ALL CHRISTIAN MINISTERS KNOW THIS.** They are there to lie to people to control them. They even call themselves shepherds to the flock.

But when one of that flock is criminally attacked by another of that flock in the divorce courts those same ministers do **NOT HELP THE MAN.**

And then they will stand in the pulpit and preach about the *“sanctity of marriage”*. ***Liars and hypocrites.***

If you are a Christian man? I recommend you take a very close look at how the ministers of the Christian churches treat men of divorce. Because I can assure you that Christian ministers are nothing but a bunch of lying hypocrites when it comes to *"whom God has joined together may no man split asunder"* and *"to death do us part"* and their **ACTIONS** towards men who are being criminally victimised in divorce.

If you are currently a Christian man go and ask your local minister what his **OBLIGATIONS** are towards men in divorce. And ask him for examples of what he has done. And THEN find Christian MEN who have been through divorce and ask THEM what happened to THEM and how much the Christian Ministers assisted THEM in divorce.

I am pretty sure you will find VERY different stories coming at you.

I am also sure you will know which one to believe.

6.11. RQ0011 – What Are Your Comments on MGTOW?

“There is a new thing emerging called Men Going Their Own Way. Men just exiting the system. What are your comments on MGTOW?”

Men are entitled to go their own way. What men are doing is going out as individuals and doing their own thing as individuals. They are not grouping together and working for the common good. They are not grouping together to take advantage of synergies. In the end the vast majority of men need to be TOLD what to do or they do very little indeed. They also do very little to their own personal disadvantage.

All their lives men are told to be “independent” and “able to take care of themselves”. So when they are not they still will not accept help no matter how much they need it in most cases. Then, after rejecting good help they will often complain that men will not help them. The whole thing is quite pathetic if you ask me.

Men who strike out on their own and do not create some sort of community and association for mutual benefit, the most pressing of which is protection of the government, are very easy to control. They can be arrested one by one and the government can rest assured that as long as they do not arrest too many men too quickly the rest of the sheep, um, excuse me, men will not object in any serious fashion. Serious fashion meaning shooting the local police chief for these unlawful arrests.

If you want to know more about this read Gulag Archipelago by Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn. He notes. St. Petersburg, a city of 2 MILLION people, was terrorised by just 2,000 police. He notes “how we burned in the camps that we did not meet them at the door with a pitch fork or an axe or whatever was to hand”. His lesson is that men go quietly to their deaths for the most part unless they band together early enough to fight back.

Men Going Their Own Way is rather more like a herd of cats running around doing nothing very useful for most of those involved. I rather liken it to this superbowl ad. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pk7yqITMvp8>

Most of what Men Going their Own Way consists of is men talking about what they might do one day. Those who actually do something might start a very small business that will usually not go anywhere. There is a notable lack of success stories in MGTOW. Few businesses are started. Few jobs are created. Little money is made.

What is missing from MGTOW is something like the Mens Business Association where there is a system of law that allows men to perform business outside of the jurisdiction of the governments and for men to start companies that can be global and can go on to grow and create many jobs for men outside the jurisdiction of governments.

While there is no such capability to create global businesses outside the jurisdiction of governments men will not pose any real threat or be of any real concern to their governments because the government controls the means of production which means the government controls the people. While the government can destroy any company they want to? While owners of companies are saying “we do not want any trouble from the government”? There can not possibly be freedom for men. All there can be is a little more or a little less enslaved in the government systems.

Until men are willing to take on the government and ask the question:

“Please show me, exactly, and under oath, how it came to be that you can tell me where I can live and where I can work? I would like to see that paperwork please.”

This is article 41 of the Magna Carta for example. So since when did the UK Government get the right to issue “work visas” for Merchants? How did they lawfully gain that right? Where is the paperwork for that?

(41) All merchants may enter or leave England unharmed and without fear, and may stay or travel within it, by land or water, for purposes of trade, free from all illegal exactions, in accordance with ancient and lawful customs.

So. My comment on MGTOW is that all that is happening is that individual men are striking out and going their “own way” and most of that will end in disaster as they are not collaborating and creating institutions like Courts of Law, Banks, Money Systems, Peace Officers etc that will be needed to create an advanced alternative economy to the currently controlled economy. No advanced economy? No real wealth. No real freedom. Just the illusion of being a little bit freer that the governments are quite willing to let you have before they pull on your leash again.

This is why it is important to get something like Mens Business Association off the ground. It will provide the framework in which to run an lassay faire economy which will drive wealth creation.

6.12. RQ0012 – The Non Response of Women to Crimes Against Fathers

"I noticed on the main site of CAF you have womens portals. When I click through there are almost no women signed up to sit on your proposed all women juries in all women courts. Can you please talk about that a bit? What should us young men know about this? "

This is actually worth telling you a bit more about young man. You may be surprised at what you hear, then again you may not be so surprised by now.

As is well evidenced Jennifer committed the crimes of perjury, kidnapping, extortion and theft against me. She has admitted to these crimes by non-response. You can read these links if you please.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/3/threadid/54/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/201/threadid/1131/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/201/threadid/1132/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/200/threadid/1108/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/200/threadid/1109/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

The net result was my former children were kidnapped, my company was destroyed, my house was stolen. And apparently this is perfectly ok with 99.9% of western women and well over 99% of western MEN as well.

So in October 2009 I put a challenge to the women of the Irish Free Man Society in Ireland. I challenged them to put Jennifer on trial in a common law court made up entirely of women. There were 300 such women on the forum. Now it is important to note that part of the idea of the Free Man society is that if a person has been criminally victimised and the accused knows they are guilty and will not make remedy then the accuser has a **RIGHT** to claim the right to a jury trial so that a peaceful resolution to the dispute can be had.

Of 300 women only three said yes. And of these one was attacked by the other women. So the idea that the women in the free man or sovereign area support trial by jury is a **JOKE**. They **ONLY** support trial by jury if the "victim" is a female, not if the perpetrator is a female.

So, in order to build up the evidence for the young men who would eventually read this book I have been calling on women to set up all women juries in all women courts and calling on women to show us men just how "equal" they are and just how committed they are to ensuring men who are the victims of crimes by women have a path to justice. Of course, calling on our **"empowered", "sassy", "liberated", "independent", "equal", "grrrrrls"** to actually hold women accountable for their crimes is **"woman-hatred"**. If you do not believe me go and ask them.

So, after **TWO YEARS** passed with **NO EFFORT** by women to run courts. I thought I would take away another excuse. I thought I would create CAF womens sites and open up registrations to women only on the CAF womens sites. I told the women on my list that they should go out and campaign among women to get them to register to the CAF womens site to show the men that they were willing to put criminal women on trial.

No surprises that the women would NOT do this. The message you are best served to take away from this is as follows.

When offered the opportunity to create all womens juries in all womens courts and to dispense justice to women found guilty of crimes the overwhelming number of women will say **NO** to that. But if you create **ALL MENS** juries in all MENS courts the women will scream "sexism" and demand to be allowed to sit on the juries.

Why is that? That is because women like to subvert everything men do that might give men a path to justice or more control over their own future. Women like to dominate and control men by any and all means necessary. And that includes insinuating themselves into juries so that they can manipulate the men.

The name for this is **"oestrogen poisoning"**. If you take a group of men and add one or two women the men will act differently merely because of the presence of the women. The older the men the more pronounced this is.

Just be aware that women are such liars and hypocrites in the west that they **TURNED DOWN** the call to create **ALL WOMENS COURTS** but will cry **SEXISM** if they are not allowed to subvert the **ALL MENS** courts. And since women have no inherent sense of justice it is a real question as to whether men would trust all women courts. Women are going to have to build up credibility over decades I would imagine.

6.13. RQ0013 – Women’s Demand for Protection

“I have noticed that women demand protection from men like it is something they are entitled to. Whenever some woman is under threat she looks for the nearest man to protect her. When I read about women getting hurt in the newspapers it is always accompanied by a comment as to how police should protect them better. Of course, they mean men as female police are useless at protecting people. Can you please tell us what you think we should know about this?”

Sure. This has been an interesting situation as “Sue”, being Ukrainian, and I discussed the case in the Ukraine lately of a young woman who was raped, beaten, burned and left for dead. She later died of her injuries. Here is just one of many links.

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2116538/Oksana-Makar-video-Teen-raped-set-alight-Ukraine-speaks-hospital-bed.html>

Because “Sue” is from the Ukraine and the Ukraine is a great example of a post feminist country it is instructive for young men to know about this case. In the Ukraine the women complain bitterly about how there are *“no good husbands”* around and *“all the men do is get drunk and refuse to work and die young”*. If you read up on it you will find that the Ukraine has the worst health outcomes for men of any “civilised” country that does not have ongoing wars.

The news articles are consistently about the *“corruption”* in the government and law enforcement and how women are so often badly treated (despite having a life span 12 years longer on average). When “Sue” and I were discussing this case she, as is typical for a woman, heaped 100% of the blame on the men involved. I will say again that this is one of the nicest women I know as she is intelligent enough. But women are very self centred in their view of the world and seemingly can not predict the results of their own actions. So I said to her words to the following effect:

“You women are so stupid and self centred that you NEVER stop to consider the role you play in cases like this. In the Ukraine women have said “we do not need men”, “we want to be independent of men” and “they are OUR babies and we can take them from the man whenever we want”. And plenty of you do that.

What you have taught men in the process is that they are third class people behind women and dogs and you have told them they have little chance of raising a family. When you make the chance of a man raising a family low then the men will not even try to do that. Then what are men to do? Not much. So they stop working and start drinking because that is the better option. They will steal more because they have little to lose if they do. If you lock them up in jail that means they are fed and housed and clothed which is a step up for a homeless man.

If they can not have a wife and they can not get a steady girlfriend because you women only want to be with men with money then they are more prone to raping women.

What you have done in the Ukraine is take away the one thing that most men want. A wife and children. In doing so you have been the cause of making men more drunken, more violent, more criminal, more prone to raping women because you do not have something to threaten to take away that the man values. His family. You already made it clear you can take that away at any time with no fault on his part. Men with nothing to lose are very dangerous men.

Then, when men very predictably commit crimes you shout “someone must do something”. By this you mean the police and the courts and the jails. But they are being run by the very men who you say you do not need because you are “independent” and “equal to men” and need men like a “fish needs a bicycle”

This is how stupid and self centred you women are. You never stop to think about the impact of your actions on men and the attitudes of men. You only think about yourselves. And you even have a son and a brother! All societies that have taken away a young mans chance at a family are torn apart by those young men who have no hope for the future. No children and grand children to look forward to.”

You young men should never forget that these same women who are saying *“a woman needs a man like a fish needs a bicycle”, “independent”, “empowered”, “strong”, “grrrrrrills”*? As soon as something bad happens it is *“the police must protect us”*. That would mean the **SAME MEN** they have been saying they do not need.

I recommend you young men point out to women their hypocrisy in this area and tell them you will no longer protect them as *“the man in the street”*. See how they like that.

Here is another example from “Sue”. I have not bothered to fact check this so I am taking her at her word. She told me one day

“Men are so bad that in Russia, when even if a man was married and had two children at a young age he can be excused military service and will be given an apartment by the state these men will still not marry and have children!”

I replied.

“You are not looking at this from the mans point of view. What the men are telling you is that they know that being married with children is so bad that they would rather spend two years in Afghanistan and pay for their own apartment because that is a better deal than getting married to one of you women and paying for YOUR children.”

Her face dropped a LOT when I said that. She got that the men were making the best choice for the men and that meant that choosing a wife and children was a very negative choice for the men. And it is.

I was also having a conversation with another lady friend who was telling me, in summary,

“If boys are not given a reasonable education and have a reasonable chance at a decent job that they can get to support a wife and children, they will become criminals and be violent because that is easier to do than go to work every day.”

To which I replied.

“That is correct. And if that happens I am quite happy to carry a gun and protect myself from such young men as might want to attack me or rob me.”

To which she replied.

“But I can’t do that.”

To which I replied.

“Correct. But you women still claim to be equal to us men. That is just a lie. You are not equal and you actually know it when it comes to examples like this. You want protection from men and you want men to pay for that protection too. ”

So you young men should take careful note that women know full well that when young men are more violent and more criminal the women can not defend themselves and demand MEN, the very ones they said they are “equal” to and did not need, give them that protection. And even WORSE? They demand that the police etc are paid out of “taxes” which are predominantly paid by men in the first place.

If women want police let the women pay for them.

Women have been given **FREE PROTECTION** from men up to and including the death of many men for so long that they see it as an “entitlement” that does not need to be paid for.

I propose it is time to remind women that someone needs to pay men for “*protection services*”.

6.14. RQ0014 – Can You Comment on The Safe Working Environment?

“You have told us that men are routinely falsely accused in the working environment and lose their jobs because of this. This is obviously not a “safe working environment”. Women are a protected species in the working environment. 93% of workplace deaths are men and no women are campaigning to be 51% of that number. What are your comments on the safe working environment?”

It is true that in **MANY** companies women make false allegations against a man and he is removed without a criminal trial and a guilty verdict. This is a crime. MBA Associates are assumed to be innocent until proven guilty. Indeed Associates are under oath to act honestly in all business dealings and so for an associate to commit a crime in the workplace is a serious issue as far as the MBA goes. We will not tolerate such and we will punish men who commit crimes in the workplace quite harshly.

However, an Associate can not be deprived of his income arbitrarily via a false allegation. In Part 1 there was are two Affidavits for women. One being a bonded affidavit of lawful equality to a man, the other being a bonded affidavit of being chattel property to a man. These affidavits have been published since October 2010. Many THOUSANDS of women have seen them.

NO WOMAN HAS COMPLETED ONE AND REGISTERED HER AFFIDAVIT WITH US.

What this means is that when asked to define, in writing, under oath, what they mean by *“lawful equality”* women will refuse to do so. If that does not make you realise what hypocrites women are then nothing will.

A woman in the working environment who has NOT completed one of those two affidavits will be presumed to be claiming to be *“equal before the law”*. It is also safest to presume that she is dangerous and is willing to commit the crime of perjury. Based on the presumption that the woman is willing to commit the crime of perjury, what can you do?

In Part 1 there is a Lawful Notice template to employers. It is reproduced below. Here is the link too.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/216/threadid/1059/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

You can complete one of these Lawful Notices and pass it to the CEO and the head of HR and your head of legal services. The Lawful Notice puts these people on notice that since they are employing someone who makes the presumption that committing the crime of perjury is acceptable then it is the employers problem if his employee criminally victimised another employee as it is the employer who failed to provide a safe working environment.

The employer can segregate all women who fail to complete one of the two affidavits or provide surveillance equipment in all areas of the working environment so as to protect the men from false allegations of women. It is really up to the employer to determine how he/she is going to provide a safe working environment for men.

If the employer does NOT provide said safe working environment MBA Law Services would be pleased to represent any man who brings us evidence of criminal victimisation by a woman in the workplace. It is the intention of the MBA to ensure that men are provided with MUCH safer working environments as death and injury in work is common for men as well as false allegations.

If you wish MBA Law Services to issue the paperwork for you over the MBA Stamp then we will do that for the usual fees.

The following pages are replications from Part 1 to save you clicking and connecting if you are reading this offline.

6.14.1. The Safe Working Environment

There is also the issue of 'safe working environment'. In all western countries there is legislation in place that insists that employers offer a 'safe working environment'. Who has NOT heard of 'Occupational Health and Safety'?

Well? If a woman does NOT define her lawful status she is **NOT SAFE** to work with. She can make a false allegation and she will not be punished. That means **YOU**, the man, are being subjected to an un-safe working environment.

What can you do about this? You can create a Lawful Notice whereby you notice your employer that you insist that all those whom your employer wishes you to interact with in the course of HIS business have lawfully declared their lawful status. It is not necessary for men to do this since men know they are 'equal before the law' and subject to jury trial should they commit a crime. However, women claim they are not 'equal before the law' and women claim that they have a right to make false allegations to the vast detriment of the man with complete impunity.

Since this is the case men in the workplace are very well advised to create letters to insist that they will not work with any other human being who is not subject to the law just like they are.

An example text of such a letter is as follows. The idea is to claim common law jurisdiction by way of noticing the human being and the agent and placing the common law notice at the top of the letter.

You are simply lawfully noticing your employer that you believe you are being asked to work in an unsafe working environment as you could be falsely accused of any number of crimes by a female employee who would enjoy the benefit of not being subject to remedy for her crime of perjury.

You are proposing two solutions and offering your employer the opportunity to also make a proposal in return. You are letting your employer know that should you suffer any injury, harm or loss via a false accusation by one of his female employees that, since he is the employer asking your to suffer in this unsafe working environment, you will hold **HIM** accountable for any injury, harm or loss as you can not hold the woman accountable for the same.

It is then up to the employer to respond to this Lawful Notice. Should he not respond you issue a follow on letter to make sure that the employer is noticed of the expiry of the time period in which he can propose solutions and that he is now lawfully liable under the common law for any injury, harm or loss suffered by you in going about **HIS** business.

Make sure he understands that you **WILL** put him before a jury and demand compensation for **ANY** injury, harm or loss caused by false allegations of any of **HIS** female employees because such could have been easily foreseen. They happen every day so there is no 'excuse' that this is 'unusual' or 'unexpected' or 'unknown'.

Gentlemen. The way to deal with women is to make sure the **MEN** who offer them privileges know that it is no longer acceptable to men to be discriminated against by other men like this and to make sure those **MEN** who discriminate against you know that you **WILL** deprive them of their property should they continue to offer women privileges over and above 'equality before the law'.

ALL women's privileges are accorded by **MEN**. Women do almost **NOTHING** for themselves. So you are best advised to **ATTACK THE MEN** who are offering women privileges. Very, very simple.

<p>Lawful Notice to: To the human being calling himself a-b: c also acting as Mr. A. B. C. Name and address of employer</p>	<p>Lawful Notice From: Peter-Andrew: Nolan@ Human Being. All Rights Reserved. Waiving None. Without Prejudice. The address that you wish to receive correspondence to.</p>
---	--

Date: xx/xx/20xx	Subject: Lawful Notice with respect to Occupational Health and Safety
------------------	---

Notice to Principal and notice to Agent and notice to Agent is notice to Principal.

This lawful notice is issued under the common law jurisdiction of the land commonly called Australia.

To the human being calling himself a-b: c.

Greetings and Salutations. I offer this Lawful Notice to you to ensure we are in agreement as to the obligations of us both with respect to my being a man who is labouring for you in this company we call xyz.

After much time and study it has come to my attention that I am being placed at severe risk of injury, harm and loss by working for you in this company. I wish to continue our relationship and so I seek resolution of this issue to the satisfaction of us both.

As you well know, in our society, including our workplace, women can make false allegations of 'domestic violence', 'sexual harassment', 'rape', and pretty much anything else they would like to claim is 'inappropriate' or 'offensive' without enumerating what these might be. They can do this on the basis of lies with complete impunity. It is well known in our society that women can commit perjury in a court of law under oath and do so with complete impunity.

As a man and as an employee of your company you are asking me to work in interaction with women. However, as stated above, these woman can make a false allegation against me with impunity causing me serious injury, harm and loss and I will not be compensated for this crime against me. This is, therefore, an unsafe working environment. All us men know we are working in such hostile and dangerous environments and it is about time we did something about it.

I offer you two solutions to this problem for your consideration, both of which would be agreeable and acceptable to me:

1. Provide me the opportunity to work exclusively with men in the course of performing activities for your company.
2. Ensure that any woman you request me to work with has created a Bonded Affidavit such that she has lawfully declared her lawful status. I have no concern what her lawful status is declared to be. I only have concern that she will be held accountable for any crime she commits against me.

I am sure you agree that you have an obligation as an employer not to allow crimes to be committed with impunity in your company as part of providing a 'safe working environment'. You would surely agree you have an obligation to not allow us men to physically hit women or kick them or rape them with impunity. I am just as sure you will agree that women do not have a right to commit perjury and make false allegations against us men with complete impunity. All I am insisting on is that I know that those whom I work with can not commit crimes against me with impunity. A perfectly reasonable position.

In pursuit of ensuring that we can create agreement I am willing to allow you 28 days to determine which of these options you wish to implement so that we can all work in our newly safe working environment.

I am also open to counter proposals should you believe that you have an alternative solution that might be acceptable to me.

Should you wish to not respond to this proposal and you insist that I remain working in an un-safe working environment I hereby lawfully notice you that should I be subject to any such false allegations that I will hold you responsible as a human being and I will reserve the right to regain all damages from you.

I reserve the right to form a de jure jury in a de jure court and present my case against you for what will be your wilful negligence of my request to ensure that all those employees of yours I interact with be subject to the law of the land, the common law, just as I am.

It is not my intention to put you into a 'difficult position'. I am merely lawfully noticing you of my position with respect to currently working in an unsafe working environment. I know this may sound 'crazy' but you and I have both seen MANY men's careers destroyed by false allegations from women. Should you allow my career to be one of these then it is you I will hold accountable before a court of law for your wilful negligence.

Yours Sincerely
Peter-Andrew: Nolan©
Human Being. All rights reserved.
Without Prejudice.
Also acting as
Primary Creditor and Secured Party
For the Juristic Person
MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN©

6.15. RQ0015 – What The Hell are the Cops And Politicians Up To?

“Ok. So we are criminally victimised by women, the judiciary are criminals. What the hell are the COPS up to? And what the hell are the POLITICIANS up to? Do they know about all this? Are they criminally victimising us anyway? Please tell me the truth about the cops and politicians.”

This is important for you to know and I will put plenty of links in here for you to check. Naturally, in the course of my case I am dealing with Ireland and Australia, but it is the same all over the English speaking world. I just do not have direct evidence myself because I do not have cases all over the English speaking world. If you go to A Voice for Men or The Spearhead you will find men providing similar stories.

Here are my lawful notices to the Police in Australia and Ireland. Please note that in Australia I have also posted on the facebook of the NSW Police and the Lawful Notice post has had more than 3,300 views as of 2012-04-05. That is the date as I type this. There can be no lawful claim that the NSW Police are not aware of what I have issued in this Lawful Notice.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/97/threadid/159/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/96/threadid/149/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

If you happen to live in Australia or Ireland then feel free to print the appropriate Lawful Notice as is and give it to your local cops. You can send me an email and tell me the names of the local cops you served the notice on or you can join CAF and post the details of the cops you served the Lawful Notice on yourself. I would like to see LOTS of young men doing this.

If you live in another land and would like the MBA Law Services to prepare such a Lawful Notice for your land we would be quite pleased to do so. Or you can do it yourself.

But you should be in no doubt. The Police are NOT your friend and do not be listening to their lies that they are. Indeed, in my video you can see two Federal Police Officers. I told them that I was going to ask David Dunkley if he was operating under oath. I told them that he will refuse to answer. I told them that this constituted a serious crime. When David refused to answer the question it was the **OBLIGATION** of those to men to arrest him as they **WITNESSED** the crime of impersonating a public officer.

Andrew and Christopher, their names, committed the crime of dereliction of duty as BOTH of them told me they were **ON DUTY** and **UNDER OATH** for the period they were going to be sitting in the court room

So do not believe that Police are your friends. They are NOT your friends. They are a criminal cartel that is pretending to be your friend. They do a lot of “good works” to hide behind when they are accused of criminal acts like the criminal acts committed by Christopher and Andrew recorded on video.

Now for the Politicians. I recommend you read these links. There are a lot of them. But that is only because I have meticulously gathered my evidence.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/97/threadid/196/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/97/threadid/192/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/97/threadid/184/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/97/threadid/230/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/97/threadid/193/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/80/threadid/464/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/80/threadid/1210/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/28/threadid/692/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/28/threadid/724/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/28/threadid/693/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/28/threadid/691/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/70/threadid/146/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/70/threadid/147/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/70/threadid/148/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/70/threadid/145/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/96/threadid/1543/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/96/threadid/1714/scope/posts/Default.aspx>
<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/ireland/Forums/tabid/105/forumid/96/threadid/1712/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

Now. I know that is a lot of reading. But when a man makes the claim that 100% of politicians in a Parliament are criminals then he had better have damn good evidence to back that up and he had better have that evidence collected over an extended period and have given all members ample time to deny the allegations or remedy the criminal actions.

As you can see Kevin Rudd and Robert McClelland have had since December 2009 to remedy these criminal acts. There can be no lawful claim that they do not know about these criminal acts.

Similarly Mary McAleese and Brian Cowan had from October 2010 to remedy the crimes of Judge Griffin and chose not to do that.

In all cases the police and the politicians have chosen to make themselves accessories to these crimes believing that they will never be challenged on this point.

Well? Young men? Strong, healthy, powerful, young men? Are you going to help me challenge these criminals? Or are you going to allow these criminals to steal your future from you? It is up to you what you do. But if you do not assist me to re-introduce the rule of law **YOU** are going to be the biggest losers.

Remember. I am 48. I no longer live in the English speaking world. I left because the environment I wished to live in would not be created in the time frame I desired. This has already been the case for the last 4 years though I did spend some time in the English speaking world for work. I can live comfortably off my software and my skills. I am doing just fine.

But **YOU?** You are getting screwed over by your politicians, judiciary, cops, lawyers and banks.

If you tolerate getting screwed over as the older generation of men is **ALLOWING YOU TO BE** then you have only yourselves to blame.

If you think **TALKING** to your politicians is going to effect the change you might desire so that you can live in freedom and no be screwed over? I would suggest you go and read all those Lawful Notices and Open Letters again and notice that the **ONLY** response I have had so far is a flat NO to the application for Irish Citizenship for their name MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN.

If you think your politicians, judiciary, cops, courts, lawyers and banks have **YOUR** best interests at heart?

I have a bridge I would like to sell you.

I have some beach front property in Arizona I would like to sell you.

Think about the level of betrayal you have been subjected to by your fathers, your mothers, your grand fathers, your grand mothers, your politicians, your legal fraternity, your cops, your lawyers and your banks among others.

Just think about all that betrayal.

If that does not make you just a little bit angry? I do not know what would.

Please notice that **NONE** of this is in the newspapers or on TV. So you know the guvment controls the media as well. I would have thought that was obvious but I wanted to state it anyway.

6.16. RQ0016 – Women as Predators

"I have seen in some of your writings that you make the comment that in the "dating game" it is really the women who are the predator and not the man. This contradicts my view of that so I am interested to read your explanation about this. Can you please tell us more about women as predators?"

Young boys are told they need to pursue the girls. Just look how that goes. The boys are supposed to make the approach at the local dance, or make the approach at school etc. This is drummed into us. *"If you like the girl you have to go and talk to her."* ALL the onus is placed on the boy and he has this drummed into his head over and over again.

Also drummed into young boys heads is the idea *"you are a loser if you can not attract women", "you are not a real man if you do not have a girlfriend/fiancée/wife."*

I can certainly say that I was also pounded by such ideas as a teenager. If I was to find a nice girl then I had to pursue her. It was written in stone. It was the gospel truth. My mum and dad told me so it must be true.

Then a funny thing happened to me. A girl I knew well, a friend of one of my female cousins, asked me to partner her in her debut. Let's call her "Sue3". My close friends know who she is. We did our dance practice every week for 12 weeks and then we did the debut. I liked her. She was a lovely girl to be around. Very nice. Very pleasant. But because she lived 130kms away from me I didn't think of her as a girlfriend possible. Also, we got along so well she was much more like a sister or a cousin than a possible girlfriend. I treated her just like I treated my cousin.

After the debut evening we went to my Uncles place for drinks and social chat before the "oldies" went to bed. Her family lived just a few doors down from my Uncle. It was early morning by the time I suggested one last dance together. So we danced to ABBA's song "I have a dream". We were very good dancers. We were both 16 at the time. And that was a really lovely dance.

At the end of the dance we stopped. A strange look came over her face and she kissed me. Then she pushed me to sit down on the couch and kissed me again sitting on my lap on the couch. I asked her what she thought she was doing. She was pretty frustrated and said *"Have you not figured out yet that I really like you?"* I told her no, I did not know that and asked her to explain. She explained that the reason she asked me to partner her in her debut was because she really liked me and thought I was "boyfriend" material. I rebutted with *"But I asked you why you asked me to partner you and you said it was because I was the only friend you had who is tall enough!"*

At which time she was *"Grrrrr. You are so incompetent at understanding girls. A girl can not tell a boy she likes him. He has to take the first move."* To which I responded *"Why? You are old enough to tell me you like me if you do. We have spent a lot of time together and you have not done one thing that would tell me you thought of me as anything other than the cousin of your friend."*

She went on a little more about how the boy is supposed to pursue the girl. She seemed much more upset about this than seemed justifiable. I was quite mystified about it all. It was not until much later that I worked out what was really happening. For me it was *"well, if you like me, why not just say so, that is what I am expected to do"*.

Sue3 and I went on to become quite inseparable. I loved her very much in that 16, 17 year old way that boys love their first serious girlfriend whom they hope might lead to marriage one day. I really thought I had found someone where there was a very, very good chance of marriage later on. I got along well with her parents and she was totally adored by my parents. You would have thought she was the daughter in our family. My mum made many comments that no better girl would come my way again any time soon.

Our "issue" was that as we talked about our "hopes and dreams" I wanted to go to university and get out of "small town Wagga Wagga". I told Sue3 that, for some unknown reason, I felt that there was some destiny calling me and that I would, one day, travel the world, work at NASA, and do some "amazing and important things".

She would sort of look at me strangely when I would say these things and ask me how I knew this. I would say I don't know. I just know that for some reason I am going to go on and do some things that are "amazing and important things". Sue3, being an only child, wanted a quiet life where she would not be too far from her family, especially as her parents grew older. She loved her parents very much and did not want to be far away from them. This was clear. It was over this issue that we split. I could see that the life I wanted was not the life she wanted. And that is as it should be. She married a really nice man, whom I have only met once I believe, and they "lived happily ever after" as far as I know.

In the following months I realised that I had not been the “chaser”. Or, if you like, the “predator”. I realised that this girl had singled me out and she had chosen me over all others. She had PLENTY of admirers as she was a very beautiful young woman. It was a very rare young woman, indeed, who could hold a candle to her elegance and beauty. More importantly though, she had a good heart through and through. She was just one of those people who cared for other people and was very considerate of other people.

But the fact remained that she had chosen me and pursued me over nearly a year I think. So I figured out then that it is not the man who is the real “predator” or “pursuer”. It is actually the woman. I also figured out that it is not the woman who is the “prize”, it is actually the man. This flew in the face of everything I was being told. But as I then watched other women more closely I could see this was, indeed, the case.

The woman chooses the man she wants to pursue, she puts on the show, she rebuts the other men attracted waiting for the one that she has chosen, and then she manipulates the chosen man to pursue her. If he does not pursue her most women will discard or discount the man because she can not manipulate him if he does not pursue her. If he DOES pursue her then she puts him through a series of “tests” to see how strong she can have him bond to her and to see how much control over him she has. Just like breaking in a horse in many ways.

So my strategy changed from one of “pursuing girls” to one of being more relaxed about it and letting them come to me. Which was not that often but that was ok by me. I was looking for a wife, not a series of girlfriends.

It was the same with Jennifer. She pursued me. Not the other way around.

Women **KNOW** about this. But they dare not let men know about it because the maximum domination and control by the woman can only occur if the man is brainwashed into thinking he is the pursuer. If he can be made to “chase the girl” at an early stage and then be kept “chasing the girl” then he can be easily manipulated and controlled. If he can be convinced that **SHE** is the prize and he is the “unworthy suitor” who is “lucky to have her” and would be “lost without her” and would “never find another woman like that” then he can be more easily controlled.

The shaming language never ends against men. A woman who is single is “empowered” and “independent” and “successful”. A man who is single is a “loser” and “can’t attract a woman” and “unsuccessful”. Just try it with older men around you. When I used to be married and people would inquire about my life I would say I am a happily married man with four children. I was “well liked”. I have since noticed that if I say “I am a very happily divorced man” you can see the “loser” attitude right away. I must have some “problem” that I could not “keep my wife happy”. Trust me. It is only like that in the Anglosphere. You do not get the same reactions from people in Germany or eastern Europe. Being divorced is as normal as being married and accepted as such.

One tactic you can see widely used by women is the *“if you do not do what I want I will leave you”* tactic. Helen Girly Brown (self admitted prostitute and seducer of married men) reports this is how she got her husband to finally marry her. This is blatant emotional manipulation. Jennifer used this very tactic on me in 1989. I was travelling a lot and she said *“if you get on another aeroplane don’t bother coming back because I will not be here.”* Because I was “in luuurrrvvv” I organised another job at IBM that required less travel on my part. I actually changed jobs and took quite a hit with my management to do that. I explained to my manager that the reason was that my fiancée was threatening to leave me if I did not change jobs. IBM accommodated me. I mentioned this to her years later as to how seriously I listened to her. She could not even remember making the remark. How about that?

What I SHOULD have done was tell her there and then to pack her bags and leave because what she had done was emotional blackmail. That was clear enough evidence that she did not love me and did not care about my feelings and would be quite willing to do so in the future when we were married. But I missed that one. A mistake of youth. Plenty of us make that mistake because we have been told that it is our job to *“keep princess happy”*.

But my **MOTHER** knew. When Jennifer and I first started dating my mother went **BALLISTIC** because Jennifer was a divorcee with two small children. My mother called her *“a scarlet woman”* and told me *“she is only interested in your money”*. I laughed and told her *“I am a poor starving student. If she wants money for me she will be waiting a long time.”*

My mother was **SO ADAMANT** that she actually said *“I am grounding you, you are not to see that woman”* to which I laughed and reminded her that I was 20 years old and had been living away from home for two years so she could not ground me. So she tried *“while you are living under my roof you do as I say”*. I said *“Fine”* and I went and packed my things and took them around to Jennifer’s place. I then came back and said *“There, I am not living under your roof.”* She shot back with *“I will chop your motor bike up with the axe!!!”* To which I went and got the axe and brought it back to her and said *“Go ahead, chop my motorbike up with the axe. It is insured but you will have to pay the excess. That is only fair.”*

The **OTHER** women in my family knew too. **MANY** of my older female cousins and some of my aunts also came to me privately and said that Jennifer was “bad news” and that a lad like me should never consider a divorcee with children. I was warned **PLENTY** of times. The warnings stopped when we got engaged. Once I made it clear I was going to marry this woman the other women took the approach they had said their piece and my decision was up to me. That is as it should be.

My point to you young men is this. The **OTHER WOMEN** knew full well that Jennifer was FAR more interested in me for the “**future income**” that was likely to be coming than in me. At that time I was poor. I mean really poor. One year I was a full time university student who could not even afford to eat and drink so I just drank instead.

To me the idea that Jennifer would be interested in me for money seemed ludicrous. But women as predators take a much longer view than men do. A young man who is a starving university student whom you know to come from a good family and whom you know is going to be a very hard worker and going to achieve “**amazing and important things**” one day is a very good target for a calculating woman like Jennifer. Not leastly because he can not imagine that he could BE a target for “money” rather than for “love”. My first car was a 20 year old datsun that I paid \$A1,500 for. Hardly “pick up girls” material!!! LOL!!

What I saw in those days was girls all wanted to go with men who **HAD MONEY NOW**. There was no end of girls who were hitting on the “older guys” in high school and when I was a trainee in Wollongong. Those of us who were poor simply “did not attract women”. We were quite clear as to why we were “not attractive”. No money.

Jennifer saw the future potential. She had very bleak prospects so she took her chances and pursued me with quite a tenacity. Of course, she dressed it up as “I love you” and I was young enough to fall for it! LOL! What young man would NOT be impressed the new “love of his life” would travel by train the 400kms each way between Wagga and Wollongong just to spend the weekend because she “loved” him? Of course a young man wants to believe that lie. Especially when all I could afford to eat many times was ham steaks and pineapple. That became a joke between us. We laughed over those ham steaks many years later. She would tell me over and over again that I didn’t need to do anything more than just be myself.

These are the kind of lies that really appeal to a young mans ego. When the lies are delivered with such sincerity and big blue eyes and a winning smile there is barely a young man alive who can resist that. This is especially so if he has not been warned that the whole charade is one great big pack of lies meant to enable the woman to avail of the proceeds of your labour for the rest of your life. As the saying goes “Once you can fake sincerity you have it made.”

When the man realises that he is the prey and she is the predator, that his future income is “**the prize**” that she is playing for, a prize that will be enforced at the point of a gun and threat of incarceration by the state? **THEN** a young man has a prayer of figuring out what is **REALLY** going on and can make sure that he protects himself by moving the assets that he does earn out of the jurisdiction of his criminal guvment as he goes along.

The point I really want to drive home here is this. **THE OTHER WOMEN KNOW ABOUT THIS.** And they **HAVE** to stay silent or they mess it up for themselves. This is why, even though some of my female relatives warned me that Jennifer was “**only interested in your for your money**” they would not, could not, explain that to me in such a way as I might actually understand it. Why? Because the only way to do that was to enlighten me that it is **ALMOST ALL** the women out there who are doing this.

Well? Now it is 28 years later and I am ringing the bell for you lads. I am letting you know that women are fully aware that the game is that **THEY** are the predator and they are preying on you for **YOUR FUTURE INCOME.** Money they can steal from you via the agency of the guvment if you do not hand it over willingly.

And if you do not believe me **ALL** women know this? One day I was talking to “Sue” and some comment came up in our conversation about how women were calling me a “loser” based on the assumption I could not “attract a woman”. I laughed at her and said “**Calling a man a loser for not being able to attract a woman is like calling a surfer a loser for not being able to attract a shark. You women are like sharks. You prey on us all the time lying to us and telling us that we are chasing you. And I know it.**” She did not say a word. She just sheepishly nodded because she knew that I knew she was pursuing me just as much as I was pursuing her. She knew that the normal manipulations would not work on me.

Do not take my word for this. Observe the men around you who are being pursued ruthlessly by women for their future incomes. Notice how the women treat them. Notice the endless shaming language. Notice how they try and dominate and control the man using sex and intimacy as a weapon and the “I will leave you if...” weapon.

6.17. RQ0017 – Keeping Men Off Balance, Distracting Men

“Wow. Women as predators is a real eye opener. What else would you share with us so that we can protect and defend ourselves from women who would lie to us and steal from us? Can you please tell us more about the tactics women use to dominate and control us that we would not be told about by our mothers or fathers?”

Sure. And you can also go and ask men in places like www.the-spearhead.com about these sorts of tactics. Most married men have seen these tactics. My position has always been that it works best if a husband and wife were just honest with each other and did not try to dominate and control or manipulate each other. Alas. It seems women are not willing to do that. No matter how much they protest that they are honest the evidence they support perjury is not refutable. Western women have been given FOUR YEARS to denounce the criminal actions of Jennifer. Thousands upon THOUSANDS of western women have had that opportunity. Very few took it. And since cases like mine are as common as mud in the street you can be sure that 99.9%+ of western women know full well that is happening in the family courts and they stay silent.

So here are a few tactics women use to control men.

1. Provoke the man so that he reacts and then act like the victim and get others around you to attack him.

This is one of the most popular actions by women because it works the best. EVERYONE leaps to the defence of a “crying woman”, especially men. We seem to have an inbuilt soft spot for crying women and they know it. The provocation can be any of a million things.

- a. A derogatory comment that is delivered with a laugh as if it is a joke.
- b. A personal criticism in public or in front of his friends.
- c. Hitting him in public to try and draw him into hitting her back.
- d. Yelling, screaming and swearing at him.
- e. Using sex and intimacy as a weapon.
- f. Using the children as a weapon against him. (Erin Pizzy reports her mother did that.)
- g. Spending money you do not have on a credit card and then “forgetting” about it so that when the man tries to use the credit card it is denied.

(I had that happen trying to check out of the Sheraton in Moscow. Jennifer had rented a car for 8 weeks and didn't tell me because “you might get angry at me because I knew we didn't have the money to pay for it”. So she let me head off to Moscow with a credit card she knew was not going to work and for some reason does not care that would make me MORE angry!)

- h. Breaking things. Things from cars to windows to crockery. The more expensive or the more personal the item that is broken the better.
- i. Lying to your face.

This goes with “if you loved me you would always trust me”...even when she knows you know she is lying apparently.

- j. Spending money and refusing to tell you what it was spent on because “if you loved me and trusted me you wouldn't ask what I was spending money on.”
- k. Buying expensive gifts you can't afford for nephews and nieces at Christmas time.

(This was one on Jennifer's classic provocations.)

-
2. Threaten to leave him if he does not comply.

This is a very popular way to manipulate a man. Fear of loss.

3. Get the wives of his friends to have his friends manipulate him.
4. Get his friends to manipulate him.
5. Cut him off from his friends socially so that he is more dependent on the woman for his social life and personal interaction with people outside of work. Make herself the only “close friend” left and make him more emotionally dependent on her.
6. Quit work so the full burden of providing for the family is on the man.

This is VERY popular in the UK. Women doctors drop out at a rate of 60% in the first 10 years. Once they have the house and the 2 kids on the two doctors incomes she quits and he is trapped. He has no possible way to leave as the state will chase him to the ends of the earth for “child support”.

By doing this the man works so hard he is exhausted and much easier to control.

7. Do some completely outrageous thing to really drive home who the boss is.
Do it in front of the kids to damage or destroy his standing of authority as head of the household.

Some of the more outrageous things Jennifer did were as follows. Ask other men. They will tell you similar.

- a. Relocated herself and the children to Wagga, 500 kms from our home in Sydney, without my agreement. Apparently this was perfectly ok by her mother and father.
- b. Feed my dinner to the dog to “punish” me for spending “*too much time at work*” in the 1991-3 recession. This would be while she was on maternity leave and we were going backwards at the rate of A\$1,000 per month and I was worried that I might be retrenched at the end of the year. Yep. You read that correctly. As the sole income earner for a family of SIX in the middle of a HUGE recession with job cuts looming? Not only was I not supported by my “*loving wife*” she actually fed my food to the dog to “punish” me for “*working too much*”.
- c. Booked a 4 day holiday to Istanbul from Dublin and planned to put the kids with sitters and not tell me about it. Yes. You read that correctly.

Then, when it came out and I put my foot down and said “*because you did not ask for my agreement I forbid you to take that holiday. You must ask my agreement before spending that amount of money on something like a holiday.*”

Her response, in front of the children was “*I am the wife. I can do whatever I want. You have to pay for it because you are the husband. And there is NOTHING you can do about that. So there.*”

- d. Take a child out of school right before exam time.
One time Jennifer asked me, in front of our daughter, if they could go to Norway for a friends birthday party. I asked what was the date and when were Josephine’s exams. The party was the weekend before her exams started. I said to Jennifer “*I am surprised you even asked me. You know my policy about exams. They are important. The children have to study for them. You should not have even asked me this question. I am disappointed you even asked.*”

Sure enough. I called on that weekend to see how Josephine’s study was going and she was in the middle of the party in Norway.

- e. Show a 12 year old boy an 18+ movie that contains explicit sexual content and language he is not old enough for after being specifically told that she is not to show the boy the movie.
This is always a good one for mum to score points with the boy against the father. Jennifer actually showed Joshua “Team America World Police” which, even though a puppet show, has explicit homosexual acts in it that are not for a 12 year old boy. But hey, what does that matter when it lets Mum score points and paint Dad out as “too authoritarian”, eh?

-
8. Cry a lot and have “emotional upsets” a lot.

What loving husband is not worried about his wife when she seems to be crying and upset a lot. Women use crying or sad faces as a manipulation all the time. I had two daughters and they were both quite expert at it. I have noticed the women I have dated are very expert at this too. It just does not work on me now.

9. Change the subject. Also includes tell a blatant falsehood that forces a change of subject.

This is a favourite of women. When the man is trying to get to the bottom of something the woman will continually change the subject so as to get him off the track. If she tells a blatant falsehood the man will generally feel compelled to address it and she will have led him away from the original subject.

10. Act dumb or “confused”.

This is a classic. This can last for-ever. The wife keeps saying “I do not understand, can you explain that to me again” and plays “dumb blonde”. So the man, believing her to be sincere tries over and over again to explain the point to her in a way that she can understand. Jennifer had me going for YEARS on some points like this. I am amazed I was so persistent. As she said in her letter “a less persistent man would have divorced me years ago”.

The overall idea is that the more you keep a man off balance and distracted and uncertain as to what is going to happen next the easier he is to dominate and control. I have even seen a book on this topic for women that tells them how to do these things.

What do you NEVER hear suggested by women? You NEVER hear *“Let’s just be honest with each other and put all the cards on the table and go from there, eh?”*

Example? In my case it turned out that Jennifer had lied to me about the date of her first divorce. The first time she pursued me the first question I asked was whether her divorce was complete and over, not still pending. She lied to me and told me it was complete. This only came out 23 years later in our divorce as the prior divorce certificate was just one of the things that needed to be ticked off. She refused to give me a copy. I wondered why she would do that since it was 23 years earlier, what could be the issue? The issue was that she had lied about being divorced and that meant she was being the predator right from the very beginning and was lying to me from the very beginning. That was tough to swallow. Her father was none too impressed either.

What you should notice young man is the reaction of **OTHER WOMEN** to that news. Their reaction was mostly along the lines of:

1. Ha, ha, ha she really fooled you.
2. You chose poorly because you chose a woman who was a liar so it’s all your fault that she was successful at lying to you.
3. You deserved to be lied to because you are obviously a bastard.

What you will NOT see is *“she was a terrible woman to have lied right from the start while putting herself forward as a Christian woman, we must denounce her and let everyone know what a liar she is so others are not also successfully lied to.”* No. You will not hear THAT from women.

When a man lies to a woman it is a **BIG DEAL** and the man is a monster.

When a woman lies to a man it is his **HIS FAULT** for not spotting it but he is also a *“woman-hater”* if he does not *“trust and respect all women”*. Are you starting to see just what horrible people these western women are? They constantly and consistently blame the man for everything. They never hold women accountable for their actions. They certainly do not socially sanction women for their actions and they certainly do not make sure women criminals are required to provide appropriate remedy.

This is what you can look forward to in the west young man. With Eastern European Women? This sort of behaviour is much rarer but not unknown. One of my lady friends told me her mothers actions were very similar to Jennifer’s. She said when she was about 14 she asked her father why he married such a “witch”. Of course his answer was the same as mine. *“She only became like that after the children arrived.”*

Women play the longer game young man. You have been warned.

6.18. RQ0018 – What are MBA Law Services Fees?

“You have mentioned a number of times that we have all this material given to us for free if we want it. And you have mentioned MBA Law Services will do our work for us for a fee, just like a car mechanic. Can you please tell us more about MBA Law Services and the other services already on offer?”

We are keeping forums and documents for services on offer and the most up to date versions can always be found by going to the web site.

<http://www.mensbusinessassociation.com>

So what is on this page may change and what is on the web site is the most up to date version.

There are many services offered. The most basic and most highly recommended is the strawman recapture service. I have trained a young man to do this and he lives in a low cost country so he can do this for USD150 per person. This is the introductory offer to see how many takers we get. It is about 10 hours work to do such a piece of work. We called him Fred01 and his email is here. Lawservices01@crimesagainstfathers.com

If someone wants me personally to perform their strawman recapture then the rate is EUR500 for me. I do not live in a low cost country.

In general I charge fees that are linked to the net worth of the man asking for the service. This is to make the service more affordable to men of lesser net worth, not to gouge from men of higher net worth. My fees for my business consulting clients are much higher and are in line with the normal consulting fees achieved in business by someone who is a leader in their field.

Here is the sort of amounts you can expect MBA Law Services to ask for on a per hour basis. Notice that just like the normal legal fraternity payment is pre-paid and then work is drawn down on the account. MBA Law Services staff, as they com on board, will be under oath to act honestly and so can be relied upon not to take any money they did not earn. This is, of course, UNLIKE the legal fraternity who will take money for telling blatant lies as I have proven.

- Your net worth < EUR50,000 my rate is EUR50 per hour.
- Your net worth > EUR50,000 and < EUR100,000 my rate is EUR75 per hour.
- Your net worth > EUR100,000 my rate is EUR100 per hour.

As more men come on board and learn how to do these things they will be able to apply to MBA Law Services for agreement to be able to deliver these services. I will, for the foreseeable future, perform the training and quality control of men who perform MBA Law Services. There will be a formal training program put in place and work will be reviewed on a regular basis.

In practice MBA Law Services will start to run very much like what you would expect a Law Firm to run like. The model will become quite familiar. We will provide competing services to regular lawyers.

Hopefully we will cause a lawyer or two to starve.

We will not be practicing law, we will be **PERFORMING LAW.**

BIG DIFFERENCE.

6.19. RQ0019 – What are MBA Peace Officer Fees?

“Can you please tell us more about MBA Peace Officers and the other services already on offer?”

As noted in the US Declaration of Independence one of the **FIRST** things that must be done on creating a new form of government or system in which people can arrange matters to live lawfully is the creation of the role of Peace Officers and the swearing in of Peace Officers.

This is no different for the MBA. We are forming an alternative system that is outside the jurisdiction of any national government. We have to expect that our members will be criminally victimised by those governments as they are not going to be happy to lose their slaves in any significant number.

Therefore the MBA needs to have the credible promise of force up to and including deadly force. This is no different to Policy Enforcement Officers of the criminal corporate governments walking about the streets carrying guns and tasers. These people are NOT Peace Officers. That is why they are called Police. They USED to be called “Officers of the Peace”.

Here is an example.

<http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/guide-method/census/2011/census-history/the-modern-census/index.html>

*“Information was collected from every household by the Overseers of the Poor, aided by constables, tithingmen, headboroughs and other **officers of the peace**. The Act also applied to Scotland, where the responsibility for taking the count was placed on schoolmasters. In Ireland, the first modern census was taken 20 years later, in 1821.”*

The move from Officers of the Peace to “Police” which is short for “Policy Enforcement Officers of Corporate Governments” seems to have come about between 1936 and 1945. It seems to have been slipped in there while the war was on so no one noticed. Even as a little boy I was told about “Police Men” and not “Officers of the Peace”.

If you want to know if Jimmy Wales is really a stooge and Wikipedia is just one more brainwashing mechanism you need look no further than this entry.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_enforcement_officer

“A law enforcement officer (also called peace officer)”

Only problem is a legislation (NOT LAW) enforcement officer is **NOT A PEACE OFFICER.**

This is a **BLATANT LIE.**

You can not be the policy enforcement officer for corporate legislation against human beings via the deception and lie of the juristic person and **AT THE SAME TIME** being a peace officer protecting that human being from **CRIMINALS JUST LIKE POLICE OFFICERS!**

Just as Goebells said. If you make the lie big enough people will believe it.

In LAW there is **NEVER ANY FORCE.....EVER.** All actions are **VOLUNTARY** while acting under the protection of LAW. The idea of **LAW ENFORCEMENT** is, itself, a contradiction that can never be true. What it really is is **POLICY ENFORCEMENT.**

An Officer of the Peace is there to offer you the **PROTECTION OF THE LAW.** Not the enforcement of corporate policies.

So as a young man you might want to consider the position of Peace Officer for the MBA. You will be able to make some money out of such a position. You will be free to charge your own fees to MBA members except for where the fees have been set previously by MBA Law Services for specific services.

For example. There will be specific fees for serving documents onto people. Those fees will be one ounce of silver or equivalent per hour of service. This is quite a reasonable fee to both parties.

For jury service the fee will be one ounce of silver per hour of service. Again, a reasonable fee.

If you are asked to transport valuables internationally, which will be one service offered, then the fee will not be so high but the hours of service will be in quite a slab. You might be asked to deliver valuables from the US to Switzerland for example. You might be able to throw in a personal holiday. The fees you are willing to do such travel for will be up to you.

It is anticipated that MBA Peace Officers be international in nature and would be able to be hired by people to perform their functions anywhere in the world for an agreeable fee.

In some cases Peace Officers will want to remain anonymous and this service too will be provided.

Peace Officers will, in general, be armed with guns just like Policy Enforcement Officers. Therefore the Peace Officer will need to prove proficiency with weapons prior to being allowed to carry one as a representative of the MBA Law Services.

Peace Officers will be authorised to perform property seizures via the MBA Courts based on a signed writ of execution from a 12 member jury. The Peace Officer can be satisfied that if the writ of execution handed to him is signed by 12 jury members and countersigned and stamped by the MBA Court Secretary that all due process has been performed. If the Peace Officer has a question in his own mind the video of the court meeting will be available to him to review as well.

It is up to the Peace Officer to ensure that any writ of execution he is handed is lawful. That is the result of the Nuremberg trials.

If you are a young man who happens to be ex armed services we would be particularly interested in you applying to become an MBA Peace Officer.

I believe it is going to be a valuable position commanding the respect and income that it deserves.

If men want to defend their rights and do not want to do it themselves then they have to pay someone else to do that for them. Just like they thought they were doing with Policy Enforcement Officers and Armed Services.

6.20. RQ0020 – What Is the State of Play in Your Case?

“Ok. I have seen comments saying that all you managed to do was lose your house and aggravate the “authorities”. I have seen accusations that you have “achieved nothing”. What is the state of play in your personal case? ”

Before I talk about the state of play in my case. Let me set the background because this is important to know now. Many men in the MRA/MRM area are saying that because I have not gotten compensation **yet** that I have “achieved nothing”. They are saying that when the cops come for a man they just arrest him and throw him in jail. They are saying the courts can write an order to steal his money or his house via their criminal colleagues in the bank and therefore there is nothing a man can do.

In some ways they are correct. There is little an individual man can do other than to go and shoot those who have criminally victimised him. Indeed, we are seeing men do this in anger and frustration. If every man who decided to commit suicide from the criminal victimisation visited him by the family courts went and shot the judge and the exs lawyer before killing himself this crap would be over in a month. We would have THOUSANDS of dead judges and lawyers. They would not find any more volunteers for those jobs.

Or a simpler way to think about it is this. If men declared themselves “soldiers” and declared an open war on ALL who are part of the Family Law machinery because ALL who are part of the Family Law machinery have certainly declared WAR ON FATHERS? Then they could claim that Geneva Conventions come into play. The man can commit acts similar to what Anders Breivik did in Norway and then claim he was a soldier prosecuting a war in the best way available to him for the maximum effect. He would be a “prisoner of war” not a “criminal” and he would have to be released from “wartime incarceration” when a peace treaty was concluded. That is, when the war on fathers was ended the man, pretty much no matter WHAT he did, would be released as a “prisoner of war”.

It is no exaggeration to say that those in Politics, Law Practice, Judges, Magistrates, Police and Media have declared a **WAR ON FATHERS**. So there is **AMPLE PRECEDENT** for fathers to declare war in return and then their actions become legal under the terms of the Geneva Conventions. A man who is fighting a domestic war against an occupying oppressor is NOT required to dress in uniform and is NOT required to identify himself.

So think of it this way. A man who decides to declare war on all SITTING Politicians, Judges, Magistrates, Police and editors of media outlets can use any and all means necessary to injure and/or kill any of those people and claim the status of “prisoner of war” under the Geneva Conventions if caught. Scary stuff.

That is what individual men can achieve in their OWN cases. Just a hand full of men doing this would make a MASSIVE impact. Look at the Anders Breivik case. All over the world people have been saying that the liberalisation of the west is a disaster. There have been tombs of books written about it. It proceeded as planned. Those in guvment just kept on going.

Along comes Anders Breivik and he KILLS the children of those who are responsible for the liberalisation of Norway and the next generation who would continue the liberalisation of Norway. His target was a very well chosen political target. People NEVER like it when children are deliberately targeted and killed as a political statement.

How much media attention have those tombs of books received?

How much media attention have all the MRAs received?

How much media attention has Anders Breivik received?

Well? Now you know what men have to do to get some attention for their issues, right?

“Those who do not make peaceful revolution possible make violent revolution inevitable.”
John F. Kennedy.

This year we are seeing a massive and rapid rise in men killing women in divorce. We are keeping news articles about this at the link below. While men are denied a community supported path to justice? You are going to see more dead women and children. Remember, no one cared about all the dead men like you these last 30 years.

That is the nature of men. Don't expect ALL men to agree to criminal victimisation.

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/123/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

Now. Think about this. If men did something so simple as to band into a small group of six and make oath to defend each others rights with force up to and including deadly force and then they actually lived up to that oath? All this crap about men being criminally victimised in the Family Courts would be over in months.

Hypothetical example. Let's say six men who are well known to each other for whatever reason decide to make this oath together. Perhaps one or two of them are already being criminally victimised, who knows. The deal would be "One of you take care of MY problems? I make oath that I will take care of your problems in the future and that is my OATH until I die. It does not matter what the "problem" is. I will take care of it."

None of the group tells anyone else of the group what it is they decide to do as an individual. Each man acts entirely of his own volition. They just keep an eye on each other to make sure that no other member is criminally victimised. Say one of them is criminally victimised and all efforts at lawful redress are spurned. Say one of his brothers decides to help his brother out by "making his problems go away". No one knows who did what. No one is under any obligation to say anything. The man was being criminally victimised. The due process of law was denied him. The criminal was brought to the only form of justice that would work for the victim of the criminals crimes. The sort of justice that leaves no witnesses. The victim of the criminal committed no crime. Indeed, it could be very well argued that the man who took action did not commit a crime either. It could be well argued he committed a "community service" in the face of the fact the community would not perform that service itself.

If men did this? All this crap in the divorce courts would end very quickly because the dead women would pile up very quickly. Nearly as quickly as dead fathers have been piling up. When news that women who had tried to screw husbands over in divorce are suddenly winding up dead and the resolution rate of the "murders" is approximately zero because the husband has a rock solid alibi? It will not take long for the women to figure out that men have taken the law into their own hands and screwing your husband over in divorce might have you wind up dead. Or maybe not. Women have not listened to previous warnings so they might not listen to this one either.

Further. A member of the six might just decide that the politicians, the judges, the magistrates, the lawyers, the cops, and the editors of media outlets are just as guilty and decide that dispensing some summary justice in that direction is also a good idea. Since there is no connection between the group member and those he is dispensing summary justice to there is little chance he is going to be found.

Now. Just imagine that **THOUSANDS** of men start forming these small groups of six. They do not need to talk to each other. There is no command structure needed. They know who the criminals are. They do not need to talk to anyone else to act unilaterally. The criminals in the government can send agents into the field to try and infiltrate groups or start groups as infiltration. But by keeping the number of any group to six and keeping it only among men who are well known to each other. The chances of infiltration are minimal. If an infiltration happens only 5 other men are found. The cell is dead but the other cells are ok. The infiltrator will be known and can be named so that summary justice can be dispensed to him.

Further. Imagine there are also men who decide they do not need to be part of a group of six in order to start dispensing summary justice. Imagine a man simply decides to go and sit in the public area of the Family Courts and find the judges and follow them home and then proceed to dispense summary justice? This is not hard to imagine at all, right? It is just that we are taught we shouldn't go around killing people.

Well? Do our Family Court Judges show any concern about the men they are destroying in the divorce courts? No. So why would men show concern for these judges who know full well what they are doing?

Young men. You are well advised to remember.

When there is no rule of law and no community supported path to justice then men absolutely have the right to dispense summary justice as they see fit.

There is no "authority" over a man that says he may not dispense summary justice as he sees fit. It just happens to work better when there is a community supported path to justice.

The best such path we know of is the jury trial. That is why I joined the already existing call for Jury Trials about three years ago. Shaefer Cox and Robert Menard were already calling for such. It was not like I was the first. It is not like the jury trial is a new concept.

Now. Why have I told you all these things in relationship to my case and where it is up to?

The reason is that I had every **RIGHT** and every **OPPORTUNITY** to dispense summary justice to Jennifer. I have said, in public, that I had no less than FOUR offers for Jennifer to meet with an “accident”. One man even offered to make this happen for expenses only. Many men I talked to where IRATE at how my former children were being abused and took the position that such child abuse must end. There is **NO “authority”** in this world that had **ANY RIGHT** to bar me from defending my children. **NONE**. If someone abuses my children I claim the **RIGHT** to dispense summary justice as I see fit.

In each case I forbid the man from taking any action and told him that if he did he would have me to deal with. I told him that I needed Jennifer alive to stand trial for her crimes. I was going to go for “The Rule Of Law” and “Due Process of Law” and that meant that one day I would have Jennifer put in front of a Jury Of Her Peers and she would be issued a Remedy Instruction for her criminal acts. I wanted to build up the case history of my case and then present it back out to the young men so they could see what they were in for. This idea was already in my mind when I forbid those men from injuring Jennifer. Jennifer needed to stay alive so that you young men could have the opportunity of reading the book in your hands now.

I chose the path of the “high moral ground” by insisting that I was going to re-introduce the rule of law to Australia and Ireland and I was going to do that by prosecuting Jennifer publicly so as to establish the precedent for other men.

So in my case we are now very close to this. Jennifer is about to be prosecuted before a jury of 12 honest men of honour and integrity because women waived the opportunity to do so. They do not get to complain at a later date about having waived the opportunity to do so.

All the documentation ABOVE is my warning to men. If they do NOT put Jennifer on trial? If they do NOT give me a Remedy Instruction that is commensurate with community standards for the crimes that have been committed against me. If they do NOT hold women as equal before the law to men.

THEN YOUNG MEN HAVE EVERY RIGHT TO USE DEADLY FORCE TO SOLVE THEIR PROBLEMS.

Older men who are **FATHERS WILL** prosecute Jennifer **FAIRLY AND JUSTLY** or **YOUNG MEN** will know that there is no rule of law and there is no willingness for older men to re-introduce the rule of law to defend them.

In short. Should older men **FAIL** to join with me in re-introducing the rule of law then that **RESPONSIBILITY** will be passed to **YOUNG MEN**. I will then call on men who are **NOT YET FATHERS** to sit on the CAF juries.

If **THAT** fails then I will point out to young men that there are **NO MEN** willing to secure your rights by due process of law and Jury Trials therefore you are free to **ACT AS YOU PLEASE** when you are criminally victimised.

I have given you a range of options that you can consider for yourself. I am not telling you to do these things. Indeed I am telling you the **OPPOSITE**. I am telling you that it is my goal to re-introduce the rule of law via the best known mechanism call the **JURY TRIAL**. I am telling you that should men **FAIL** to do that then **YOU** have the **RIGHT** to **DEFEND YOURSELF**.

The older men, the ones who are refusing to secure your rights, they are the ones saying you have no right to defend yourself. Well? Do you have the right to defend yourself or not? A snail does. A spider does. A snake does. A cat does. A dog does. A bird does. A monkey does. Indeed almost every animal in the animal kingdom and many plants as well have self defence mechanisms.

WHY WOULD IT BE THAT YOUNG MEN HAVE NO RIGHT TO DEFEND THEMSELVES, EH?

Are you **REALLY** going to look for moral guidance from men who are so sexist, discriminatory and bigoted against **YOU** that they refuse to secure **YOUR RIGHTS**? Or are you going to take the position that you are at least as worthy as a snail, a spider, a snake, a cat, a dog, a bird, a monkey?

Consider this. If a woman took a sharp stick and poked a dog over and over again and eventually the dog bit her everyone would say “Well, that is what happens when you provoke a dog. Best not do that.” But if a woman provokes a man for years end on end and then steals his children, his house, his hopes, his dreams and he hits her what does everyone say? He’s a “wife beater”.

I said above you are treated as lower than a dog. I meant it. How do **YOU** feel about that?

So. Where is my case up to? We are just about to run my prototype cases in Australia. On trial will be:

- David Dunkley – Criminal impersonating a magistrate and house stealer.
- Louise Henderson – Criminal impersonating a magistrate and house stealer.
- Registrar Johnson – Criminal house stealer.
- Justin Dowd – Criminal Family Law Lawyer, contract fraud, house stealer.
- Greg McCray – Criminal Family Law Lawyer, contract fraud, house stealer.
- Jennifer Toal – Criminal ex wife. Committed perjury, kidnapping, extortion, theft and child abuse.
- Bill Toal – Criminal accessory to Jennifer Toal. Father.
- Irene Toal – Criminal accessory to Jennifer Toal. Mother.
- Michael Toal – Criminal accessory to Jennifer Toal. Brother.
- Jarrod Stephen Robinson – Criminal accessory to Jennifer Toal. Son.
- Kristen Marguerite Robinson – Criminal accessory to Jennifer Toal. Daughter.

That is 11 cases. In each case there is no doubt of the guilt of the parties. The evidence is stacked to the moon as you can plainly see on the CAF site. I am putting the question to the **FATHERS** of the Sydney community.

“Are you going to give me lawful remedy in a community supported fashion so that we can do this in public, fairly and justly, transparently, such that all can see that not only WAS justice done in my case but that justice was SEEN to be done in my case?”

Or are you going to unleash the forces of placing the law into the hands of individual men to act as they see best fit knowing full well that by doing so the only form of justice that works is the kind that leaves no witnesses?

Are you going to place the power of summary justice into the hands of individual men when you know full well that some of them will act more severely than others when there is no moderating influence of a jury of 12?”

I am warning the community that I have the ability to ensure summary justice is delivered to these 11 people.

If my former community does not offer me the protection of the law then my former community can not tell me that these people are offered the protection of the law where I was not offered such protection when I have spent **FOUR YEARS ASKING FOR THE PROTECTION OF SAID LAW.**

Why? Because I claim the maxim of law

“All men are equal before the law”.

If I am not afforded the protection on the law I claim that these 11 may also not be afforded the protection of the law. Efforts to do so will be dealt with in appropriate fashion.

Young man. You are about to witness whether fathers in Australia are willing to extend the protection of the law to one of their own. You are about to witness a very historic event. If the fathers of Australia fail me? Then the **RESPONSIBILITY** for re-introducing the rule of law will fall to young men who are not yet fathers.

Are **YOU** ready to accept that responsibility? It is a question you may well ponder.

If young men are, like older men, not ready to accept that responsibility? They there will be no rule of law in Australia or Ireland for some time. Justice will be dispensed as summary justice as the individual man sees fit.

After **FOUR YEARS** of asking for a community supported path to justice?
After exposing the criminality of the courts and the condoning of the criminality of women?

The **FATHERS** of these lands can have **NO COMPLAINT** if the young men of these lands take the law into their **OWN** hands and start defending themselves, their futures, their lives, their property, in any fashion the individual man sees fit.

There has been very clear “method in my madness”. That method is to put a very clear choice in front of fathers.

Join CAF and sit on juries or waive your responsibility to re-introduce the rule of law and pass it to the next generation.

6.21. RQ0021 – What Is the State of Play with CAF and MBA?

“Ok. I get it. We are at the flash point. You will get your lawful remedy in a community supported fashion by fathers or you will then call on us young men. And if us young men are not willing you will declare an open war and declare that the rule of law no longer applies in Australia and Ireland. Interesting proposal. It is certainly very clear. A kind of “put up or shut up”. So what is the state of play with CAF and the MBA?”

CAF has been running longer than a year now. I have found enough brave men to hold juries in Australia. Other countries are lagging as I have been concentrating on Australia. That is has taken me **THREE YEARS** to round up enough fathers to do this should tell **YOU** how badly **YOUR FATHERS HAVE FAILED YOU**.

YOUR FATHERS are not willing to secure **YOUR FREEDOM** or **YOUR RIGHTS** for **YOU**.

Do **NOT** let your fathers get away with the lie that they do not know what is happening. You stand up and tell them that **THOUSANDS** of fathers just like **YOUR FATHER** have been called on to **DO THEIR DUTY** and they have **FAILED MISERABLY**.

Do **NOT** be thinking that your father is particularly different unless he **PROVES** he is different.

Remember young man. I have called on **THOUSANDS** of fathers in the MRM/MRA area. I have called on **THOUSANDS** of fathers in the Free Man area. To a percentage of about 99.7% they refuse to choose to serve on CAF juries in CAF courts. They refuse with the most pathetic of excuses like *“I do not think it will work”*.

And what exactly about a jury trial does “not work”? Go ahead, ask some MRAs why they think a jury trial will not work. It has worked for a long time. That is why the Jury Trial was subverted. Because it **DOES** work.

Then there is the pathetic excuse *“the guvment might hurt me if I do that”*. No **MIGHT** in it. The criminals in the guvment will do all they can to persecute you. If you are going to let that deter you then you are a coward.

Then there is the pathetic excuse *“the cops will protect the criminals”*. Yes. They will. And then they too must be accused properly and put on trial. The process continuing until we get to the end of the list of criminals. Your average cop is not interested in losing his job any more than the average serviceman.

Then there is the even MORE pathetic excuse *“they will call us terrorists”*. Yes. They will. And if being lied about and have false labels attached to you stops you from acting then what sort of wimp are you? “Sticks and stones will break my bones but names will never hurt me”. Remember?

So the number of members signing up to CAF is very low. However the only other remedy from these fathers is *“we want law reform”*. What they are suggesting is that they go begging a bunch of criminals to stop committing crimes against them and to all live in peace in the future. This is how brainwashed older men are. They think they can negotiate with criminals. They are dependent on the system and can not leave it. So they try to fix it. They will defend the system because they think their pension is going to come out of it.

Well? What do you say young man? When someone is a criminal and commits a crime against you or others? Do you negotiate with him to ask him to stop committing crimes? Or do you put him on trial and if found guilty require that he make remedy for his crime? If you can believe this? Most men over 30 can not see that negotiating with criminals is not a good idea. Should you be listening to such men for your moral guidance? Men who would gladly see you enslaved or criminally victimised.

Oh, and here is one thing that you should **NOT** forget. These older men? The ones who would gladly see you enslaved or criminally victimised. They think **YOU** are going to have to work to pay for **THEIR** pensions. One of the reasons they are happy to see **YOU** enslaved and criminally victimised is that **THEY** hope to benefit from your enslavement and criminal victimisation. Do **NOT** forget this is their point of view. Well? Are you? Are you going to accept that older men who refused to defend you and refused to secure your rights are also going to demand **YOUR** enslavement to pay **THEIR** pensions? Or are you going to say *“Hell no. I did not sign that contract”*.

When you see just how few **FATHERS** have joined up when this much evidence has been put in front of them? You will start to realise the depth of your betrayal. I am sorry to bring you the bad news but someone has to.

So ask **YOUR** father to join CAF. Ask **OTHER FATHERS** you know to join CAF. And as I say: *“may God have mercy on the soul of any father not prepared to defend the children of Australia by joining CAF”*.

The Mens Business Association is really just getting off the ground. As per usual, despite the fact that men are moaning and groaning about how “bad” the govment is, when an alternative is presented they refuse to register interest in any significant number. You young men are well advised to understand that the vast majority of older men are so vested in “the system” and so brainwashed that they can not conceive of ever leaving it. They demand to be governed. They do not want freedom. Life on the sheepstation may be harsh and bad treatment by the farmer may be a daily occurrence but it is preferable to the unknown where they might have to think for themselves and be responsible for themselves.

The bottom line is most men want to be ruled. They want to be told what to do. As long as things are not “too bad” then they will go along. And do you know how far that extends? It extends to the fact that in WW II men who were to be executed would walk to the edge of the mass grave under their own steam to be shot in the back of the head and killed. They would not fight even when death was imminent.

As Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn said in Gulag Archipelago. St. Petersburg, a city of 2 MILLION people, was terrorised by just 2,000 police. He notes “how we burned in the camps that we did not meet them at the door with a pitch fork or an axe or whatever was to hand”. His lesson is that men go quietly to their deaths for the most part unless they band together early enough to fight back.

Well young man? You are hearing the call. Your govment is a criminal cartel. Your fathers are betraying you to a technocratic slavery that you will never be able to escape from. The surveillance society is here. You will stand and fight now or you will join the likes of “Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn” in the Gulags, if you live that long. It has happened before in Russia, Germany, China, Cambodia and others. Don’t think it can not happen again.

The positions in the MBA that are most needed are Peace Officers and Private Investigators. We are going to need men who are willing to track the Politicians, Judges, Magistrates, Police, Media Editors etc to their places of residence so that we know where they live. When a Court of Law, either CAF or MBA, issues a Remedy Instruction, we will need strong young men who are willing to bear arms to go and seize the property of the unwilling guilty party. Are you one such strong young man? Do you know other such strong young men?

We will need jury members. We will need men who want to start and run businesses. We will need men who are willing to defy the govment and be willing to perhaps take some criminal abuse from the govment in order to set the precedents. This is exactly what I did. I took my chances in court video recording David Dunkly and his criminal acts. I did it in front of two feds. They took my house off me for doing so.

So, do you, young man, have the same level of guts and commitment as me? It is **YOUR** future you will be standing up for. Not mine. I stood up for **YOU**. Now it is **YOUR** turn to stand up for **YOURSELF** and **YOUR MATES**.

The Mens Business Association can already offer a range of services. For all intents and purposes it is already up and running. All it needs now are men who wish to be Associates. Given that I have spent so much time working so hard to get fathers to join me with such slow success rates. I can not longer focus on finding more fathers on my own time. Other men, perhaps young men with more time and energy, need to do that if they want to live in freedom sooner rather than later. If you do not? You may never live in freedom.

I can re-present men in various cases. I have trained a young man to perform the Strawman Recapture service. I will train more young men as they come through and as demand grows. The rate of growth of the Mens Business Association now depends on the willingness of men to stand up to their criminal govments rather than to try and negotiate with them.

What will you do young man? Stand up to criminals and demand they be put on trial?

Or negotiate with them to ask them to not commit so much crime against you?

If you want to stand up and be counted? Click and register.

<http://www.mensbusinessassociation.com>

6.22. RQ99999 – What are Your Recommendations To Young Men?

“Wow. Hearing all that makes me a bit angry as well as a bit upset. How can it be that us young men have been sold out and betrayed so badly and no one bothered to tell us? No one bothered to protect us?”

So that begs the question. Things are bad for us. But what can we do from here? What do you recommend?”

Well, there are many and varied things you could do. There is no one set of things that young men should or should not do as a long list. Here are some things that are on the VERY highly recommended list. I only recommend. I try my best never to tell a young man what he “should” do.

1. Perform your Strawman Recapture.

The governments of the world claim that you are a slave and that they own you and the proceeds of your labour. They do this as a lawful presumption. They also make the lawful presumption you wish to live in the world of “LEGAL” and not in the world of “LAWFUL”.

If you do not rebut a lawfully made presumption then it applies. The governments of the world are banking on the ignorance of men and their unwillingness to rebut their lawful presumption that young men are slaves and want to be treated like slaves.

This is why, when some “authority figure” asks for your name, when you give it they make the presumption you are mentally incompetent and that you need to be told what to do and if you do not do what you are told to do you want to be punished like a small child.

A lot of men get all upset about being punished like a small child. But almost no men can be bothered to lawfully rebut the presumption they WANT to be treated like a small child. As a very wise man said to me when I started this all

“Peter, I understand what you are doing, but I think in the end you will find that most men want to be ruled. They want to be told what to do.”

This wise man is, of course, correct. The vast majority of men do want to be ruled, they want to be told what to do, and they will violently opposed any efforts to free them. The greatest fear of many men is to be liberated and therefore need to be self sufficient and think for themselves.

If you do not know that this is the nature of men I suggest you click here.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allegory_of_the_Cave

I can assure you that the vast majority of young men I talk to, and older men as well, fit into this model. They are mesmerised by the “shadows on the wall of the cave”. These things are otherwise known as football and other sports, Xboxes, playstations, tell-a-visions, radios, ipods, cell phones, alcohol, drugs, movies and all other sorts of “entertainment”. Simply put, people can be distracted into slavery quite easily. With the amount of fluoride in the water they will never think their way out of the “cave” and never see the truth of how the world really is. Even many young men who read this book will not find their way out of the cave.

Once you perform your strawman recapture as defined by The Book – Part 1 then you have become the Primary Creditor and Secured Party for THEIR strawman. Please never forget that the NAME is THEIR NAME. It was NEVER YOUR NAME. Nor would you like it to be YOUR NAME.

You are then very well advised to manage yourself in public knowing full well you are not the NAME and that when you sign for the name that you are not signing for yourself. There is no need to tell anyone else any of this when you sign for things. Remember, it is THEIR job to know what they are accepting as a signature.

Remember. When you sign in blue ink you are signing in admiralty jurisdiction. Blue is for water. When you sign in BLACK ink you are signing in UCC Jurisdiction. The black meaning you are a dead entity. The world of “LEGAL”. So when you see applications for bank accounts that say “Please sign in black ink only” they are asking you to sign for the dead entity that is THEIR NAME. So guess who owns the bank account, eh? Hint. **NOT YOU!!**

2. Join the Mens Business Association

The Mens Business Association is very highly recommended. I founded it so I must think it is a good idea. The MBA has been set up to provide everything a young man **NEEDS** to operate outside the jurisdiction of the government. More importantly it will have its own courts and own Peace Officers to **ENSURE** that the rights of members are protected. Young men will be able to have as much “Protection of the Law” as they are willing to pay for. If you think that the protection of the law is free, or that someone else should pay for it, I have news for you.

If you are not willing to pay for men to protect you then you have to be willing to perform that service of protection for yourself.

The web site is here. <http://www.mensbusinessassociation.com>

As you can see from clicking into the web site and browsing the forums the MBA is offering a wide range of services. If you start a business you too can offer your products and services via the MBA.

I strongly recommend you go to the downloads page and you read the documents there.

<http://www.mensbusinessassociation.com/Downloads.aspx>

Most importantly I recommend you read this document.

<http://www.mensbusinessassociation.com/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=sxZvk2PWzqM%3d&tabid=753&mid=1575&forcedownload=true>

The vision for the association is this.

“A global association of honest men of honour and integrity who collaborate and co-operate to manage some or all of their various business interests outside the jurisdiction of any national government.”

You will notice we are planning to have courts, adjudication services, contracts, law services, passports, identity documents, drivers licenses, bank accounts, ability to store silver gold and precious metals, ability to store valuable documents and many more services.

Indeed we propose to create any service for which there is a demand. Joining the MBA is the exit point for the current system as far as doing new business is concerned.

3. Get an MBA Man-Bank Account.

The MAN BANK will be launched when we have enough interest in it. Initially the MAN-Bank will create accounts for men where they can buy credits by sending money to the MAN-BANK swiss account. These credits can then be traded in the MAN-BANK. When the man wants his credits paid out a transaction will be raised to send him the money.

In this case men can perform banking transactions without even having a swiss bank account. Men can perform the transaction outside the jurisdiction of any government. By that you should read no taxes are payable for the transaction.

4. Get a Swiss Bank Account.

The MBA will be able to organise Swiss Bank Accounts for members for a fee. The fee is well below the usual fee as long as you get a band of brothers together to get the accounts set up as a group of six or more. At six the fee is EUR500 per bank account. I paid EUR750 to consult with a firm that did this sort of work and to open my first Swiss Bank Account. So by offering bundled of six accounts at EUR500 each I am offering men a good deal considering the work involved and the long term benefit of having a Swiss Bank Account. If you think you can do it more easily or for less money please go ahead.

5. Get a World Passport, World Birth Certificate, World Identity Card.

The World Service Authority issues these documents to anyone who claims them. You do not need to claim your World Citizenship to claim these documents as documents you are entitled to by right.

I have not claimed my world citizenship at this point in time. I am considering it.

These are going to be the official identification documents of the Mens Business Association and we will retain the registration numbers that members are issued so that we can represent our associates in all matters pertaining to their Lawful Status.

I have been working on the World Passport for some years and I am making slow progress.

For Associates who order their passports via MBA Law Services as a service we will guarantee to represent you to any government that violates what will be your claimed right of free travel.

Of course, when I say MBA Law Services will represent you I do mean for a fee, just like any lawyer would ask for a fee to represent you in any case with a government violation of your rights.

If you do not acquire the passport via MBA Law Services we will not guarantee to represent you should you need it. You might have to represent yourself just as I have for the last two years. We MAY represent you but do not count on that.

Remember. The governments of the world do NOT want to accept the World Passport and many men have tried hard and some failed and been abused to have these passports accepted. You have the choice of "going it alone" or getting one via the MBA.

I have spoken to border patrol staff in Ireland, UK, Germany and Australia about this passport. They ALL know about the World Passport and have seen them before. They merely pretend that they are "not accepted here" and pretend they have a "right" to violate your freedom of travel.

I have served notices on the UK and German governments to show me the paper work that proves they have the right to violate my right to freedom of travel and both went into lawful dishonour. I have an upcoming meeting with a German judge to sort this situation out for Germany. I hope to create similar situations in the UK and Ireland to force these countries to sort out the acceptance of the World Passport.

You can read details here.

<http://www.worldservice.org>

<http://www.worldservice.org/docpass.html?s=1>

Of course there are other paths of action that you might take that are not as important as the ones above. Here are some other suggestions as to what you can do.

1. Start Your Own Business.

The single most important thing you can do to free yourself is to start your own business that will generate a reliable income over many years. If you have the knowledge and the skills to run some small business then you are very well advised to do that.

The easiest of businesses is to start a small farm and sell the produce from the farm to those in the local community. You can then live on the farm, have a few farm animals about for food, eggs, milk etc and you are very close to being self sufficient. You might not grow cattle for meat but its easy to grow chickens for meat and eggs. You can swap chickens for some beef with the cattle farmer near you.

With the advent of cars and trucks this has become a particularly easy small business to operate.

Other men can start smaller stores again and you can encourage people to shop at smaller stores now they have seen where the world of supermarkets has taken them. Dangerously close to losing control of the food supply to the government.

Whatever business you start make sure you are selling a product or service that is very necessary and will remain necessary even if there is a major economic shakeout. Because a major economic shake out is coming.

Other good businesses to be in are trades. Plumbers, electricians, car mechanics, painters, builders, home handymen, driver for hire. All these jobs have to be done whether people like them to be done or not.

It is NOT recommended you do things like sell watches or jewellery or fancy clothes or fancy shoes. It is not recommended you be selling luxuries and make your income of luxuries like holidays. Selling products like ipods and ipads is, to me, the very height of insanity. When the real crash comes these things will be mostly useless and there will be no demand for them.

The idea that Apple is the most valuable company in the world is beyond ludicrous. It is similar for Google. The idea that Google makes so much "money" off advertising for selling many useless products that no one needs is beyond ridiculous. These sorts of companies must fall over some day. This is because they are not creating value and not making necessary items like food and shelter and clothes, they are cashing in on part of the business process that could very easily be taken away by some other mechanism.

It is recommended to sell products or perform services that are the basics and leave the "luxury" items to those who are not smart enough to read this book. You might not get paid so much. You might have to work harder. But in the coming hard times you will have a job when many about you are losing theirs.

One very good business to start is one like mine. Software development. If you can make a piece of software that serves a real need is a relatively small niche that no one else is going to be able to take away from you then you have a business model you can go to market with for a small business. Just beware of the level of software theft and idea theft and copying nowadays.

2. Apprentice to a Master

If you are too young to start your own business or you feel you need to learn from someone before you start your own business then the Master/Apprentice relationship might be for you.

The Master/Apprentice relationship used to be the standard way of the world not that long ago and it was that way for many hundreds if not thousands of years. Very often the son went into the same business as the father. This is how many surnames were formed. "Smith" was from the blacksmith. "Cooper" was from the maker of barrels. If a man trained his sons in his profession then he would give his sons a fighting chance in life to be able to make a decent living and have a family of his own.

In those days there was little to no schooling. When the Industrial Revolution hit and machines were used to make products rather than use hand crafted part or products men were rather abruptly removed from their strong connection to their trade. This also weakened their bargaining position since other men could also be trained to do what they could do relatively easily. So the advent of the Industrial Revolution really laid the seeds of "unemployment" further down the line.

We have striven to make it faster and cheaper to manufacture things and we have striven to reduce the amount of labour to manufacture things like there was a shortage of labour. Or, indeed, like when men are removed from their labour that they have something else useful that they can do to earn a living.

Today there are far fewer "Masters" available but there are some. If you have an interest in some specialisation then you might go and seek out some "Master" and see if you can cut a deal as his "Apprentice".

And remember, don't be too greedy. Young men need to pay their dues. In my first year at work I made coffee and cardboard folders and anything else I was asked to do. That is what Apprentices are for. To do the work no one else wants to do. You will be working for a long time so don't be in too much of a hurry to get to the top. You will find it is not much better or much different than being anywhere else.

3. Do Something Essential Other People Do Not Want to Learn or is Too Hard to Learn

All across the world there are jobs that men do that take a great deal of learning to do them or are just so tedious and boring that others are willing to pay men to do them.

MBA is essentially a business where it will offer services that take a long time to learn that a man needs relatively rarely. Rather like plumbers, electricians or car mechanics. You do not need the services of those men so often but when you do you really do need them. So you are prepared to pay a fair price for that work because the learning curve is so long and the number of hours you need those skills is so small relatively speaking. The plumbers, electricians or car mechanics then need to build up a clientele such that they can make a decent living out of providing service to that clientele.

The initial services of MBA are services where a man might need only 20 or 30 hours work but can not afford the 100 or so hours it might take to learn how to do things like strawman recaptures or rescinding the marriage contract, getting his passport and identity documents sorted out. The other point is that even if a man learns these things he might have concerns, sometimes well founded, that he has made a mistake that might be a problem in the future. For example, when tuning cars and things like that a relatively small mistake might end up in a relatively expensive problem later.

So MBA Law Services is going to develop and market various services to men that are appealing and of value. Other services like setting up Swiss Bank Accounts or running the MAN BANK are also valuable services that men can not provide as individuals.

I have spent four years learning a whole lot of new skills and new things about law and governments. Because I am able to deal with governments and deal with law I can now offer services in this area should anyone wish to purchase those services. It is one way of making all that learning pay. It is also a way of helping men for minimal costs to the man.

4. If All Else Fails? Become a Salesman for Someone Else's Product

This is an interesting comment. Most people are intimidated and bad at selling things. I was very bad at selling things when I was younger. I was a failed sales person for quite a while and I was very disappointed about that. Most people who make great products or deliver great services are terrible at selling them. That is just how it is.

So if you can find a really good product to sell? Then there is every chance that you can make good money by selling it and taking a commission for doing so.

Selling is the lowest cost of entry profession there is. To learn how to sell you only need to read some books. To have a sales platform to sell from you only need a laptop, a mobile phone, an internet connection and maybe a car in some cities. With the way that skype and other desktop sharing tools are now you can put product demonstrations out there easily.

With LinkedIn you can find customers very easily.

The hardest part is to have a great product that you can showcase to people who will understand it.

If you find a great product or service that you want to bring to the world MBA already has a company that can build great web sites for very reasonable fees. That company is OPUS. (www.opus.com.kh)

5. Resell the Products or Services of Other MBAs

We are kicking off MBA with two already established Service Providers who can deliver their services to anywhere in the world.

OPUS (www.opus.com.kh) is a marketing and branding company that also does web development. So you can go out into your local business community and resell the services of OPUS to build and maintain web sites for your clients. Every large city has lots of companies and they ALL need web sites. All these web sites need to be maintained. There is a great deal of work to be done in this area.

One of the big issues about building web sites for small to medium companies is that you actually have to go and meet the people face to face. It does not work very well to try and build web sites for people and never actually meet them. So companies that build web sites pretty much HAVE to talk directly to their customers face to face at some point and that means being local.

In the US, Canada, Europe, Australia the cost of web site development is quite high due to high wage costs. So if you back end your web site development with OPUS you will be able to more cost effectively build web sites. If you want to start a business it is well worth considering reselling OPUS services.

Instant Business Intelligence (www.instantbi.com) is my company. We have two offering areas.

The first is simple relatively low end web sites. A simple web presence on DotNetNuke for a modest fee. IBI is a domain name reseller and hoster for small simple sites. We are not trying to get into the web site development area like OPUS but we do need to use DotNetNuke ourselves. If a man out there wants to be a DNN developer of web sites for IBI we are interested in a flexible arrangement around that.

The second is around Business Intelligence. We sell BI Software and people who want to become resellers are welcome to apply. We are also able to design and develop Business Intelligence Systems that are world class. We can do this in most platforms but with Microsoft SQL Server 2012 now out this is going to be our preferred platform.

We can build BI systems and then deploy them into clients anywhere in the world. We know we can build these BI Systems for about 50% of the people cost of anyone else. Our ETL tools and data models give us an unassailable competitive advantage over anyone else.

For those of you in Europe we can host Business Intelligence Systems via our Business Partner in Germany. So right now, today, you can get into the business of selling and delivering Business Intelligence Systems by becoming a reseller of Instant Business Intelligence Software and Services. Up to you.

6. Consider Becoming an MBA Peace Officer.

We have a registration web site for prospective Peace Officers to apply to. <http://www.mbaposervices.com/>

Peace Officers will be required in the MBA to serve documents, seize property and other similar duties.

It will be a paid position though it will only be paid on a fee for service basis. Typically Peace Officers will have other jobs and only be Peace Officers when engaged by a client.

Peace Officers can sell their services to the general public as well.

7. Consider Becoming an MBA Private Investigator.

We have a registration web site for prospective Private Investigators to apply to: <http://www.mbapiservices.com/>

In cases where criminal accusation will be levelled at someone it is the responsibility of the accuser to gather up the evidence of the crime so that it is sufficient to convince 12 men on a jury beyond reasonable doubt that the accused committed the crime.

It is often the case that the accuser can not gather this evidence directly and requires the services of a Private Investigator to perform that job. Private Investigators exist in all large cities and the money that they can earn can be quite good. Given that the MBA will have links to the MRA area to some extent at least there will also be many men going through divorce that will be looking for reliable Private Investigators.

When I divorced I hired a Private Investigator to track where my wife was going. It turned out she was already sleeping overnight at someone else's house. There was also evidence she was committing what is considered a crime in Ireland, that being prostitution. The evidence was fairly substantial.

But seemingly the other women were not even concerned about a "loving and caring mother" having evidence of prostitution come up about her.

This evidence was also ignored by the Family Courts because apparently leaving your children in the house alone over night is perfectly ok now for a parent who has kicked the other parent out of the house with threats of incarceration by criminals called police.

So Private Investigators can find all sorts of things out that people are willing to pay for. I am sure that there will be men who want to have a reliable Private Investigator work for them.

7. GUEST CHAPTER BY JOHN RAMBO

John Rambo is a one man anti-feminist army. John is the creator of the famous Boycott American Women site.

boycottamericanwomen.blogspot.com.

John and I met in mid 2011 I guess. We talked a bit. I was impressed with his intelligence, his articulation, and his commitment to warning men as to the dangers they are facing from women in the west. John Rambo has been the young man who has done the most to assist me bring the message of how to live in freedom and how to work outside government control to young men. I am very thankful for his efforts to assist other young men just like himself. John has a big heart and he really cares about other young men. He is a pioneer for young men in the west. He got off his arse and left the west and made a life in another country.

Because of this skills he makes good money for where he lives. I have also offered him a position in Instant Business Intelligence as a reseller.

So I offered John a Guest Chapter in this book. These are his words to you young men.

Hello my friends.

I am a young man in his late 20s, and I would like to share a couple of questions that I had also as a young man during the period of my life between the ages of 18 to 25.

A little bit of background info on me first. I am the guy who created the Boycott American Women site, which was a wild success and reached millions of men with the truth about how vicious western women have become.

My website got featured on many top news sites and also on a few national radio programs in America. So I have more than done my part to help fight feminism and help wake up young men.

Why did I start that Boycott American Women site? Is it because I am a bitter angry man who hates women? No. I started it out of compassion for my fellow man. I wanted to help spread the truth about how abusive western women are towards men, and help save men from becoming the next victims of abuse of western women.

My motivation was that of compassion.

As a young man growing up in America, I saw how badly women treated men. Many other young men also saw the same things. As a result, I grew up with very little respect for western women.

Around the age of 18, there were two main questions on my mind:

- 1. What to do about the woman problem in the West*
- 2. What to do about the problem of living in the West*

As for the solution to question number one, it was quite simple- just BOYCOTT the western women and have nothing to do with them. That is, don't marry them, don't date them, don't hang out with them, don't be friends with them, and don't even talk to them more than is absolutely required. After all, man hating western women are very unpleasant people to be around.

Leaving America and living abroad in various places in Asia has really opened my eyes to women. Asian women were the opposite of American/western women - Asian women were much nicer people and actually a pleasure to be around. Asian women were still feminine and graceful, unlike the brash, low class, butch nature of western women. Western women are not women, they have given up all feminine qualities and are trying to imitate men. Sorry, but as a heterosexual man, I am attracted to FEMININE women, not MASCULINE women.

As for the solution to the second question. Most men realize by this point that western society is extremely dysfunctional and a very unhappy place to live. If you have never been outside of the West, then I really recommend you to take a trip to Asia and see what life is like there. You will find that it is much more pleasant, peaceful, less stressful, and slow paced.

The whole idea though is to expat, to leave the West and to never return.

There is a website in this regard that has a lot of good information: <http://www.happierabroad.com/>

Young men will naturally ask how will they financially support themselves living outside of their home country. Well that is not so difficult. You can start your own business, you can join the Men's Business Association, and you can get many local jobs as well. Being an expat westerner, many local companies will be interested in hiring you for your perspective and advice.

Anyway, life outside of the West is so much more pleasant and enjoyable, so I wish you all well in your endeavours.

And now I have two requests:

- 1. The first request is that you learn the truth.*
- 2. The second request is that you spread that truth.*

Truth carries with it responsibility. It is our responsibility to educate others.

Please educate yourselves on the evils of feminism and the crimes of western women, and then try to educate as many young men as possible about this.

My Boycott American Women campaign was a wild success in this regard.

So if other young men can do something similar, together all of our efforts combined, we can stop feminism.

8. THE US DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

Not many young men have actually read through this and understood what it is saying. Although the men who wrote it were actually treasonous traitors they wrote it so that it would appeal to the people who read it or listened to it at that time. So in order to do that they had to make it sound good. And sound good it does.

Here is the full text first. Then we will dissect it a little.

http://www.archives.gov/exhibits/charters/declaration_transcript.html

IN CONGRESS, July 4, 1776.

The unanimous Declaration of the thirteen united States of America,

When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.

--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --

That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness. Prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed.

But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.--Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.

- He has refused his Assent to Laws, the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.*
- He has forbidden his Governors to pass Laws of immediate and pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation till his Assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them.*
- He has refused to pass other Laws for the accommodation of large districts of people, unless those people would relinquish the right of Representation in the Legislature, a right inestimable to them and formidable to tyrants only.*
- He has called together legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and distant from the depository of their public Records, for the sole purpose of fatiguing them into compliance with his measures.*
- He has dissolved Representative Houses repeatedly, for opposing with manly firmness his invasions on the rights of the people.*
- He has refused for a long time, after such dissolutions, to cause others to be elected; whereby the Legislative powers, incapable of Annihilation, have returned to the People at large for their exercise; the State remaining in the mean time exposed to all the dangers of invasion from without, and convulsions within.*

-
- *He has endeavoured to prevent the population of these States; for that purpose obstructing the Laws for Naturalization of Foreigners; refusing to pass others to encourage their migrations hither, and raising the conditions of new Appropriations of Lands.*
 - *He has obstructed the Administration of Justice, by refusing his Assent to Laws for establishing Judiciary powers.*
 - *He has made Judges dependent on his Will alone, for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.*
 - *He has erected a multitude of New Offices, and sent hither swarms of Officers to harrass our people, and eat out their substance.*
 - *He has kept among us, in times of peace, Standing Armies without the Consent of our legislatures.*
 - *He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power.*
 - *He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:*
 - *For Quartering large bodies of armed troops among us:*
 - *For protecting them, by a mock Trial, from punishment for any Murders which they should commit on the Inhabitants of these States.*
 - *For cutting off our Trade with all parts of the world:*
 - *For imposing Taxes on us without our Consent:*
 - *For depriving us in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury:*
 - *For transporting us beyond Seas to be tried for pretended offences*
 - *For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighbouring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:*
 - *For taking away our Charters, abolishing our most valuable Laws, and altering fundamentally the Forms of our Governments:*
 - *For suspending our own Legislatures, and declaring themselves invested with power to legislate for us in all cases whatsoever.*
 - *He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us.*
 - *He has plundered our seas, ravaged our Coasts, burnt our towns, and destroyed the lives of our people.*
 - *He is at this time transporting large Armies of foreign Mercenaries to compleat the works of death, desolation and tyranny, already begun with circumstances of Cruelty & perfidy scarcely paralleled in the most barbarous ages, and totally unworthy the Head of a civilized nation.*
 - *He has constrained our fellow Citizens taken Captive on the high Seas to bear Arms against their Country, to become the executioners of their friends and Brethren, or to fall themselves by their Hands.*
 - *He has excited domestic insurrections amongst us, and has endeavoured to bring on the inhabitants of our frontiers, the merciless Indian Savages, whose known rule of warfare, is an undistinguished destruction of all ages, sexes and conditions.*

In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. A Prince whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a Tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free people.

Nor have We been wanting in attentions to our British brethren. We have warned them from time to time of attempts by their legislature to extend an unwarrantable jurisdiction over us. We have reminded them of the circumstances of our emigration and settlement here. We have appealed to their native justice and magnanimity, and we have conjured them by the ties of our common kindred to disavow these usurpations, which, would inevitably interrupt our connections and correspondence. They too have been deaf to the voice of justice and of consanguinity. We must, therefore, acquiesce in the necessity, which denounces our Separation, and hold them, as we hold the rest of mankind, Enemies in War, in Peace Friends.

We, therefore, the Representatives of the united States of America, in General Congress, Assembled, appealing to the Supreme Judge of the world for the rectitude of our intentions, do, in the Name, and by Authority of the good People of these Colonies, solemnly publish and declare, That these United Colonies are, and of Right ought to be Free and Independent States; that they are Absolved from all Allegiance to the British Crown, and that all political connection between them and the State of Great Britain, is and ought to be totally dissolved; and that as Free and Independent States, they have full Power to levy War, conclude Peace, contract Alliances, establish Commerce, and to do all other Acts and Things which Independent States may of right do. And for the support of this Declaration, with a firm reliance on the protection of divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our Fortunes and our sacred Honour.

Ok young man. First let me point out the lies. You will see some strange capitalisation in the declaration. You might not think much of this. Think again.

“Right of the People”

Who are the “People” with a capital “P”. The “P” changes the meaning of the word. This “P” changes the meaning to mean the people who are the signatories. But it is generally interpreted as meaning the people “p” who live on the land. You also see things like

“Nor have We been wanting in attentions to our British brethren.”

Why the “W” in “We”? Because they again mean only the signatories.

I really do not know why they did this rather than just lying about it. But they went to great lengths to obfuscate the lies but left the lies visible. Indeed, they are not really lies. They quite clearly used capitals which scholars of English can tell you are reserved for proper nouns and not collective pronouns like “we” and “people”.

There are the lies of the Declaration of Independence right in front of you. The same is true in the CON-stitution. You will see “We the People”. They got away with the “We” because they put it at the start of a sentence when you can’t tell it has been capitalised to change the meaning. But you will also see “P”eople in the CON-stitution.

All that aside. Let us read part of this.

“to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature’s God entitle them”

Whose laws? The laws of nature and of the creator, God. That is what you have a right to assume equal station with any nation state and to rebut the laws of any nation state by creating your own nation state or association. The Mens Business Association is an Association assuming this role and it has the precedent of the Declaration of Independence.

“We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.”

You are endowed with certain unalienable/inalienable rights by your creator whoever that might be. You can discern your rights from your physical creation and those rights are self evident.

For example, you have the ability to speak therefore you have the right to freedom of speech. No one can tell you what you can and can not say. They can choose to listen or not but they can not silence you. That is a violation of your freedom of speech and it is most heinous crime to suppress someone’s freedom of speech.

Another obvious example is your right to life. You are alive so your creator intended you to be alive and you have a right to defend your life if you so choose. You also have the right to end your life if you so choose. Suicide is not a crime. Virtually all animals on the face of this planet have some mechanism by which they defend their life.

You are born free and you therefore have the right to liberty.

You have strong arms and a brain to think with. Therefore you have to right to labour as you see fit and to earn your living and to enjoy the benefits of your labour as property lawfully accumulated. You have the right to retain property and defend your property.

That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed.

Notice that the **ONLY** purpose of government is to **SECURE THESE RIGHTS**. Notice also the phrase “consent of the governed”. This means that you can only be governed by consent and you only agree to obey those individual rules created by the government that you consent to. You get to consent to each and every individual rule created by the government or not. You can have blanket non-consent and only consent to those which you choose to consent to. Therefore, if you do not consent to a specific tax that you will not benefit from you do not pay it.

As Thomas Jefferson said:

“To compel a man to subsidize with his taxes the propagation of ideas which he disbelieves and abhors is sinful and tyrannical.”

Well? What is happening in the English speaking world where taxes run at 50% and are used to subsidise wars, abortions, single motherhood, criminals call cops, criminals call politicians? And no matter how much tax the governments demand and collect they still want more! How is this NOT tyrannical?

“That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.”

Notice again the “P” is used. However “people” is also valid. What this was understood to mean at the time and what people are told is that when the government becomes destructive to the ends for which it was created then the people have a right to alter **OR ABOLISH** the government and to institute new government. The Mens Business Association is such a new government. It is being set up on the principle that an associate must make oath to perform business honestly and must be subject to MBA Adjudication Services and Law Services should an accusation of out of contract scope performance happen or a criminal act happen.

No man in the MBA can be dispossessed of any property until after due process of the Adjudication Process for non criminal accusations and MBA Courts with juries for criminal accusations.

“Prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly all experience hath shewn, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed.”

This section makes an interesting comment because this is what we see from men. We see that they are quite prepared to suffer quite a lot of oppression before they will actually **DO SOMETHING**. They are accustomed to some processes and procedures and ways of doing things that oppress them and they are not very willing to change that.

“But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.”

Note that it is not just a right to throw off such government, it is a **DUTY**. Notice too that it has been spelled as “right” with a small “r” in this section whereas it was “Right of the People” above. These changes in capitalisation by someone as knowledgeable as Thomas Jefferson is not a mistake.

Note that it also states that the people have the **DUTY** to provide new Guards for their future security. This is what MBA Peace Officers are. They are the new Guardians of the Peace for MBA Associates. They need to be paid for that job.

“Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States.”

Men in the MRA area are most keenly aware that they have suffered a long train of abuses and that the government has caused many injuries and usurped the men from their families and their job in establishing a tyranny over men.

Well young man? Although the men who signed that document were actually traitors and they included a hidden meaning behind the word alteration by capitalisation of certain words, the thrust of what they were saying is correct.

When a government becomes tyrannical, and they will do if allowed because government attracts despots and tyrants, the people can reform it or abolish it or, indeed, create a new and separate government of their own.

What are you personally going to do? It is your choice. If you allow someone else to make your choices for you then you will become their subject, their serf. You are welcome to do that.

If you choose to act for yourself you can be free.

If you fail to choose to act? You will be someone else’s serf. It is really that simple.

9. APPENDIX 1 - A WORD ON THE RULE OF LAW

This is going to be a very controversial 'word on the rule of law'. But hey, I am not concerned about controversy. Young men have a right to know that they are not protected by the rule of law and given this are not bound by any law they are not protected by. It is hoped the CAF courts and MBA courts will put an end to this situation but it is currently early days.

Today men are so badly abused they do not have the protection of the law. If you are a man in the English speaking western world there is no protection of the rule of law for you today. There is only the satanic priests of the UCC courts wanting to steal from you and plenty of women who will help them do it for 'their cut'. I have, for four years, pursued 'the rule of law' and for justice to be done in my particular case. Suffice to say I am hated on by pretty much **ALL** western women and not a few men as well for having the temerity to wish to avail of the protection of the law. If a man attempts to gain justice for himself without taking 'the law into his own hands' you can be assured he will be hated on for doing so. Fine.

Something that you, young man, should be very aware of is this. When you are not the beneficiary of the 'protection of the rule of law' you also have no obligation to 'obey the law'. None at all. You should not forget that. The 'rule of law' works **BOTH WAYS** or **NO WAYS**. You are afforded the protection of the rule of law as part of the social contract of obeying the laws of the people of the land you are living on. This is embedded in the "golden rule" of "do unto others as you would have them do unto you".

If the protection of the law is withdrawn from you then you should rightly feel no obligation to obey anything that someone might call 'the law'. I certainly do not.

And here is something else men might want to know for themselves. When a man is falsely accused or is going to be taken to the cleaners in the 'Family Court' it is not 'lose 50% of your stuff'.

As in my case David Dunkley sent 95% of the assets I worked for all those years to Jennifer. You men should know that:

5% is the new 50% if you happen to be a man.

Quite literally. They are going to do everything they can to make sure **YOUR LIFE IS OVER.**

If you do not believe that then you should talk to a few men who have come out the other side. Or you should take a look at the suicide statistics of men in divorce or false rape allegations. Go ask men about this on www.the-spearhead.com.

What does this all mean? Well? It means you have to ask yourself a question. In the absence of the rule of law how are you going to protect yourself from these lawless women and lawless 'legal system'? Because if you do not protect yourself I can assure you that no-one else is going to protect you for you.

But how can you 'protect yourself' from your wife when she attacks you using the satanic criminal courts and when no-one else will lend you assistance to be with your children? How can you stop **YOUR** life being over? Well? People will not like what I say next but that's **THEIR** problem.

The protagonist is removed from their position of abusive power. The soon to be ex-wife has 'a fatal accident'.

We are now seeing more and more men simply kill their soon to be ex-wife and very often we are seeing them also kill their children. Many times these men are then also killing themselves. If men are feeling so abused that they are willing to kill their wife and children and not JUST themselves then it's high time people listened up. I have consistently talked about one estimate of 4,000 men in Australia kill themselves each year from the abuse they receive from the Family Court and CSA. And you know what? No-one cares about them. They really don't.

Well? How long is it going to be before young men figure this out. That if their soon to be ex-wife has a 'fatal accident' that they will get the kids, the house, HER life insurance policy, and his future income. Indeed. **HIS** life is no longer 'over'. Just **HERS** is over. If you don't believe me when I say this is happening and that incidents of this are going to become more frequent. Try this link:

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/123/scope/threads/Default.aspx>

How long can it be before the more intelligent of men figure out that the best way for such an 'accident' to happen is via the old 'Stranger on a Train' mechanism. That is. The man finds another man that has the same problem that has NO CONNECTION to him and they 'trade problems'.

Because there is NO connection between the two men and NO connection between the two men and the women involved the probability of being found guilty beyond a reasonable doubt for any crime is ALMOST ZERO.

How long can it be before the more intelligent men, who really want the best for their children, who really want to be with their children, figure out that arranging a 'Stranger on a Train fatal accident' is FAR more likely to lead to a good life than to go into the satanic criminal courts?

My own opinion? It can't be long at all. There was a recent case in South Africa where a man killed his wife and stuffed her in the freezer. He then killed a later partner and they re-opened the case about the 'wife who ran off'. They found her in the freezer. The father was quoted in the article saying something like:

"If he did not want to be married to her why not just divorce her? Why did he have to kill her?"

Well. The answer would be that if he did HIS life would be destroyed and from HIS point of view committing murder looked like a MUCH BETTER OPTION.

I have been telling people for a while now that the murder rate of wives and children by distressed fathers has been seemingly rising. So far in 2012 the number of cases of women being killed has shot up dramatically. I have been telling people that if just 10% of the men who decide they are going to commit suicide would kill the judge/magistrate or the wife/children first we will have an epidemic of murder and dead people on our hands.

All intelligent men know that my proposal to get the satanic criminal scumbags of the Family Court **OUT OF THE FAMILY** and to 'starve a family law lawyer today' by using the Arbitration Panel instead of the Family Court is actually a very viable alternative to the Family Court. We now have enough men to form courts in Australia for Crimes Against Fathers.

My warning to all intelligent men, and women and children, is this. If these proposals are not taken up? If women continue to support perjury, kidnapping, extortion and theft as they do today? Then the most intelligent of the men they are attacking are going to start organising 'Stranger on a train fatal accidents' for their wives.

As far as I see it? This is inevitable. When a woman makes the mans BEST OPTION her own MURDER she had better start thinking about just how smart that move is.

I am not advocating murder. I am merely saying that when you make a mans best option murder? Some of those men WILL take that option without any hesitation at all.

If the wholesale slaughter of Family Law Judges/Magistrates/Lawyers and vindictive wives and the innocent children is what you men reading this sentence really want?

Just continue to do nothing as you are mostly doing now. And don't bitch to me when my prediction about the murder rate comes true.

But if you want more stable and secure marriages? If you want fewer dead wives and children? Then teach other men about the ideas in the Living Free Book. Spread the word. Give men a path to justice via Arbitration Panels and de jure courts. Protect the rights of your fellow men when they are victims of crime. Because if you do not protect their rights when they are victims of crime? They might just take justice into their own hands, which they have every right to do. And since the only form of justice that will work for them is one where there are no witnesses to report what happened? That form of justice will require killing people.

So? Those men who read this and then do nothing? You will have the blood of these people who are subsequently murdered on your hands because you chose not to give men a path to justice when they had crimes committed against them.

I can see a day when things could become very, very bad if men do not implement the ideas in this book or similarly effective strategies.

10. APPENDIX 2 – ESSENTIAL BACKGROUND MATERIALS

“Let he that will be ignorant, be ignorant.”

“Ignorance of the law is no excuse.”

“All men (and women who claim so) are equal before the law”

Gentlemen (and women who claim equality before the law to men).

You have been lied to. Very successfully lied to. Much of what you believe to be true? It is not. There are many books on these subjects. This appendix tells you some of the most essential things you need to know.

You are well advised to do your own research and reading to come to fully understand the depth and breadth of the lies that have been told to you.

10.1. The lie of your NAME

When you were born your parents gave you a 'NAME' and 'registered' your 'birth'. A 'birth' certificate was issued with what you believe is your NAME on it. Your parents told you that your NAME was YOUR NAME. Your teachers told you to answer to your NAME. You were trained from the time you were very small that when an authority figure asks you your NAME that you respond with your NAME.

Only problem is. **IT'S NOT YOUR NAME.**

This is the **BIGGEST LIE** that you have been sold. It is the name of a trademark that was created by your government during **THEIR** process of registering **YOUR** 'birth'. Yes. Your 'birth' as a 'ship'.

They created it using the deception that they could then more effectively interact with you. They then used it for it's real purpose, to enslave you out of your ignorance of what your **NAME** is.

When you answer to an 'authority figure' with what you think is your NAME that 'authority figure' makes the legal presumption that:

- you are mentally incompetent
- that you can not take care of yourself
- that you need to be told what to do
- that you need to follow the 'rules'
- that you have waived all your rights
- and that they can do with you whatever they like including locking you up for no reason at all.

THAT is what answering to THEIR NAME means.

They make this presumption because if you WERE mentally competent and well informed you would DENY that it was YOUR NAME. Because it is NOT your name. It is THEIR NAME. Ignorance is NOT bliss in this case.

The NAME is also called your 'strawman'. There are MANY books and papers and videos about the strawman so we will not go into it in more detail here. Here it is enough to say:

YOU ARE NOT YOUR NAME ANY MORE THAN YOU ARE YOUR RAINCOAT.

Hhhmmmm. You might want to stop answering to **THEIR NAME.**

"Um. If I am not my NAME. Who am I?"

Good question. You have been told you are your name all your life. But when was this name given? It was when you were a baby. Right?

So. Did you agree to it? No. You couldn't. You were a baby. You could not agree to anything. Right?

You have a right to call yourself whatever you want to call yourself. You may do this on coming of age. This is considered 18 in Australia today. So. When you are 18, or older, you decide what you want to be called.

You normally write your name like this first-second: Last©. This author writes his calling as Peter-Andrew: Nolan©. The © indicates that this author has also copyrighted my calling.

It is recommended that you call yourself something **DIFFERENT** to **THEIR NAME.** by doing this it becomes very clear when something is addressed to YOU and when something is addressed to **THEIR NAME** that you might choose to be the Primary Creditor and Secured Party for.

10.2. The Lie of Person

When you look up a dictionary you will find the definition of "Person" similar to what follows:

<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/person>

per-son n.

1. A living human. Often used in combination: chairperson; spokesperson; salesperson.
2. An individual of specified character: a person of importance.
3. The composite of characteristics that make up an individual personality; the self.
4. The living body of a human: searched the prisoner's person.
5. Physique and general appearance.
6. Law A human or organization with legal rights and duties.
7. Christianity Any of the three separate individualities of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, as distinguished from the essence of the Godhead that unites them.
8. Grammar
 - a. Any of three groups of pronoun forms with corresponding verb inflections that distinguish the speaker (first person), the individual addressed (second person), and the individual or thing spoken of (third person).
 - b. Any of the different forms or inflections expressing these distinctions.
9. A character or role, as in a play; a guise: "Well, in her person, I say I will not have you" (Shakespeare).

This looks pretty harmless, right? Wrong. Re-read number 6.

"6. Law A human or organization with legal rights and duties."

When you read 'legislation' (the stuff the govment makes up that you are told you MUST obey) you will see the word 'person' or 'persons' used very, very often. You are told to believe that it means **YOU**. You are told that YOU have to obey this legislation. You are told YOU are a person and that when the legislation says something like "All persons must pay taxes" you are told **THAT MEANS YOU.**

Well? Does it? **NO.**

They mean **THEIR** 'organisation with legal rights and duties'. They mean **THEIR** strawman must pay taxes.

Well? If **YOU ARE NOT YOUR NAME/PERSON ANY MORE THAN YOU ARE YOUR RAINCOAT** why would you be 'paying their taxes'?

You can tell the government to collect **THEIR** money from **THEIR PERSON. NOT YOU.**

"But on my bank account is THEIR NAME. Who's money is in the bank?"

Well? Think about it.

When you put what you think is **YOUR MONEY** into **THEIR PERSONS BANK ACCOUNT? GUESS WHO NOW OWNS THAT MONEY? THAT'S RIGHT. THEY DO!!**

Hhhmmmm. Is being ignorant of NAME, PERSON and STRAWMAN starting to sound bad? It should.

When you buy a car? You register it in **THEIR NAME?** You no longer 'own' the car.

When you buy a house? You sign the mortgage in **THEIR NAME! YOU NEVER OWNED THAT HOUSE!**

When you register your child in **THEIR NAME? THEY CLAIM THEY OWN YOUR CHILD!**

This is why, in the family courts. **THEY** say **THEY** can decide who gets the cars, the house, the money and the children.

Get it? They claim they **OWN** these things and you do not rebut their claim so **THEY DO OWN THEM!**
Gee. That SUCKS, eh?

10.3. *The Lie of You Have to be Governed*

When you were little you were very, very likely told things like this:

- “The government represents the people and the government makes laws for the benefit of the people.”
- “You must obey the laws the government makes.”
- “If you don’t obey the laws the government makes you will be punished by the police (ie. govment).”
- “When you don’t like the laws that the government makes you have to obey them anyway because they represent the will of the majority of people. The majority rules.”
- “Because you want to live here in this country and be protected by the government you have to do what the government tells you to do whether you like it or not.”
(In some places like the UK this included forced conscription to go off and be slaughtered in wars. A truly male ‘privilege’.)
- “If you don’t like the laws then you need to influence the popular vote or influence the politicians to get the laws changed.”

On the face of it these things seem to be true. I certainly believed these things. And a lot of ‘laws’ are ‘good laws’. Things like don’t steal peoples property, don’t assault or hit people, don’t murder people, don’t drive too fast, don’t drink and drive, don’t let young people buy cigarettes and alcohol.

But are these “LAWS”? **NO**. They are not! They are **LEGISLATION**.

They are fraudulently called **LAWS** to fool you into thinking you must obey them.

You can withdraw (also called rescind) your agreement (also called consent) to obey this **LEGISLATION**.

You can withdraw (also called rescind) your agreement (also called consent) to be governed.

“But that’s bad, isn’t it? Won’t the bad people just commit crimes if we don’t have these laws?”

No. Because the **LAW OF THE LAND** is something completely separate to the **LEGISLATION of THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA or whatever country you live on**.

“What? What do you mean?”

I mean the law of the land is what the people in your community SAY it is. And the way they say it is in a jury. NOT in legislation. You have been lied to that the government makes laws and that you must obey them.

The TRUTH is that your community makes laws and that if you break these ‘laws’ then your community might ask you to make up for that crime. This is also called ‘make remedy’ because you need to ‘fix up’ (remedy) the damage you did when you committed the crime.

The people in government used this notion of laws that you HAVE to obey to create a really great way to make a slave out of you!

Stop and think about this for a minute. Look into your heart and think for a minute. Do you truly, in your heart, want to be told what to do? Or do you truly, in your heart, wish to be free to do as you choose?

If you wish to be told what to do? Then by all means agree to be governed by your government. Agree to be told what to do if you can not trust yourself to “do the right thing”.

If you wish to be free? If you trust yourself to “do the right thing”? Then by all means withdraw your agreement to be governed. Just don’t commit any crimes that your community might want you to make remedy for!

And if you do commit a crime? Expect your community to insist that you make remedy.
“Expect them to make you **DO THE RIGHT THING!**”

10.4. The Lie of “Legal” is the same as “Lawful”

In your life you have often heard the word “legal” and you have sometimes heard the word “lawful”.

The word “lawful” has fallen into disuse in the English speaking world. This is quite deliberate.

You have been told over and over again that it is a crime to do something that is “illegal”. You have been told things like:

- “It is illegal to break the law”
- “When you break the law you are committing a crime”
- “The rules the government makes are the law and you commit a crime when you break the law”

These things are all meant to confuse you.

Here is the truth.

“Illegal” applies to the “legal system”. This is the legislation that **ONLY APPLIES** to people who **AGREE** that it applies to them.

The “legislation” and “legal system” only applies to their **NAME**. The Strawman.

IT DOES NOT APPLY TO YOU ANY MORE THAN YOU ARE YOUR RAINCOAT.

You may have heard the term “Legal Tender”. It used to be on what you are told is ‘money’ in Australia. They took it off. Most people under 25 in Australia have never heard the term ‘legal tender’. But most people over 40 have. Hhhmmmm. Why would THAT be?

Well? What is ‘legal tender’? Is it money? No. It is ‘legal’ so it **BELONGS TO THE GUVMENT. NOT YOU.**

*“Hhhmmm. This ignorance thing really sucks. I don’t own my car, my house, my bank accounts, my kids? I don’t even own my money? **WHAT DO I OWN?**”*

The answer would be **NOTHING UNTIL YOU CLAIM IT BACK.**

You want to **OWN** something? You want to be rewarded for your work? You are going to have to learn common law and learn how to claim your property and then learn how to protect it via courts.

Because right now? You are living in a **LEGAL SYSTEM WHERE YOU HAVE NO RIGHTS ONLY PRIVILEGES THAT CAN BE TAKEN FROM YOU BY YOUR GUVMENT.**

You most likely want to live in a **LAWFUL SYSTEM WHERE YOU HAVE RIGHTS THAT CAN NOT BE TAKEN FROM YOU BY YOUR GUVMENT.**

Guess what? They even TELL YOU. You have heard the term “practicing law” applied to LAWyers.

Well? If you and your mates were down at the football field **PRACTICING** football?

ARE YOU ACTUALLY PLAYING A REAL GAME OF FOOTBALL?

NO! You are **PRACTICING.** You are **NOT DOING IT FOR REAL!**

Lawyers can **NOT** be doing law for real when they are ‘practicing’. They are **DOING LEGAL** and calling it **PRACTICING LAW.** Lawyers operate in the **LEGAL SYSTEM** (also known as Uniform Commercial Code) and they speak a language called **LEGALESE** which sounds suspiciously like English **BUT IT IS NOT ENGLISH.**

When you are talking to a lawyer and you think you understand the words he/she is saying because they sound like English to you? No. It’s NOT English. It’s legalese. And they have defined the words to mean something different to what you think it means.

Like the word **PERSON.** Like the words **‘FAMILY LAW’** actually mean **FAMILY LEGISLATION!**

This is really important so it gets two pages.

Think about it this way. If the lawyers told you that the word **PERSON** referred to **THEIR TRADEMARK** and that **FAMILY LAW** actually meant **FAMILY LEGISLATION** that only referred to **THEIR TRADEMARK** and **NOT TO YOU?**

WOULD YOU GIVE UP YOUR KIDS WITHOUT A FIGHT WHEN THE COPS CAME?

NO! You would fight to the death against the cops if you **KNEW** that what they were doing was unlawful and that they were kidnapping your children and stealing them from you.

The theft of children from fathers over the last 30 years has been the **BIGGEST CRIMINAL ACT IN AUSTRALIAN HISTORY SINCE THE BRITISH SLAUGHTERED THE ORIGINIES.**

It has been accomplished by lying to men that they **ARE** the person, that 'Family Law' applies to them, and it has been backed up by traitorous and treacherous men call 'cops' and 'lawyers' and 'magistrates' and 'judges' and **THEY NEARLY ALL KNOW IT WAS A LIE.**

You men want to think about that. You want to think about how many men **KNEW THEY WERE LYING TO YOU AS THEY ARRANGED THE THEFT OF YOUR CHILDREN.**

If you men want a reason to learn about common law and jury trials?

If you need more reason than the fact that until you do you don't own your car, your house, your kids, your money, your future income?

Here is the better reason. Your guvment is stealing children away from fathers in **MASSIVE NUMBERS** and they are doing so based on **YOUR IGNORANCE.**

You want to protect your kids from your guvment and your wife or ex-wife? **READ THIS MANUAL.**

Again. To make the lie clear.

LEGAL refers to the **LEGISLATION** of the legal entity called **THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA.**

You can withdraw your consent to be subject to that legislation **ANY TIME YOU WANT.**

LAWFUL refers to the decisions of 12 honest men of honour and integrity sitting on a jury sworn to judge an accused person fairly and justly.

Now. You can see there is a **WORLD OF DIFFERENCE** between these two things.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA is pretty much the same thing as McDonalds.

McDonalds can't make you eat their hamburgers.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA can't make you obey it's legislation.

By the way? The cops **KNOW** this.

If you want to direct your anger at someone for lying to you?

Start with the cops, lawyers, judges and magistrates.

They are as guilty as sin.

10.5. More Essential Things You Must Know

“Wow. That stuff really blew my mind. I can’t believe it. I’m going to check it out.

What else do I REALLY need to know before I learn this law stuff and learn about how to do law for myself?”

You need to know the answer to the following questions.

1. What is a Sovereign (also called Freeman but they are different)?
2. How do I become a Sovereign or Free Man if I want to be?
3. Where do my rights come from?
4. How do I claim them?
5. How do I exercise them?
6. How to I defend them?

Let’s take these one at a time.

10.5.1. What is a Sovereign (also called Freeman by many)?

You will hear the words "Sovereign" and you will hear the words "Freeman".

There are BIG differences between these two concepts as far as this author is concerned.

A Sovereign is someone who is their own ultimate authority. Sovereigns are honest. They have honour. They have integrity. They don't lie. They don't commit crimes. They don't take advantage of other people even when the opportunity arises. Sovereigns defend those less able to defend themselves because they know it is right.

Sovereigns do not look to anyone else to tell them what to do. They are self determinant, self reliant, self disciplined, self responsible. They are no mans slave. They know they are above the authority of guvments and they treat guvment workers as their servants.

Being Sovereign is a state of being, a state of mind. It does not happen overnight. It's not a piece of paper. It's not a simple declaration. You can tell other people you are a Sovereign by producing a piece of paper. But just creating the piece of paper does not make you a Sovereign. You LIVE being a Sovereign. It shows.

Being a Sovereign is to be your own master who is totally responsible for his (her) own actions and who has sworn by affidavit to live lawfully to his (her) community standards. Sovereigns are the men (and they are mostly men) who are the 'pillars of society' that you see around you. Men who are their word. Men who would hand in a bag of money if they found it. Many people would not. You know that.

A Freeman, in this authors opinion, is a BIG step down from being Sovereign. A man (or woman) can be a Freeman by not being bound to any master or anyone elses legislation. That does NOT directly translate into such a man (or woman) being self determinant, self reliant, self disciplined, self responsible. Being all the things I just described as being Sovereign.

For example. A woman who is taking alimony or child support can be a Freeman but she can not be a Sovereign. She is taking 'money', the proceeds of another persons labour, from that other person legally but unlawfully. She has no lawful claim to that money but she takes it anyway.

This is called stealing. If she were a Sovereign she would **NOT** take that 'money'. This is because a Sovereign **ALWAYS DOES THE RIGHT THING**. And when they accidentally do the **WRONG** thing a Sovereign always confesses his (or her) crime or wrong and makes amends without the need to be asked to do so. All men (and women) know perfectly well that the vast majority of western women support the crimes of alimony and child support.

No woman who supports the notion of alimony or child support payments can be a Sovereign, in this authors opinion. If she was Sovereign? She would not take that money, nor would she support other women taking that money. A Sovereign wife with a Sovereign husband would sort out their own lives. They wouldn't need to beg the Family Court to intervene and tell them how to live their lives.

Freemen might lie.
Sovereigns never lie.

Freemen might commit a crime intentionally.
Sovereigns never commit a crime intentionally.

Freemen might take money ordered by a court that is not lawfully his (or her) money.
Sovereigns never take money ordered by a court unless that is a lawful court and the money is in remedy for a crime committed and the decision has been made by a jury. (Not a star chamber judge/magistrate.)

Freemen might abuse children, such as by removing their father.
Sovereigns NEVER abuse children in any way. They know that children are the future and they nurture them.

Being a Sovereign is being your own king (or queen) which includes the heavy responsibilities and obligations of exercising your Sovereignty with due consideration to your fellow Sovereigns and other people.

Everyone can be a Freeman. Not everyone can be a sovereign.

10.5.2. How Do I Become a Sovereign if I want to be?

Becoming Sovereign is a journey that starts with a declaration of Sovereignty.

This Authors Declaration of Sovereignty is as follows:

Declaration of Sovereignty

To all to whom these presents shall come, greetings.

Know ye, that I, Peter-Andrew: Nolan©, of the continent known as Australia, reposing special trust and confidence in the integrity and ability of The People of Australia, to discharge truly and faithfully their Common Law duties to each other jointly and severally, do hereby solemnly declare that I have reclaimed my Sovereignty henceforth.

Dated this Nineteenth Day of the Tenth Month in the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand and Nine.

Signed _____

Peter-Andrew: Nolan©

Authorised Representative

Does this make me honest? Does this give me honour? Does this give me integrity? Does this have me defend those less able to defend themselves? Does this have me work for the freedom and welfare of my loved ones?

Of course not!! It's just a bit of paper for goodness sakes!

You become a Sovereign when you choose that you will no longer accept:

- "no one is perfect"
- "We all make mistakes"
- "You can't expect me to always be right"

You become Sovereign when you choose for yourself:

"I am perfect, whole and complete just the way I am, there is nothing to fix, there is nothing to change. God creates perfection."

Once you have accepted that you are "perfect whole and complete" then you can raise your standards to refuse to accept mistakes or being wrong as "normal".

You demand from yourself far superior performance than you have previously accepted.

When someone points out a mistake, or that you were wrong? You don't attack them. You **THANK THEM!**

How many people do you know will enthusiastically thank someone who points out a mistake or where they went wrong? You and I both know that most people HATE being corrected!

When you are a Sovereign and someone points out a mistake or something you did wrong you enthusiastically thank them and you ask them to "please point out any other mistakes you see me making, I really want that!"

We both know that we don't know many people like that! That's because there are not many Sovereigns around right now. Men and women are mostly slaves right now.

10.5.3. How Do I Become a Freeman If I Want To Be?

Becoming a Freeman is much easier than becoming a Sovereign. Basically you just inform those who claim you as your slave that you don't want to be their slave any more.

Example. Say you lived in Rome about 2,000 years ago. Say you were a captured man from some war and you were a slave. How would you know you were a slave?

Well? They probably branded you for a start so all the Romans can tell you are a slave. You would not be allowed to own anything. You would have to work hard all the time. If you didn't do as your master told you then you would be punished badly. Maybe even sent off to gladiator school, eh?

Um...what is different about your position right now?

If you no longer wanted to be a slave? You might do an "I am Spartacus" and tell your Roman master you are fed up with being a slave.

Now. Your master is not going to like that. He probably paid someone money for you. He's going to try and keep you being a slave. So he might threaten to call the centurions on you.

Well? You will stand up to you master. But will you stand up to the centurions?

Um....what is different about your position right now?

If you tell your slave masters you don't want to be a slave any more. Don't you think they might 'call the centurions' meaning the cops? Don't you think they might try to make an example of you for the other slaves?

Of course they are going to try this. So don't be declaring you want to be a Freeman unless you are willing to face down the cops as well. And yes. They might kidnap you and steal from you. Criminals are like that. And Cops in the English speaking world today **KNOW** they are criminals.

So. If you still want to be a Freeman?

- You complete a Notice of Understanding and Intent and Claim of Right and send copies to:
 - The Queen (Chief Slave Mistress)
 - The Prime Minister (In Australia Julia Gillard)
 - The Governor General (In Australia Quentin Bryce)
 - The Attorney General (In Australia Robert McClelland)
- You wait to see if they tell you that they are not happy you no longer want to be a slave and send the centurions/cops to beat you up a bit. Maybe wait 20 days.
- If they do not send the centurions/cops to beat you up a bit you send them what is called a 'Default Judgement' (you will learn about this later) to say that since they did not object to you no longer being a slave you are now a Freeman. Simple as.

The Notice of Understanding and Intent and Claim of Right is a pretty long and complex document for people of little schooling. So depending on your schooling you might need some help. The Mens Business Association can do this work for you for as little as USD150. If you want me to do it I am more expensive.

And Ladies? Just because this author has had to listen to how "Men are the cause of all problems" for the last 30 years. Please note that the slave mistresses comprise 75% of the people on this list. Well done. You gender is over re-presented as slave mistresses.

10.5.4. Where Do My Rights Come From?

The best way to explain this is to quote the US Declaration of Independence.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Declaration_of_Independence

“We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.”

You are endowed with unalienable Rights by your creator. They are self evident in the fact you exist. And so when you want your rights all you need to do is claim them based on the fact you exist. Even if you do not believe in a “creator” the very fact you exist endows you with unalienable rights.

Rights come from **YOU. YOU** define what **YOUR** rights are going to be.

Now. You may believe in ‘God’ or some form of ‘Creator’. You can declare your rights according to your beliefs from your creator. The only thing you need to keep in mind is that your rights end where another mans (or womans) rights start. You don’t get to declare that you can violate someone else’s rights.

Most men (or women) think their rights come from ‘the state’. They think their rights come from things like the Manga Carta, the Bill of Rights, the US CON-stitution, or the Australian CON-stitution. These kinds of things.

This is a big mistake.

If you think your rights come from the state then you are a slave. The state is nothing but a bunch of **MEN AND WOMEN** who are trying to enslave you and rob you and live off you as parasites. They are NOT your friends. Far from it. Don’t let those crocodile smiles fool you. No less than Ronald Reagan said:

“The eleven most terrifying words in the English Language are

“I am from the government and I am here to help”.

Don’t look to your government to ‘help’ you. Because when they do they start out offering you ‘help’ or ‘protection’ and then end by sucking you dry to give you this ‘help’ once the ‘help’ has become ‘obligatory’.

In case you haven’t noticed? You have very high taxes in Australia and the English speaking world. And no matter how high the taxes are the guvment says they need more money. How about that?

Those taxes are for all the ‘help’ you are getting from your guvment.

“The hardest thing in the world to understand is the income tax.” Albert Einstein

It is hard to understand because it is a lie. A very good one.

Virtually every piece of the control grid that enslaves you was initially sold as ‘helpful’ or ‘beneficial’ in the first place. Fiat Money? Government? Religion? Medicine? Law? Police? Newspapers? TV?

They were all sold as ‘helpful’ or ‘beneficial’ by your slave masters.

Want ‘eternal life’? Just sign up to our religion!

If you won’t sign up you will burn in hell for all eternity. We will send you there right now!

How many people were killed by Christians in the last 2,000 years? Lots.

This despite the commandment ‘thou shalt not kill’.

Oh? We only meant not kill other Christians. Yes. This Author was Christian from 16 to 45.

Plato was a guy who lived about 2,500 years ago. You know what he said? He said:

"This and no other is the root from which a tyrant springs; when he first appears he is a protector."

Smart guy. Governments were sold to us as 'protection'. Well? From who? Other governments!

It's a GREAT scam. It keeps everyone afraid. And when people are afraid they are compliant.

Just in case you haven't understood yet just how tyrannical govments can be and how much some real smart men thought about making sure that govments were kept small and powerless so they could not become tyrannical like the English speaking Guvments are today?

Here are some wise words from Thomas Jefferson. He's one of the early Presidents of the USA.

When we get piled upon one another in large cities, as in Europe, we shall become as corrupt as Europe.

The democracy will cease to exist when you take away from those who are willing to work and give to those who would not.

It is incumbent on every generation to pay its own debts as it goes. A principle which if acted on would save one-half the wars of the world.

I predict future happiness for Americans if they can prevent the government from wasting the labours of the people under the pretence of taking care of them.

My reading of history convinces me that most bad government results from too much government.

No free man shall ever be debarred the use of arms.

The strongest reason for the people to retain the right to keep and bear arms is, as a last resort, to protect themselves against tyranny in government.

To compel a man to subsidize with his taxes the propagation of ideas which he disbelieves and abhors is sinful and tyrannical.

I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around the banks will deprive the people of all property - until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered.

Yes.

You should recognise Australia and other English speaking countries as suffering from all the things he is talking about.

Things we should NOT have.

Australia and many other English speaking countries are in tyranny today. Whether you know it or not.

It is time to tell you slave masters you no longer want to be a slave.

10.5.5. How Do I Claim My Rights?

You just state them. That's it. You **SAY** what your rights are based on your existence and **BINGO** they are your rights.

All you need to do is to communicate your claimed rights and to make sure that no-one else who presumes jurisdiction over you have a problem with your claimed rights.

The only thing you need to remember is that if you claim to be able to do something that involves someone else you are required to have their agreement. So **YOUR** 'rights' can only apply to **YOU**.

You could say "I have the right to kill" but you might find that those you wish to kill might have something to say about that.

10.5.6. How Do I Exercise My Rights?

You just use them. When you have claimed a right then you exercise it by using it.

If you claim the freedom of speech you go out and you speak freely.

If you claim right of travel then you go out and travel freely.

When you are impeded you explain your rights and your claim and you ask the other person for a signed affidavit under penalty of perjury and full commercial liability for the law that they say gives them any right to infringe your rights. Without said affidavit? They have no right to interfere with you.

If they do then it is time to:

10.5.7. Learn How to Defend Your Rights

This is what the remainder of this Manual is all about. If someone violates your rights they have committed a crime. You are responsible for defending your own rights. If you are a Sovereign you are expected to perform the processes of law yourself.

If you are a Freeman, you might perform the processes of law yourself or you might ask a Sovereign to help you out. You should pay the Sovereign for his (or her) time and trouble.

Sovereigns have a **LAWFUL OBLIGATION** to assist you defend your rights.

Remember this authors Declaration of Sovereignty said:

"reposing special trust and confidence in the integrity and ability of The People of Australia, to discharge truly and faithfully their Common Law duties to each other jointly and severally,"

This means that if you sign this declaration of Sovereignty you are trusting on others to discharge their common law duties because **YOU** are making oath to discharge **YOUR** common law duties to **THEM**.

Freemen have no such lawful obligation. As far as this author can tell Freemen make no oath and no declaration that they will discharge their common law duties to each other.

10.6. Who can Perform Law and How?

You don't have to be a Sovereign to be protected by the rule of law. We protect children by the rule of law and they are not mentally capable of being Sovereigns. Sovereigns are people who will "defend those less able to defend themselves".

Sovereigns are those who will never take advantage of the weaker just because they can.

But to have ACCESS to the law and to be able to PERFORM LAW then you really need to be a Sovereign. Freemen can access the law and perform law. But remember the comparison drawn earlier. Sovereigns never lie. Freemen sometimes do. Not all. Just some. Just sometimes.

To be on a jury you really need to be a Sovereign.

Think of it this way. If you need to be told what to do by someone else? Why should you be able to perform law? Why should you be able to sit on a jury?

You will be influenced by the person whom you need to tell you what to do. Right?

So you should avail of his (or her) protection and get HIM (or HER) to perform law and sit on a jury.

Being a Sovereign is a very responsible and demanding thing to be. People may not wish to be this way. That's up to them.

But we should not pretend that someone who thinks its ok to lie sometimes, and it's ok to steal sometimes, and it's ok to take advantage if no-one is looking sometimes are the kind of people who should sit on juries or have the right to perform important processes of law.

What if they decide their affidavit is something that they can lie on? What if they decide that they will be a dissenting vote on a jury just for the hell of it? Or because they have not been paying enough attention?

Nope. People who have proven themselves as Sovereigns are the sort of people who should sit on juries. And people who are prone to lying completing affidavits is like children playing with guns. The guns are not inherently dangerous. But they sure are dangerous in the hands of children.

Sovereigns are the kind of people who will complete affidavits and know what 'under penalty of perjury and full commercial liability' really means. It means if you lie ALL your possessions might be taken from you.

Sovereigns are the kind of people you want on a jury. Men who will not be swayed by the opinion of any other man, men who can not be threatened or cowed by intimidation, men who will do what is right no matter the consequences.

11. APPENDIX 3 – THE MAGNA CARTA

Young man. You were very likely not taught about the Magna Carta in school. I did “History” in school for THREE YEARS and for some reason I was NEVER given a copy of the Magna Carta and told to read it and study it and learn what it meant. How could that be an accident?

Why was I given copies of useless books like “Sons and Lovers” and compelled to read these books but NOT given a copy of the Magna Carta and compelled to read it?

The answer is obvious. The government did not want me to have a copy of the Magna Carta and did not want me asking questions about it. Most importantly the government did NOT want me to read clause 61 which clearly states that should the king or his agents become tyrannical that I have a DUTY to not comply and rebel.

So young man. I am putting the Magna Carta in front of you. You are well advised to read it and understand it well.

The Magna Carta is claimed to be many things. One thing it is claimed to be is the foundation of the British form of Government and it is claimed to limit the powers of the King and the Government. In this authors opinion this is not even close to the truth. In this Authors opinion it was a tool to being the process of moving the absolute rule of the people from the church to the state. Notice that in the Magna Carta the church is the primary power.

Even so. The Magna Carta is the foundation for all former British Empire lands and ALL governments in the former British Empire Lands are required to observe the Magna Carta. Any failure to do so is a crime by the public officer who commits it. The politicians, lawyers, judges, magistrates and police are VERY fond of telling us “Ignorance of the law is no excuse.” Well? THIS is the law they are referring to. NOT the ‘fake law’ that is really statutes.

You would do well to read this and remember what it is it really means. You can also get a detailed commentary about the Magna Carta over here.

http://www.tpuc.org/Acts_and_Charters

11.1. The Text of Magna Carta

This text is taken directly from this link and this author acknowledges that this is the source of this text.

<http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/source/magnacarta.html>

11.1.1. Introductory Note

As might be expected, the text of the Magna Carta of 1215 bears many traces of haste, and is clearly the product of much bargaining and many hands. Most of its clauses deal with specific, and often long-standing, grievances rather than with general principles of law. Some of the grievances are self-explanatory: others can be understood only in the context of the feudal society in which they arose. Of a few clauses, the precise meaning is still a matter of argument.

In feudal society, the king's barons held their lands 'in fee' (*feudum*) from the king, for an oath to him of loyalty and obedience, and with the obligation to provide him with a fixed number of knights whenever these were required for military service. At first the barons provided the knights by dividing their estates (of which the largest and most important were known as 'honours') into smaller parcels described as 'knights' fees', which they distributed to tenants able to serve as knights. But by the time of King John it had become more convenient and usual for the obligation for service to be commuted for a cash payment known as 'scutage', and for the revenue so obtained to be used to maintain paid armies.

Besides military service, feudal custom allowed the king to make certain other exactions from his barons. In times of emergency, and on such special occasions as the marriage of his eldest daughter, he could demand from them a financial levy known as an 'aid' (*auxilium*). When a baron died, he could demand a succession duty or 'relief' (*relevium*) from the baron's heir. If there was no heir, or if the succession was disputed, the baron's lands could be forfeited or 'escheated' to the Crown. If the heir was under age, the king could assume the guardianship of his estates, and enjoy all the profits from them - even to the extent of despoliation - until the heir came of age. The king had the right, if he chose, to sell such a guardianship to the highest bidder, and to sell the heir himself in marriage for such price as the value of his estates would command. The widows and daughters of barons might also be sold in marriage. With their own tenants, the barons could deal similarly.

The scope for extortion and abuse in this system, if it were not benevolently applied, was obviously great and had been the subject of complaint long before King John came to the throne. Abuses were, moreover, aggravated by the difficulty of obtaining redress for them, and in Magna Carta the provision of the means for obtaining a fair hearing of complaints, not only against the king and his agents but against lesser feudal lords, achieves corresponding importance.

About two-thirds of the clauses of the Magna Carta of 1215 are concerned with matters such as these, and with the misuse of their powers by royal officials. As regards other topics, the first clause, conceding the freedom of the Church, and in particular confirming its right to elect its own dignitaries without royal interference, reflects John's dispute with the Pope over Stephen Langton's election as archbishop of Canterbury: it does not appear in the Articles of the Barons, and its somewhat stilted phrasing seems in part to be attempting to justify its inclusion, none the less, in the charter itself.

The clauses that deal with the royal forests (§§ 44, 47, 48), over which the king had special powers and jurisdiction, reflect the disquiet and anxieties that had arisen on account of a longstanding royal tendency to extend the forest boundaries, to the detriment of the holders of the lands affected.

Those that deal with debts (§§ 9-11) reflect administrative problems created by the chronic scarcity of ready cash among the upper and middle classes, and their need to resort to money-lenders when this was required. The clause promising the removal of fish-weirs (§ 33) was intended to facilitate the navigation of rivers. A number of clauses deal with the special circumstances that surrounded the making of the charter, and are such as might be found in any treaty of peace. Others, such as those relating to the city of London (§ 13) and to merchants (§ 41), clearly represent concessions to special interests.

Translation

(Clauses marked (+) are still valid under the charter of 1225, but with a few minor amendments.

Clauses marked (*) were omitted in all later reissues of the charter. In the charter itself the clauses are not numbered, and the text reads continuously. The translation sets out to convey the sense rather than the precise wording of the original Latin.)

11.1.2. The Magna Carta 1215

JOHN, by the grace of God King of England, Lord of Ireland, Duke of Normandy and Aquitaine, and Count of Anjou, to his archbishops, bishops, abbots, earls, barons, justices, foresters, sheriffs, stewards, servants, and to all his officials and loyal subjects, Greeting.

KNOW THAT BEFORE GOD, for the health of our soul and those of our ancestors and heirs, to the honour of God, the exaltation of the holy Church, and the better ordering of our kingdom, at the advice of our reverend fathers Stephen, archbishop of Canterbury, primate of all England, and cardinal of the holy Roman Church, Henry archbishop of Dublin, William bishop of London, Peter bishop of Winchester, Jocelin bishop of Bath and Glastonbury, Hugh bishop of Lincoln, Walter Bishop of Worcester, William bishop of Coventry, Benedict bishop of Rochester, Master Pandulf subdeacon and member of the papal household, Brother Aymeric master of the knighthood of the Temple in England, William Marshal earl of Pembroke, William earl of Salisbury, William earl of Warren, William earl of Arundel, Alan de Galloway constable of Scotland, Warin Fitz Gerald, Peter Fitz Herbert, Hubert de Burgh seneschal of Poitou, Hugh de Neville, Matthew Fitz Herbert, Thomas Basset, Alan Basset, Philip Daubeny, Robert de Roppeley, John Marshal, John Fitz Hugh, and other loyal subjects:

+ (1) FIRST, THAT WE HAVE GRANTED TO GOD, and by this present charter have confirmed for us and our heirs in perpetuity, that the English Church shall be free, and shall have its rights undiminished, and its liberties unimpaired. That we wish this so to be observed, appears from the fact that of our own free will, before the outbreak of the present dispute between us and our barons, we granted and confirmed by charter the freedom of the Church's elections - a right reckoned to be of the greatest necessity and importance to it - and caused this to be confirmed by Pope Innocent III. This freedom we shall observe ourselves, and desire to be observed in good faith by our heirs in perpetuity.

TO ALL FREE MEN OF OUR KINGDOM we have also granted, for us and our heirs for ever, all the liberties written out below, to have and to keep for them and their heirs, of us and our heirs:

(2) If any earl, baron, or other person that holds lands directly of the Crown, for military service, shall die, and at his death his heir shall be of full age and owe a `relief', the heir shall have his inheritance on payment of the ancient scale of `relief'. That is to say, the heir or heirs of an earl shall pay £100 for the entire earl's barony, the heir or heirs of a knight 100s. at most for the entire knight's `fee', and any man that owes less shall pay less, in accordance with the ancient usage of `fees'

(3) But if the heir of such a person is under age and a ward, when he comes of age he shall have his inheritance without `relief' or fine.

(4) The guardian of the land of an heir who is under age shall take from it only reasonable revenues, customary dues, and feudal services. He shall do this without destruction or damage to men or property. If we have given the guardianship of the land to a sheriff, or to any person answerable to us for the revenues, and he commits destruction or damage, we will exact compensation from him, and the land shall be entrusted to two worthy and prudent men of the same `fee', who shall be answerable to us for the revenues, or to the person to whom we have assigned them. If we have given or sold to anyone the guardianship of such land, and he causes destruction or damage, he shall lose the guardianship of it, and it shall be handed over to two worthy and prudent men of the same `fee', who shall be similarly answerable to us.

(5) For so long as a guardian has guardianship of such land, he shall maintain the houses, parks, fish preserves, ponds, mills, and everything else pertaining to it, from the revenues of the land itself. When the heir comes of age, he shall restore the whole land to him, stocked with plough teams and such implements of husbandry as the season demands and the revenues from the land can reasonably bear.

(6) Heirs may be given in marriage, but not to someone of lower social standing. Before a marriage takes place, it shall be made known to the heir's next-of-kin.

(7) At her husband's death, a widow may have her marriage portion and inheritance at once and without trouble. She shall pay nothing for her dower, marriage portion, or any inheritance that she and her husband held jointly on the day of his death. She may remain in her husband's house for forty days after his death, and within this period her dower shall be assigned to her.

(8) No widow shall be compelled to marry, so long as she wishes to remain without a husband. But she must give security that she will not marry without royal consent, if she holds her lands of the Crown, or without the consent of whatever other lord she may hold them of.

(9) Neither we nor our officials will seize any land or rent in payment of a debt, so long as the debtor has movable goods sufficient to discharge the debt. A debtor's sureties shall not be distrained upon so long as the debtor himself can discharge his debt. If, for lack of means, the debtor is unable to discharge his debt, his sureties shall be answerable for it. If they so desire, they may have the debtor's lands and rents until they have received satisfaction for the debt that they paid for him, unless the debtor can show that he has settled his obligations to them.

* (10) If anyone who has borrowed a sum of money from Jews dies before the debt has been repaid, his heir shall pay no interest on the debt for so long as he remains under age, irrespective of whom he holds his lands. If such a debt falls into the hands of the Crown, it will take nothing except the principal sum specified in the bond.

* (11) If a man dies owing money to Jews, his wife may have her dower and pay nothing towards the debt from it. If he leaves children that are under age, their needs may also be provided for on a scale appropriate to the size of his holding of lands. The debt is to be paid out of the residue, reserving the service due to his feudal lords. Debts owed to persons other than Jews are to be dealt with similarly.

* (12) No 'scutage' or 'aid' may be levied in our kingdom without its general consent, unless it is for the ransom of our person, to make our eldest son a knight, and (once) to marry our eldest daughter. For these purposes only a reasonable 'aid' may be levied. 'Aids' from the city of London are to be treated similarly.

+ (13) The city of London shall enjoy all its ancient liberties and free customs, both by land and by water. We also will and grant that all other cities, boroughs, towns, and ports shall enjoy all their liberties and free customs.

* (14) To obtain the general consent of the realm for the assessment of an 'aid' - except in the three cases specified above - or a 'scutage', we will cause the archbishops, bishops, abbots, earls, and greater barons to be summoned individually by letter. To those who hold lands directly of us we will cause a general summons to be issued, through the sheriffs and other officials, to come together on a fixed day (of which at least forty days notice shall be given) and at a fixed place. In all letters of summons, the cause of the summons will be stated. When a summons has been issued, the business appointed for the day shall go forward in accordance with the resolution of those present, even if not all those who were summoned have appeared.

* (15) In future we will allow no one to levy an 'aid' from his free men, except to ransom his person, to make his eldest son a knight, and (once) to marry his eldest daughter. For these purposes only a reasonable 'aid' may be levied.

(16) No man shall be forced to perform more service for a knight's 'fee', or other free holding of land, than is due from it.

(17) Ordinary lawsuits shall not follow the royal court around, but shall be held in a fixed place.

(18) Inquests of *novel disseisin*, *mort d'ancestor*, and *darrein presentment* shall be taken only in their proper county court. We ourselves, or in our absence abroad our chief justice, will send two justices to each county four times a year, and these justices, with four knights of the county elected by the county itself, shall hold the assizes in the county court, on the day and in the place where the court meets.

(19) If any assizes cannot be taken on the day of the county court, as many knights and freeholders shall afterwards remain behind, of those who have attended the court, as will suffice for the administration of justice, having regard to the volume of business to be done.

(20) For a trivial offence, a free man shall be fined only in proportion to the degree of his offence, and for a serious offence correspondingly, but not so heavily as to deprive him of his livelihood. In the same way, a merchant shall be spared his merchandise, and a husbandman the implements of his husbandry, if they fall upon the mercy of a royal court. None of these fines shall be imposed except by the assessment on oath of reputable men of the neighbourhood.

(21) Earls and barons shall be fined only by their equals, and in proportion to the gravity of their offence.

(22) A fine imposed upon the lay property of a clerk in holy orders shall be assessed upon the same principles, without reference to the value of his ecclesiastical benefice.

(23) No town or person shall be forced to build bridges over rivers except those with an ancient obligation to do so.

(24) No sheriff, constable, coroners, or other royal officials are to hold lawsuits that should be held by the royal justices.

* (25) Every county, hundred, wapentake, and tithing shall remain at its ancient rent, without increase, except the royal demesne manors.

(26) If at the death of a man who holds a lay `fee' of the Crown, a sheriff or royal official produces royal letters patent of summons for a debt due to the Crown, it shall be lawful for them to seize and list movable goods found in the lay `fee' of the dead man to the value of the debt, as assessed by worthy men. Nothing shall be removed until the whole debt is paid, when the residue shall be given over to the executors to carry out the dead man's will. If no debt is due to the Crown, all the movable goods shall be regarded as the property of the dead man, except the reasonable shares of his wife and children.

* (27) If a free man dies intestate, his movable goods are to be distributed by his next-of-kin and friends, under the supervision of the Church. The rights of his debtors are to be preserved.

(28) No constable or other royal official shall take corn or other movable goods from any man without immediate payment, unless the seller voluntarily offers postponement of this.

(29) No constable may compel a knight to pay money for castle-guard if the knight is willing to undertake the guard in person, or with reasonable excuse to supply some other fit man to do it. A knight taken or sent on military service shall be excused from castle-guard for the period of this service.

(30) No sheriff, royal official, or other person shall take horses or carts for transport from any free man, without his consent.

(31) Neither we nor any royal official will take wood for our castle, or for any other purpose, without the consent of the owner.

(32) We will not keep the lands of people convicted of felony in our hand for longer than a year and a day, after which they shall be returned to the lords of the `fees' concerned.

(33) All fish-weirs shall be removed from the Thames, the Medway, and throughout the whole of England, except on the sea coast.

(34) The writ called *precipe* shall not in future be issued to anyone in respect of any holding of land, if a free man could thereby be deprived of the right of trial in his own lord's court.

(35) There shall be standard measures of wine, ale, and corn (the London quarter), throughout the kingdom. There shall also be a standard width of dyed cloth, russett, and haberject, namely two ells within the selvedges. Weights are to be standardised similarly.

(36) In future nothing shall be paid or accepted for the issue of a writ of inquisition of life or limbs. It shall be given gratis, and not refused.

(37) If a man holds land of the Crown by `fee-farm', `socage', or `burgage', and also holds land of someone else for knight's service, we will not have guardianship of his heir, nor of the land that belongs to the other person's `fee', by virtue of the `fee-farm', `socage', or `burgage', unless the `fee-farm' owes knight's service. We will not have the guardianship of a man's heir, or of land that he holds of someone else, by reason of any small property that he may hold of the Crown for a service of knives, arrows, or the like.

(38) In future no official shall place a man on trial upon his own unsupported statement, without producing credible witnesses to the truth of it.

+ (39) No free man shall be seized or imprisoned, or stripped of his rights or possessions, or outlawed or exiled, or deprived of his standing in any other way, nor will we proceed with force against him, or send others to do so, except by the lawful judgement of his equals or by the law of the land.

+ (40) To no one will we sell, to no one deny or delay right or justice.

(41) All merchants may enter or leave England unharmed and without fear, and may stay or travel within it, by land or water, for purposes of trade, free from all illegal exactions, in accordance with ancient and lawful customs. This, however, does not apply in time of war to merchants from a country that is at war with us. Any such merchants found in our country at the outbreak of war shall be detained without injury to their persons or property, until we or our chief justice have discovered how our own merchants are being treated in the country at war with us. If our own merchants are safe they shall be safe too.

* (42) In future it shall be lawful for any man to leave and return to our kingdom unharmed and without fear, by land or water, preserving his allegiance to us, except in time of war, for some short period, for the common benefit of the realm. People that have been imprisoned or outlawed in accordance with the law of the land, people from a country that is at war with us, and merchants - who shall be dealt with as stated above - are excepted from this provision.

(43) If a man holds lands of any `escheat' such as the `honour' of Wallingford, Nottingham, Boulogne, Lancaster, or of other `escheats' in our hand that are baronies, at his death his heir shall give us only the `relief' and service that he would have made to the baron, had the barony been in the baron's hand. We will hold the `escheat' in the same manner as the baron held it.

(44) People who live outside the forest need not in future appear before the royal justices of the forest in answer to general summonses, unless they are actually involved in proceedings or are sureties for someone who has been seized for a forest offence.

* (45) We will appoint as justices, constables, sheriffs, or other officials, only men that know the law of the realm and are minded to keep it well.

(46) All barons who have founded abbeys, and have charters of English kings or ancient tenure as evidence of this, may have guardianship of them when there is no abbot, as is their due.

(47) All forests that have been created in our reign shall at once be disafforested. River-banks that have been enclosed in our reign shall be treated similarly.

* (48) All evil customs relating to forests and warrens, foresters, warreners, sheriffs and their servants, or river-banks and their wardens, are at once to be investigated in every county by twelve sworn knights of the county, and within forty days of their enquiry the evil customs are to be abolished completely and irrevocably. But we, or our chief justice if we are not in England, are first to be informed.

* (49) We will at once return all hostages and charters delivered up to us by Englishmen as security for peace or for loyal service.

* (50) We will remove completely from their offices the kinsmen of Gerard de Athée, and in future they shall hold no offices in England. The people in question are Engelard de Cigogné, Peter, Guy, and Andrew de Chanceaux, Guy de Cigogné, Geoffrey de Martigny and his brothers, Philip Marc and his brothers, with Geoffrey his nephew, and all their followers.

* (51) As soon as peace is restored, we will remove from the kingdom all the foreign knights, bowmen, their attendants, and the mercenaries that have come to it, to its harm, with horses and arms.

* (52) To any man whom we have deprived or dispossessed of lands, castles, liberties, or rights, without the lawful judgement of his equals, we will at once restore these. In cases of dispute the matter shall be resolved by the judgement of the twenty-five barons referred to below in the clause for securing the peace (§ 61). In cases, however, where a man was deprived or dispossessed of something without the lawful judgement of his equals by our father King Henry or our brother King Richard, and it remains in our hands or is held by others under our warranty, we shall have respite for the period commonly allowed to Crusaders, unless a lawsuit had been begun, or an enquiry had been made at our order, before we took the Cross as a Crusader. On our return from the Crusade, or if we abandon it, we will at once render justice in full.

* (53) We shall have similar respite in rendering justice in connexion with forests that are to be disafforested, or to remain forests, when these were first a-orested by our father Henry or our brother Richard; with the guardianship of lands in another person's `fee', when we have hitherto had this by virtue of a `fee' held of us for knight's service by a third party; and with abbeys founded in another person's `fee', in which the lord of the `fee' claims to own a right. On our return from the Crusade, or if we abandon it, we will at once do full justice to complaints about these matters.

(54) No one shall be arrested or imprisoned on the appeal of a woman for the death of any person except her husband.

* (55) All fines that have been given to us unjustly and against the law of the land, and all fines that we have exacted unjustly, shall be entirely remitted or the matter decided by a majority judgement of the twenty-five barons referred to below in the clause for securing the peace (§ 61) together with Stephen, archbishop of Canterbury, if he can be present, and such others as he wishes to bring with him. If the archbishop cannot be present, proceedings shall continue without him, provided that if any of the twenty-five barons has been involved in a similar suit himself, his judgement shall be set aside, and someone else chosen and sworn in his place, as a substitute for the single occasion, by the rest of the twenty-five.

(56) If we have deprived or dispossessed any Welshmen of lands, liberties, or anything else in England or in Wales, without the lawful judgement of their equals, these are at once to be returned to them. A dispute on this point shall be determined in the Marches by the judgement of equals. English law shall apply to holdings of land in England, Welsh law to those in Wales, and the law of the Marches to those in the Marches. The Welsh shall treat us and ours in the same way.

* (57) In cases where a Welshman was deprived or dispossessed of anything, without the lawful judgement of his equals, by our father King Henry or our brother King Richard, and it remains in our hands or is held by others under our warranty, we shall have respite for the period commonly allowed to Crusaders, unless a lawsuit had been begun, or an enquiry had been made at our order, before we took the Cross as a Crusader. But on our return from the Crusade, or if we abandon it, we will at once do full justice according to the laws of Wales and the said regions.

* (58) We will at once return the son of Llywelyn, all Welsh hostages, and the charters delivered to us as security for the peace.

* (59) With regard to the return of the sisters and hostages of Alexander, king of Scotland, his liberties and his rights, we will treat him in the same way as our other barons of England, unless it appears from the charters that we hold from his father William, formerly king of Scotland, that he should be treated otherwise. This matter shall be resolved by the judgement of his equals in our court.

(60) All these customs and liberties that we have granted shall be observed in our kingdom in so far as concerns our own relations with our subjects. Let all men of our kingdom, whether clergy or laymen, observe them similarly in their relations with their own men.

* (61) SINCE WE HAVE GRANTED ALL THESE THINGS for God, for the better ordering of our kingdom, and to allay the discord that has arisen between us and our barons, and since we desire that they shall be enjoyed in their entirety, with lasting strength, for ever, we give and grant to the barons the following security:

The barons shall elect twenty-five of their number to keep, and cause to be observed with all their might, the peace and liberties granted and confirmed to them by this charter.

If we, our chief justice, our officials, or any of our servants offend in any respect against any man, or transgress any of the articles of the peace or of this security, and the offence is made known to four of the said twenty-five barons, they shall come to us - or in our absence from the kingdom to the chief justice - to declare it and claim immediate redress.

If we, or in our absence abroad the chiefjustice, make no redress within forty days, reckoning from the day on which the offence was declared to us or to him, the four barons shall refer the matter to the rest of the twenty-five barons, who may distrain upon and assail us in every way possible, with the support of the whole community of the land, by seizing our castles, lands, possessions, or anything else saving only our own person and those of the queen and our children, until they have secured such redress as they have determined upon. Having secured the redress, they may then resume their normal obedience to us.

Any man who so desires may take an oath to obey the commands of the twenty-five barons for the achievement of these ends, and to join with them in assailing us to the utmost of his power. We give public and free permission to take this oath to any man who so desires, and at no time will we prohibit any man from taking it. Indeed, we will compel any of our subjects who are unwilling to take it to swear it at our command.

If one of the twenty-five barons dies or leaves the country, or is prevented in any other way from discharging his duties, the rest of them shall choose another baron in his place, at their discretion, who shall be duly sworn in as they were.

In the event of disagreement among the twenty-five barons on any matter referred to them for decision, the verdict of the majority present shall have the same validity as a unanimous verdict of the whole twenty-five, whether these were all present or some of those summoned were unwilling or unable to appear.

The twenty-five barons shall swear to obey all the above articles faithfully, and shall cause them to be obeyed by others to the best of their power.

We will not seek to procure from anyone, either by our own efforts or those of a third party, anything by which any part of these concessions or liberties might be revoked or diminished. Should such a thing be procured, it shall be null and void and we will at no time make use of it, either ourselves or through a third party.

* (62) We have remitted and pardoned fully to all men any ill-will, hurt, or grudges that have arisen between us and our subjects, whether clergy or laymen, since the beginning of the dispute. We have in addition remitted fully, and for our own part have also pardoned, to all clergy and laymen any offences committed as a result of the said dispute between Easter in the sixteenth year of our reign (i.e. 1215) and the restoration of peace.

In addition we have caused letters patent to be made for the barons, bearing witness to this security and to the concessions set out above, over the seals of Stephen archbishop of Canterbury, Henry archbishop of Dublin, the other bishops named above, and Master Pandulf.

* (63) IT IS ACCORDINGLY OUR WISH AND COMMAND that the English Church shall be free, and that men in our kingdom shall have and keep all these liberties, rights, and concessions, well and peaceably in their fulness and entirety for them and their heirs, of us and our heirs, in all things and all places for ever.

Both we and the barons have sworn that all this shall be observed in good faith and without deceit. Witness the abovementioned people and many others.

Given by our hand in the meadow that is called Runnymede, between Windsor and Staines, on the fifteenth day of June in the seventeenth year of our reign (i.e. 1215: the new regnal year began on 28 May).

Source and Further Information

G. R. C. Davis, *Magna Carta*, Revised Edition, British Library, 1989.

[British Library Publications - An Overview](#).

Copyright © 1995, The British Library Board

From Portico - The British Library's Online Information Server

12. APPENDIX 4 - ON WOMEN.BY ARTHUR SCHOPENHAUER

Every young man should do himself the favour of reading this. I was astonished the first time I read this. You might well ask yourself why this essay is not on the mandatory reading list for boys in schools. It is not there to make sure you are kept ignorant of the true nature of women.

From here: <http://www.theabsolute.net/misogyny/onwomen.html>

These few words of Jouy, *Sans les femmes le commencement de notre vie seroit privé de secours, le milieu de plaisirs et la fin de consolation*, more exactly express, in my opinion, the true praise of woman than Schiller's poem, *Würde der Frauen*, which is the fruit of much careful thought and impressive because of its antithesis and use of contrast. The same thing is more pathetically expressed by Byron in *Sardanapalus*, Act i, Sc. 2:—

“The very first
Of human life must spring from woman's breast,
Your first small words are taught you from her lips,
Your first tears quench'd by her, and your last sighs
Too often breathed out in a woman's hearing,
When men have shrunk from the ignoble care
Of watching the last hour of him who led them.”

Both passages show the right point of view for the appreciation of women.

One need only look at a woman's shape to discover that she is not intended for either too much mental or too much physical work. She pays the debt of life not by what she does but by what she suffers — by the pains of child-bearing, care for the child, and by subjection to man, to whom she should be a patient and cheerful companion. The greatest sorrows and joys or great exhibition of strength are not assigned to her; her life should flow more quietly, more gently, and less obtrusively than man's, without her being essentially happier or unhappier.

Women are directly adapted to act as the nurses and educators of our early childhood, for the simple reason that they themselves are childish, foolish, and short-sighted — in a word, are big children all their lives, something intermediate between the child and the man, who is a man in the strict sense of the word. Consider how a young girl will toy day after day with a child, dance with it and sing to it; and then consider what a man, with the very best intentions in the world, could do in her place.

With girls, Nature has had in view what is called in a dramatic sense a “striking effect,” for she endows them for a few years with a richness of beauty and a fullness of charm at the expense of the rest of their lives; so that they may during these years ensnare the fantasy of a man to such a degree as to make him rush into taking the honourable care of them, in some kind of form, for a lifetime — a step which would not seem sufficiently justified if he only considered the matter. Accordingly, Nature has furnished woman, as she has the rest of her creatures, with the weapons and implements necessary for the protection of her existence and for just the length of time that they will be of service to her; so that Nature has proceeded here with her usual economy. Just as the female ant after coition loses her wings, which then become superfluous, nay, dangerous for breeding purposes, so for the most part does a woman lose her beauty after giving birth to one or two children; and probably for the same reasons.

Then again we find that young girls in their hearts regard their domestic or other affairs as secondary things, if not as a mere jest. Love, conquests, and all that these include, such as dressing, dancing, and so on, they give their serious attention.

The nobler and more perfect a thing is, the later and slower is it in reaching maturity. Man reaches the maturity of his reasoning and mental faculties scarcely before he is eight-and-twenty; woman when she is eighteen; but hers is reason of very narrow limitations. This is why women remain children all their lives, for they always see only what is near at hand, cling to the present, take the appearance of a thing for reality, and prefer trifling matters to the most important. It is by virtue of man's reasoning powers that he does not live in the present only, like the brute, but observes and ponders over the past and future; and from this spring discretion, care, and that anxiety which we so frequently notice in people.

The advantages, as well as the disadvantages, that this entails, make woman, in consequence of her weaker reasoning powers, less of a partaker in them. Moreover, she is intellectually short-sighted, for although her intuitive understanding quickly perceives what is near to her, on the other hand her circle of vision is limited and does not embrace anything that is remote; hence everything that is absent or past, or in the future, affects women in a less degree than men. This is why they have greater inclination for extravagance, which sometimes borders on madness. Women in their hearts think that men are intended to earn money so that they may spend it, if possible during their husband's lifetime, but at any rate after his death.

As soon as he has given them his earnings on which to keep house they are strengthened in this belief. Although all this entails many disadvantages, yet it has this advantage—that a woman lives more in the present than a man, and that she enjoys it more keenly if it is at all bearable. This is the origin of that cheerfulness which is peculiar to woman and makes her fit to divert man, and in case of need, to console him when he is weighed down by cares. To consult women in matters of difficulty, as the Germans used to do in old times, is by no means a matter to be overlooked; for their way of grasping a thing is quite different from ours, chiefly because they like the shortest way to the point, and usually keep their attention fixed upon what lies nearest; while we, as a rule, see beyond it, for the simple reason that it lies under our nose; it then becomes necessary for us to be brought back to the thing in order to obtain a near and simple view. This is why women are more sober in their judgment than we, and why they see nothing more in things than is really there; while we, if our passions are roused, slightly exaggerate or add to our imagination.

It is because women's reasoning powers are weaker that they show more sympathy for the unfortunate than men, and consequently take a kinder interest in them. On the other hand, women are inferior to men in matters of justice, honesty, and conscientiousness. Again, because their reasoning faculty is weak, things clearly visible and real, and belonging to the present, exercise a power over them which is rarely counteracted by abstract thoughts, fixed maxims, or firm resolutions, in general, by regard for the past and future or by consideration for what is absent and remote. Accordingly they have the first and principal qualities of virtue, but they lack the secondary qualities which are often a necessary instrument in developing it. Women may be compared in this respect to an organism that has a liver but no gall-bladder.⁹ So that it will be found that the fundamental fault in the character of women is that they have no "*sense of justice.*"

This arises from their deficiency in the power of reasoning already referred to, and reflection, but is also partly due to the fact that Nature has not destined them, as the weaker sex, to be dependent on strength but on cunning; this is why they are instinctively crafty, and have an ineradicable tendency to lie. For as lions are furnished with claws and teeth, elephants with tusks, boars with fangs, bulls with horns, and the cuttlefish with its dark, inky fluid, so Nature has provided woman for her protection and defence with the faculty of dissimulation, and all the power which Nature has given to man in the form of bodily strength and reason has been conferred on woman in this form.

Hence, dissimulation is innate in woman and almost as characteristic of the very stupid as of the clever. Accordingly, it is as natural for women to dissemble at every opportunity as it is for those animals to turn to their weapons when they are attacked; and they feel in doing so that in a certain measure they are only making use of their rights. Therefore a woman who is perfectly truthful and does not dissemble is perhaps an impossibility. This is why they see through dissimulation in others so easily; therefore it is not advisable to attempt it with them. From the fundamental defect that has been stated, and all that it involves, spring falseness, faithlessness, treachery, ungratefulness, and so on. In a court of justice women are more often found guilty of perjury than men. It is indeed to be generally questioned whether they should be allowed to take an oath at all. From time to time there are repeated cases everywhere of ladies, who want for nothing, secretly pocketing and taking away things from shop counters.

Nature has made it the calling of the young, strong, and handsome men to look after the propagation of the human race; so that the species may not degenerate. This is the firm will of Nature, and it finds its expression in the passions of women. This law surpasses all others in both age and power. Woe then to the man who sets up rights and interests in such a way as to make them stand in the way of it; for whatever he may do or say, they will, at the first significant onset, be unmercifully annihilated. For the secret, unformulated, nay, unconscious but innate moral of woman is: *We are justified in deceiving those who, because they care a little for us,—that is to say for the individual,—imagine they have obtained rights over the species. The constitution, and consequently the welfare of the species, have been put into our hands and entrusted to our care through the medium of the next generation which proceeds from us; let us fulfil our duties conscientiously.*

But women are by no means conscious of this leading principle *in abstracto*, they are only conscious of it *in concreto*, and have no other way of expressing it than in the manner in which they act when the opportunity arrives. So that their conscience does not trouble them so much as we imagine, for in the darkest depths of their hearts they are conscious that in violating their duty towards the individual they have all the better fulfilled it towards the species, whose claim upon them is infinitely greater. (A fuller explanation of this matter may be found in vol. ii., ch. 44, in my chief work, *Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung*.)

Because women in truth exist entirely for the propagation of the race, and their destiny ends here, they live more for the species than for the individual, and in their hearts take the affairs of the species more seriously than those of the individual. This gives to their whole being and character a certain frivolousness, and altogether a certain tendency which is fundamentally different from that of man; and this it is which develops that discord in married life which is so prevalent and almost the normal state.

It is natural for a feeling of mere indifference to exist between men, but between women it is actual enmity. This is due perhaps to the fact that *odium figulinum* in the case of men, is limited to their everyday affairs, but with women embraces the whole sex; since they have only one kind of business. Even when they meet in the street, they look at each other like Guelphs and Ghibellines. And it is quite evident when two women first make each other's acquaintance that they exhibit more constraint and dissimulation than two men placed in similar circumstances.

This is why an exchange of compliments between two women is much more ridiculous than between two men. Further, while a man will, as a rule, address others, even those inferior to himself, with a certain feeling of consideration and humanity, it is unbearable to see how proudly and disdainfully a lady of rank will, for the most part, behave towards one who is in a lower rank (not employed in her service) when she speaks to her. This may be because differences of rank are much more precarious with women than with us, and consequently more quickly change their line of conduct and elevate them, or because while a hundred things must be weighed in our case, there is only one to be weighed in theirs, namely, with which man they have found favour; and again, because of the one-sided nature of their vocation they stand in closer relationship to each other than men do; and so it is they try to render prominent the differences of rank.

It is only the man whose intellect is clouded by his sexual instinct that could give that stunted, narrow-shouldered, broad-hipped, and short-legged race the name of *the fair sex*; for the entire beauty of the sex is based on this instinct. One would be more justified in calling them the *unaesthetic sex* than the beautiful. Neither for music, nor for poetry, nor for fine art have they any real or true sense and susceptibility, and it is mere mockery on their part, in their desire to please, if they affect any such thing.

This makes them incapable of taking a purely objective interest in anything, and the reason for it is, I fancy, as follows.

A man strives to get *direct* mastery over things either by understanding them or by compulsion. But a woman is always and everywhere driven to *indirect* mastery, namely through a man; all her *direct* mastery being limited to him alone. Therefore it lies in woman's nature to look upon everything only as a means for winning man, and her interest in anything else is always a simulated one, a mere roundabout way to gain her ends, consisting of coquetry and pretence. Hence Rousseau said, *Les femmes, en général, n'aiment aucun art, ne se connoissent à aucun et n'ont aucun génie* (Lettre à d'Alembert, note xx.). Every one who can see through a sham must have found this to be the case. One need only watch the way they behave at a concert, the opera, or the play; the childish simplicity, for instance, with which they keep on chattering during the finest passages in the greatest masterpieces. If it is true that the Greeks forbade women to go to the play, they acted in a right way; for they would at any rate be able to hear something. In our day it would be more appropriate to substitute *taceat mulier in theatro* for *taceat mulier in ecclesia*; and this might perhaps be put up in big letters on the curtain.

Nothing different can be expected of women if it is borne in mind that the most eminent of the whole sex have never accomplished anything in the fine arts that is really great, genuine, and original, or given to the world any kind of work of permanent value. This is most striking in regard to painting, the technique of which is as much within their reach as within ours; this is why they pursue it so industriously. Still, they have not a single great painting to show, for the simple reason that they lack that objectivity of mind which is precisely what is so directly necessary in painting. They always stick to what is subjective.

For this reason, ordinary women have no susceptibility for painting at all: for *natura non facit saltum*. And Huarte, in his book which has been famous for three hundred years, *Examen de ingenios para las ciencias*, contends that women do not possess the higher capacities. Individual and partial exceptions do not alter the matter; women are and remain, taken altogether, the most thorough and incurable philistines; and because of the extremely absurd arrangement which allows them to share the position and title of their husbands they are a constant stimulus to his *ignoble* ambitions.

And further, it is because they are philistines that modern society, to which they give the tone and where they have sway, has become corrupted. As regards their position, one should be guided by Napoleon's maxim, *Les femmes n'ont pas de rang*; and regarding them in other things, Chamfort says very truly: *Elles sont faites pour commercer avec nos faiblesses avec notre folie, mais non avec notre raison. Il existe entre elles et les hommes des sympathies d'épiderme et très-peu de sympathies d'esprit d'âme et de caractère*. They are the *sexus sequior*, the second sex in every respect, therefore their weaknesses should be spared, but to treat women with extreme reverence is ridiculous, and lowers us in their own eyes. When nature divided the human race into two parts, she did not cut it exactly through the middle!

The difference between the positive and negative poles, according to polarity, is not merely qualitative but also quantitative. And it was in this light that the ancients and people of the East regarded woman; they recognised her true position better than we, with our old French ideas of gallantry and absurd veneration, that highest product of Christian–Teutonic stupidity. These ideas have only served to make them arrogant and imperious, to such an extent as to remind one at times of the holy apes in Benares, who, in the consciousness of their holiness and inviolability, think they can do anything and everything they please.

In the West, the woman, that is to say the “lady,” finds herself in a *fausse position*; for woman, rightly named by the ancients *sexus sequior*, is by no means fit to be the object of our honour and veneration, or to hold her head higher than man and to have the same rights as he. The consequences of this *fausse position* are sufficiently clear. Accordingly, it would be a very desirable thing if this Number Two of the human race in Europe were assigned her natural position, and the lady-grievance got rid of, which is not only ridiculed by the whole of Asia, but would have been equally ridiculed by Greece and Rome. The result of this would be that the condition of our social, civil, and political affairs would be incalculably improved. The Salic law would be unnecessary; it would be a superfluous truism.

The European lady, strictly speaking, is a creature who should not exist at all; but there ought to be housekeepers, and young girls who hope to become such; and they should be brought up not to be arrogant, but to be domesticated and submissive. It is exactly because there are *ladies* in Europe that women of a lower standing, that is to say, the greater majority of the sex, are much more unhappy than they are in the East. Even Lord Byron says (*Letters and Papers*, by Thomas Moore, vol. ii. p. 399), *Thought of the state of women under the ancient Greeks—convenient enough. Present state, a remnant of the barbarism of the chivalric and feudal ages—artificial and unnatural. They ought to mind home—and be well fed and clothed—but not mixed in society. Well educated, too, in religion—but to read neither poetry nor politics—nothing but books of piety and cookery. Music—drawing—dancing—also a little gardening and ploughing now and then. I have seen them mending the roads in Epirus with good success. Why not, as well as hay-making and milking?*

In our part of the world, where monogamy is in force, to marry means to halve one's rights and to double one's duties. When the laws granted woman the same rights as man, they should also have given her a masculine power of reason. On the contrary, just as the privileges and honours which the laws decree to women surpass what Nature has meted out to them, so is there a proportional decrease in the number of women who really share these privileges; therefore the remainder are deprived of their natural rights in so far as the others have been given more than Nature accords.

For the unnatural position of privilege which the institution of monogamy, and the laws of marriage which accompany it, assign to the woman, whereby she is regarded throughout as a full equivalent of the man, which she is not by any means, cause intelligent and prudent men to reflect a great deal before they make so great a sacrifice and consent to so unfair an arrangement. Therefore, whilst among polygamous nations every woman finds maintenance, where monogamy exists the number of married women is limited, and a countless number of women who are without support remain over; those in the upper classes vegetate as useless old maids, those in the lower are reduced to very hard work of a distasteful nature, or become prostitutes, and lead a life which is as joyless as it is void of honour. But under such circumstances they become a necessity to the masculine sex; so that their position is openly recognised as a special means for protecting from seduction those other women favoured by fate either to have found husbands, or who hope to find them. In London alone there are 80,000 prostitutes. Then what are these women who have come too quickly to this most terrible end but human sacrifices on the altar of monogamy?

The women here referred to and who are placed in this wretched position are the inevitable counterbalance to the European lady, with her pretensions and arrogance. Hence polygamy is a real benefit to the female sex, taking it as a *whole*. And, on the other hand, there is no reason why a man whose wife suffers from chronic illness, or remains barren, or has gradually become too old for him, should not take a second. Many people become converts to Mormonism for the precise reasons that they condemn the unnatural institution of monogamy. The conferring of unnatural rights upon women has imposed unnatural duties upon them, the violation of which, however, makes them unhappy.

For example, many a man thinks marriage inadvisable as far as his social standing and monetary position are concerned, unless he contracts a brilliant match. He will then wish to win a woman of his own choice under different conditions, namely, under those which will render safe her future and that of her children. Be the conditions ever so just, reasonable, and adequate, and she consents by giving up those undue privileges which marriage, as the basis of civil society, alone can bestow, she must to a certain extent lose her honour and lead a life of loneliness; since human nature makes us dependent on the opinion of others in a way that is completely out of proportion to its value. While, if the woman does not consent, she runs the risk of being compelled to marry a man she dislikes, or of shrivelling up into an old maid; for the time allotted to her to find a home is very short.

In view of this side of the institution of monogamy, Thomasius's profoundly learned treatise, *de Concubinatu*, is well worth reading, for it shows that, among all nations, and in all ages, down to the Lutheran Reformation, concubinage was allowed, nay, that it was an institution, in a certain measure even recognised by law and associated with no dishonour. And it held this position until the Lutheran Reformation, when it was recognised as another means for justifying the marriage of the clergy; whereupon the Catholic party did not dare to remain behindhand in the matter.

It is useless to argue about polygamy, it must be taken as a fact existing everywhere, the *mere regulation* of which is the problem to be solved. Where are there, then, any real monogamists? We all live, at any rate for a time, and the majority of us always, in polygamy. Consequently, as each man needs many women, nothing is more just than to let him, nay, make it incumbent upon him to provide for many women. By this means woman will be brought back to her proper and natural place as a subordinate being, and *the lady*, that monster of European civilisation and Christian–Teutonic stupidity, with her ridiculous claim to respect and veneration, will no longer exist; there will still be *women*, but no *unhappy women*, of whom Europe is at present full. The Mormons' standpoint is right.

In India no woman is ever independent, but each one stands under the control of her father or her husband, or brother or son, in accordance with the law of Manu.

It is certainly a revolting idea that widows should sacrifice themselves on their husband's dead body; but it is also revolting that the money which the husband has earned by working diligently for all his life, in the hope that he was working for his children, should be wasted on her paramours. *Medium tenere beati*. The first love of a mother, as that of animals and men, is purely *instinctive*, and consequently ceases when the child is no longer physically helpless. After that, the first love should be reinstated by a love based on habit and reason; but this often does not appear, especially where the mother has not loved the father. The love of a father for his children is of a different nature and more sincere; it is founded on a recognition of his own inner self in the child, and is therefore metaphysical in its origin.

In almost every nation, both of the new and old world, and even among the Hottentots, property is inherited by the male descendants alone; it is only in Europe that one has departed from this. That the property which men have with difficulty acquired by long-continued struggling and hard work should afterwards come into the hands of women, who, in their want of reason, either squander it within a short time or otherwise waste it, is an injustice as great as it is common, and it should be prevented by limiting the right of women to inherit. It seems to me that it would be a better arrangement if women, be they widows or daughters, only inherited the money for life secured by mortgage, but not the property itself or the capital, unless there lacked male descendants. It is men who make the money, and not women; therefore women are neither justified in having unconditional possession of it nor capable of administering it. Women should never have the free disposition of wealth, strictly so-called, which they may inherit, such as capital, houses, and estates. They need a guardian always; therefore they should not have the guardianship of their children under any circumstances whatever.

The vanity of women, even if it should not be greater than that of men, has this evil in it, that it is directed on material things—that is to say, on their personal beauty and then on tinsel, pomp, and show. This is why they are in their right element in society. This it is which makes them inclined to be *extravagant*, especially since they possess little reasoning power. Accordingly, an ancient writer says, [Greek: *Gunae to synolon esti dapanaeron physei*].¹⁰ Men's vanity, on the other hand, is often directed on non-material advantages, such as intellect, learning, courage, and the like.

Aristotle explains in the *Politics*¹¹ the great disadvantages which the Spartans brought upon themselves by granting too much to their women, by allowing them the right of inheritance and dowry, and a great amount of freedom; and how this contributed greatly to the fall of Sparta. May it not be that the influence of women in France, which has been increasing since Louis XIII.'s time, was to blame for that gradual corruption of the court and government which led to the first Revolution, of which all subsequent disturbances have been the result?

In any case, the false position of the female sex, so conspicuously exposed by the existence of the "lady," is a fundamental defect in our social condition, and this defect, proceeding from the very heart of it, must extend its harmful influence in every direction. That woman is by nature intended to obey is shown by the fact that every woman who is placed in the unnatural position of absolute independence at once attaches herself to some kind of man, by whom she is controlled and governed; this is because she requires a master. If she, is young, the man is a lover; if she is old, a priest.

13. APPENDIX 5 – OH WHAT A BASTARD I AM

Young men. Women hurl abuse at me all the time. It's so standard now that it has just become 'noise' like the traffic going past. Not worth taking any notice of. But there is something that I would like to draw your attention to. Lots of older men tell me "you must have done something very wrong for Jennifer to treat you so badly". There is the implicit assumption that the man is wrong and the woman is right by most older men. Well? Take a look at this. Even if you are such a good husband that your wife is BEGGING you to take her back and you show such a letter to older men they will STILL BLAME YOU for her actions.

Older men are mostly brainwashed and can not be helped when it comes to women. Very few older men will openly talk about the true nature of women because they are afraid of being attacked by women. Western women attack ANY MAN who starts telling the truth about them.

In May 2007 I asked Jennifer, as my then wife, who had told me she was 'indifferent' to being married to me in February 2007, to take a class that had helped her twice before. It was called 'The Landmark Forum'. I, as usual, paid for the flights, accommodation etc since I was the only one working and had been the only one working for some 13 years at that stage.

I asked her to attend the Landmark Forum again with a view of generating a breakthrough in her relationship with me. She wrote the following letter to me. I have copied it exactly as written, spelling mistakes and all. My comments are below the full version of the letter.

You young men should take a close look at this. I have **HUNDREDS** of 'love letters' from Jennifer professing her undying love. Sure. Undying until committing perjury in her court submissions, stealing money, kidnapping my children. **THAT** kind of 'undying love' I can do without thank you very much. I think you can too.

You young men want to take real close notice that **NO OTHER WOMEN** condemn this sort of behaviour. **NONE OF THEM**. And once you figure **THAT** out then you will realise just how little women think of you young men now. You are nothing but slaves to be used. You would do well not to forget that.

Many women have said to me "I would like to see your exs side of the story". So I give them this and then I tell them that for 'bad' they can make up anything they like because Jennifer accused me of adultery, domestic violence, abandoning my children and being an all round bad father. Even with Jennifers OWN WORDS in front of them as to how she was responsible for the destruction of our marriage western women will STILL BLAME ME. Note that Eastern European women NEVER BLAME ME. They look at something like this and clearly see who was the culprit. They do not put women on a pedestal because they know they do not belong there.

I tell women "make up your worst and assume she said it" and I also note that she is also a liar or epic proportions. After all. A woman who sends a note like this and then claims the man is a bad husband inside 6 months? What sort of credibility do such claims have? None at all.

So. Here it is for your reading pleasure. This is just two months before she was talking to a divorce lawyer and three months before stealing EUR18,000 from our company and 6 months before submitting allegations I was a 'bad father and husband' who has 'abandoned' his family.

Read this young man. You will learn something. You will learn that your wife professing 'undying love' for you lasts until she calls her lawyer.

If you don't learn that lesson from me? They you deserve to learn it from a greedy, vindictive, cruel and callous wife. If you have read these words? Do not say you were not warned.

Oh how I must have been such a bastard. ;-)

Dearest Peter,

As you know I came to the forum to have a breakthrough in my relationship with you. As people have gotten up to speak I have seen aspects of my way of being reflected in their stories. As I listened the possibility has dawned on me that while I have complained of being bullied by you I have actually been the bully. I have been so stubborn as to make our marriage unworkable. I have resisted nearly everything you suggested, all to show you that you are not the boss of me. I guess after all these years and divorce on the table it should be pretty clear to both of us that that's the case.

The possibility I have invented for myself and my life is the possibility of head over heels love, passionate, compassionate, generous and unstoppable. I have also taken on the possibility of abundance. Years ago you asked me to create a relationship and invite you to be enrolled in it. Last night I finally did that. I don't blame you for being cynical about that invitation. A less persistent man would have divorced me years ago.

You are the Samson that holds up the roof of the world over me and our family. I have been Delilah pretending to love you while undermining your strength. I am so sorry for that. I realize that for us to have the relationship of my possibility I will have to give up making you wrong. I am committed to having this possibility in my life. I will have head-over-heels love with someone and you are my first choice.

I know you feel the relationship with our children is secondary and I have always made you wrong for that. I want our relationship to be complete freeing you to be a father to them. Will you be their father? No amount of mother love can compensate them for the loss of you. I am also standing in the possibility of our children attending the teen forum. I see how I have enrolled them in my stories about you to their detriment. I want them to have the loving relationship with you I know is possible.

Now I have created a possibility for my life do you think it is one I might enroll you in? I invite you to share a life with me more wonderful than we could ever have apart.

My offer to you is nothing less than myself, utterly, totally and completely,

With all my love Jenni

My comments about this letter are as follows:

As I listened the possibility has dawned on me that while I have complained of being bullied by you I have actually been the bully. I have been so stubborn as to make our marriage unworkable.

Yes. In our household, the 'bully' was Jennifer. Every step of the way. She bullied me, she bullied the children, she bullied her parents. She bullied everyone. In many cases oh so nicely so as the person might not notice it, but Jennifer has been nothing but a 'bully' all her married life. She complained of being 'bullied' at school. I now do not believe that story one little bit. Perhaps some of the other girls 'pushed back'. That would be more believable.

"A less persistent man would have divorced me years ago."

Yes. I loved Jennifer with all my heart and soul and I prayed she would regain her faith and be the wife she vowed to be on her wedding day. Even my Family Law Solicitor in Sydney (female) told me I was stupid not to divorce this woman years previously. Apparently loving your wife and begging her to be a decent human being and standing loyally by her despite her abuse to give her every chance to take up her religion again and be a decent human being is called "stupid" in our society by women. You young men should NOT forget this.

"You are the Samson that holds up the roof of the world over me and our family. I have been Deliah pretending to love you while undermining your strength. I am so sorry for that."

Notice that Jennifer admits to undermining my strength. Basically, all that I tried to do and contribute to my family was undermined by Jennifer while she, in her own words "pretended to love me".

Jennifer is sorry. Really? When she betrayed me within a few months? You can make up your own mind if that is the action of a 'sorry' person.

"I know you feel the relationship with our children is secondary and I have always made you wrong for that. I want our relationship to be complete freeing you to be a father to them."

Yet inside 6 months she is alleging I am an unfit father who has abandoned them and is demanding sole custody and sole discretion of access to my former children. That sure sounds like 'freeing' to me.

I could not make this shit up.

"No amount of mother love can compensate them for the loss of you."

Yet inside 6 months she is denying me access to my former children in an effort to line her own pockets with money she has stolen from the family through blatantly lying to me. Ok. That sounds like good 'mothering' to me. **NOT!**

"My offer to you is nothing less than myself, utterly, totally and Completely"

Sounds like a great offer. But she neglects to mention that she retains the right to be dishonest and demands the ability to steal money from the family finances. Her offer was turned down on the basis that if she was offering herself she needed to get a job and help provide for the family which she refused to do.

As you can see from this letter Jennifer's lies are laid bare. And yes, they really are that disgusting. However the more important lesson for **YOU** young man is that virtually **ALL OTHER WOMEN** take the view that this kind of attitude is **PERFECTLY OK.**

THAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT LESSON TO LEARN FROM THIS LETTER.

14. APPENDIX 6 - DOCUMENTS ON WEBSITE

One of the problems with writing a book like this is that there is so much information available that a normal human being could not read it all in a year. Really. I read so much information to learn about the control grid that it was really not funny. Most men do NOT have all the time needed to do such. As such, it is counter productive to put into this book too much information that will lead men astray from the items that are most important for them to read.

However, I also acknowledge that SOME MEN will want to read such information and that it is useful for them to have access to it quickly and easily from a single reference point like this. Further, many of the documents that I have used I have placed onto my web site and created links for so that they are easily accessible.

I have included sets of links here with descriptions and links so that those who are interested can click on these and go find some of the more interesting things on the web that they might like to read or watch.

Name	Description/Link
Video of 'Court Meeting'	<p>The most important item I have released is the video evidence of David Dunkley committing crimes. All men should take a look at this video.</p> <p>http://www.youtube.com/user/peternolan1109?feature=mhum</p> <p>The full download of the video is here, all be it in a low quality format.</p> <p>http://www.peternolan.com/LinkClick.aspx?link=CourtHearing01.wmv&tabid=538&mid=1229</p>
Transcript of the 'Court Meeting'	<p>As you watch the video it is recommended that you read the transcript of what is being said. The words on the video can be hard to hear and the real purpose of the video is to serve as evidence of the transcript. Keep an eye out for the statement that 'talk of inalienable rights is a nonsense'.</p> <p>http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/200/t/hreadid/1108/scope/posts/Default.aspx</p>
Court 'Order' of 2010-02-19.	<p>David Dunkley was foolish enough to then go on and issue a 'Court Order' and this is it. Take a close look and you will see how he deceptively sent 95% of the proceeds of my 25 years of labour to Jennifer.</p> <p>http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/200/t/hreadid/1109/scope/posts/Default.aspx</p>
Documents on Sovereignty	<p>This is the forum entry for the Documents on Sovereignty. These are the documents I used to perform my 'strawman recapture' process.</p> <p>You can download the scanned versions of each of these documents from here:</p> <p>http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/190/scope/threads/Default.aspx</p>
Documents related to Court Cases in Ireland	<p>It gets a bit complicated because I had court cases running in both Ireland and Australia. Some things pass over both sets of cases. I've done my best to organise these things but they can still be a little confusing. This link goes to the documents for my case in Ireland. It includes such things as Jennifers perjurous court documents and Judge Griffins orders to close my bank accounts without my consent and without good service of any documents on me.</p> <p>http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/201/scope/threads/Default.aspx</p>
Documents related to Court Cases in Australia	<p>And this is the list of documents I am releasing for the court case in Australia.</p> <p>http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/200/scope/threads/Default.aspx</p>
Documents exposing the criminals in the legal fraternity	<p>This set of documents exposes the criminals in the legal fraternity in Australia.</p>

in Australia	http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/202/cope/threads/Default.aspx
Open Letters	<p>I have created a number of 'open letters' and some of them are worth reading. You can find them here:</p> <p>http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2/tabid/369/forumid/226/cope/threads/Default.aspx</p> <p>http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/80/scope/threads/Default.aspx</p>
Other Forum Entries	<p>I was going to link many other things in this table but I believe it is just best to point the reader to the CAF forums and the links in the forums. I will, as diligently as possible, place links into the forums and maintain those links there. I have changed the forums to allow anonymous downloads so you can go to these forums and download any materials placed there as well as link to other peoples work.</p> <p>http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums.aspx http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums2.aspx</p>
Crimes Against Fathers Website	<p>www.crimesagainstfathers.com</p> <p>This is a global site that will be used to prosecute those whom fathers accuse of a crime on an Affidavit under penalty of perjury and full commercial liability.</p> <p>We are creating portals for each country and perhaps state in the US under the parent site.</p> <p>It is also the major mechanism we will use to disseminate information about crimes against fathers.</p>

15. APPENDIX 7 – A WORD CALLED “PAYMENT”

Young men. This book and all the associated materials are yours for free for the taking. There is no obligation on you to pay for anything in this book or published onto the CAF or MBA sites.

That said, I do want to say something about this.

It has been proven beyond all doubt that men will NOT donate any significant amount of money to other men no matter how desperate the need and no matter how much that man has done for his fellow men. Men will fall all over themselves donating money to women. But men will not donate to men.

Mark McMurtrie, Mark Flowers, Robert Kennedy and John Wilson are just a few public names that have been working on preserving the future of **MEN LIKE YOU** for many years. You can read about these men here:

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/39/threadid/119/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/39/threadid/71/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/39/threadid/44/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

<http://www.crimesagainstfathers.com/australia/Forums/tabid/82/forumid/39/threadid/137/scope/posts/Default.aspx>

ONE thing that is standard for the honest men working hard for you is that they have a chronic shortage of money. I have donated more than AUD15,000 to good men in great need. I have loaned more than AUD10,000 to men AND A WOMAN in great need. I have paid out over AUD5,000 for work to be done by men in great need. In return not one man has donated one cent to me. One man offered to donate AUD20 but he was an old aged pensioner and I didn't need the money.

I want to make it clear to you. I have spent more than 3,500 hours reading and learning about a very wide range of subjects. I have distilled all that I have learned into the two parts of The Book. I have created the CAF and MBA sites for the benefit of other men. You can see the volume of work that has gone into these documents to take on **YOUR** governments on **YOUR** behalf.

For my troubles of exposing the criminals in the various governments for **YOUR BENEFIT** and working on creating new courts and a new system of business for **YOUR BENEFIT** I have obviously been targeted by the Guvments of Australia and Ireland. The Australian guvment made sure my house was stolen in attempting to stop me from continuing these efforts. The Irish Guvment has criminally discriminated against me in holding up and then refusing my citizenship application.

The total actual cost of my efforts has been in the order of EUR300,000. (USD500,000) And that does NOT count the lost income that I could have earned in those 3,500 hours if I just walked away from **YOU YOUNG MEN**.

I was encouraged by **MANY** people to simply **WALK AWAY** and get on with my life. Go out and sell my software and deliver projects. If I had **ABANDONED YOU YOUNG MEN** I would be quite wealthy now.

Now. I am not going to ask for donations because men almost NEVER donate any significant amount of money to men no matter how well deserved. I am not asking for donations because I don't need the money.

I am going to ask you are different question. Regardless of my own personal financial situation I am going to ask you to **PAY ME** what you think this work is **WORTH TO YOU. PAYMENT FOR VALUE DELIVERED.**

I will rely on you to be a young man of honour and integrity and to pay me what you think this is worth to you. As you consider what you wish to pay? Just keep in mind. If you think all this work is worth NOTHING then you can not complain if other men do not want to help you should you ever need it.

If you think this is worth NOTHING?	Then pay me NOTHING.
If you think it is worth EUR10?	Then pay me EUR10.
If you think it is worth EUR100?	Then pay me EUR100.
If you think it is worth EUR500?	Then pay me EUR500.
If you think it is worth EUR1000?	Then pay me EUR1000.

My PayPal is peter.nolan.paypal@petermolan.com

I thank you in advance for your fair and just payment whatever you decide that should be.

16. APPENDIX 8 – A FINAL WORD

Young man. You might be wondering to yourself.

- Why has Peter put so much of his personal life 'out there' to be read?
- Why has he been so willing to suffer the 'outrageous slings and arrows' of so many vindictive women telling lies about him, trying to ruin his business, trying to ruin his life?
- Why has he spent so much time and money on other people when he could so clearly just go back to writing and selling software and living a very comfortable life?
- What are his reasons for doing this?

Let me answer that by an email I received from a young man. This is a fine young lad of about 23 years of age. He's even a pretty good looking young lad. He's in the 'tall dark and handsome' category. Yes. He's sent me some pics so I could put a face to the name.

Here is what he said to me.

*Just want to take a moment to say thank you for all your dedication to this cause and to saving men's lives. Based on your actions I can tell you actually **have a heart** and are genuinely looking out for the well-being of young men such as myself. In particular I have found your information regarding women to be **VERY** enlightening, this same **crucial** and **vital** information about women for young men is so ruthlessly suppressed by the media.*

I can now clearly see who my friends are and who they are not. To give you an example, a few weeks back my aunt (mid-50's) came to visit me. While she was here she kept going on and on about "You're so tall my baby, we need to get you married" and "When you get out of school the girls are gonna love you, we need to find you a good girl who knows how to cook".

*Now, had I still been in the dark about women I would've thought what she said was a good idea and mostly benign, but now that I know the truth, I can **CLEARLY** see she does not have my best interests in mind, she is merely trying to get "babies" in the form of grandchildren so **SHE** can talk and brag about with her female friends.*

In another incident recently at work, an new woman (mid-30's) who just started was chatting up people during lunch and she asked me "You're pretty tall, are you married? You need a girlfriend".

*You were 100% right!!! They're **ALL** in on the marriage scam!!! It's **CRAZY** to see this stuff proven to be true in real life scenarios!*

*Keep doing what you're doing man, **DONT EVER STOP***

And you know what? I am never going to stop. Someone has to look out for these young lads being so badly lied to and it might as well be me. There are many other men also telling you young lads how badly lied to you are. You can find a bunch of them here www.the-spearhead.com. I recommend that site to every young man who would like to read about how badly men are abused and how much crime is committed against them with impunity. There are plenty of men sharing their stories there. I also recommend www.menarebetterthanwomen.com/forums because on this site men speak much more openly. And there are plenty of guys there who will tell young men just exactly how life is. There is also a vast array of cases recorded in the forums over the many years they have been running.

When I first came out of my period of being suicidal I made a vow to 'save one mans life'. I felt that if I could 'save one mans life' that I could then get on with my life feeling that I had 'done some good' for some young lad and that he would not be victimized like I was. Only trouble was I achieved this in a few weeks after joining 'Men are Better Than Women'. On telling my story there I was immediately met with MANY emails from young men thanking me for sharing my experiences. Many knew 'something was up' but they didn't know what. Once I told them the 'what' they 'got it'. I am pleased to say I counseled a number of young men there to end their engagements and refuse to marry their fiancée.

Every single one of them thanked me for that as I was able to put into perspective the behaviours they were seeing from their fiancées.

Young men have been the ‘cannon fodder’ for ‘the tribe’ since the time of the ‘woolly mammoth’. This is why I included the ‘Woolly Mammoth’ story in The Book – Part 1. Young men read that and it really resonates with them. They can see that from the time of the “Woolly Mammoth” until today men have been “expendable slaves” in war and work.

I recall telling the Woolly Mammoth story to ‘Sue 3’. At the end she gave me this really ‘fierce’ look and said:

Sue 3: “You are so cynical”

Peter: “Yes. But in this case I also happen to be correct.”

And at that her expression ‘broke’ and she look quite forlorn and sad. She knew she was the one who was looking for a brainwashed man who would be willing to sacrifice his life for her and that she would never, ever love him like he would her. In that instant, she really ‘got’ what it was to be a man who loved a woman and realized that she would never feel a similar way. I told her that I didn’t really know if it was good for her in all cases to be spending time with me because the ‘life lessons’ I might share might be a little too much a little too soon for her.

I tell the young men, and young women, the Woolly Mammoth story to point out that to the young men that if you are expected to dedicate your **ENTIRE LIFE** to a woman you had better make sure you are getting something worthwhile in return. Today? There is nothing of value offered a man for this ‘commitment’. He can have his very children stolen from him, along with his house and life’s work, **JUST LIKE I DID**, and women will **LAUGH AT HIM**, just like they did me. When I tell the lads **“5% is the new 50% they really get it”**.

And for the young women? I am warning them that if they do not offer something of real value then many, many more men are going to refuse the joke that is called ‘commitment’ now. There is no ‘commitment’ at all from a woman. Another conversation with Sue 3?

Sue 3: “But the problem for us women is that after 2-3 years with a man he can put us women out on the street with only our suitcases. He does not have to make a commitment. And then we have to start searching for a new man to be our husband all over again!”

Peter: “Oh? Really? And this would be somehow worse than it is for us men? We can have our children kidnapped, our houses stolen, our money stolen AND our future income stolen. We can be put in jail for talking to our own kids. We don’t even get the suitcases with our cloths in it and set out on the street. You mean you might feel as hard done by as us MEN?”

To that comment she just hung her head. So I drilled it home.

Peter: “For 30 years in the west women have stolen everything of value from so many men, and all the other women stood by and laughed at us. If you want to know who to blame for how hard it is to find a husband now look no further than all those women who supported women like my wife. All those women who laughed at men like me. There are millions of men like me. And we are telling every young man we meet to refuse to marry and refuse to have children. If I were you? I’d be throwing stones at my ex and women like her. They are the cause of your problems.”

To that comment she just hung her head some more. She really wants to find a husband and she can see how hard it is going to be with so many older men like me telling the younger men:

“Do not marry under ANY circumstances until we sort this mess out”.

The only way women are going to have ANYTHING of value to offer is for them to sign one of the two Affidavits that I proposed. And to follow up on from those Affidavits? Women will have to insist that those women who claim ‘lawful equality’ will have to ensure that women who break their agreement and violate their oaths actually have the bond given to the man they violated the oath to.

Only then will women start to restore some of the credibility they have so gleefully thrown away.

So yes. I will keep going, telling young men ‘how it really is’ via books, podcasts, and videos.

The young men have a right to know.

This page is intentionally left blank